

TITLE

Commentaries on Viewpoint: Could small-diameter muscle afferents be responsible for the ergogenic effect of limb ischemic preconditioning?

AUTHOR

Patterson, Stephen D.; Jeffries, Owen and Waldron, Mark

JOURNAL

Journal of Applied Physiology

DATE DEPOSITED

24 March 2017

This version available at

<https://research.stmarys.ac.uk/id/eprint/1424/>

COPYRIGHT AND REUSE

Open Research Archive makes this work available, in accordance with publisher policies, for research purposes.

VERSIONS

The version presented here may differ from the published version. For citation purposes, please consult the published version for pagination, volume/issue and date of publication.

Patterson, Stephen David, Jeffries, Owen, Waldron, Mark.

School of Sport, Health & Applied Sciences, St Marys University, Twickenham, London, TW1 4SX.

TO THE EDITOR: Cruz and colleagues (1) contend that lower discharge from small diameter muscle afferents explain the ergogenic effect of limb ischemic preconditioning (IPC) on exercise performance, evidenced by increased performance, higher myoelectrical activity and decreased ratings of perceived effort during an endurance task (2). This suggests increased voluntary drive to the working muscles which could explain the higher EMG amplitude (2). In our study investigating the effect of IPC on repeated sprint exercise, (3) we demonstrated that power output was increased in the first three of six sprints, suggestive of an increased voluntary drive. This evidence in humans (2) is similar to previous work in animal models, where EMG amplitude was increased and force decline attenuated in the preconditioned limb following recovery from prolonged ischemia (4).

Whilst the proposed mechanisms may serve as a plausible explanation for performance enhancement following IPC, at this time, we cannot rule out a placebo (and nocebo) effect (5) due to the difficulty in blinding participants to the sensations felt during the IPC or SHAM stimulus employed in many of the studies. Furthermore, it is possible that the increased power output observed (2, 3) may be the cause of increased myoelectrical activity (2), rather than the effect. Future research should focus on, firstly, normalizing the EMG to pre-exercise force, and secondly, examining central activation after a bout of IPC, to fully elucidate the mechanisms for IPC on exercise performance.

REFERENCES

- (1). Cruz RS, Pereira KL, Lisboa FD, Caputo F. Viewpoint: Could small-diameter muscle afferents be responsible for the ergogenic effect of limb ischemic preconditioning? *J Appl Physiol*, in press 2016.
- (2). Cruz RS, de Aguiar RA, Turnes T, Pereira KL, and Caputo F. Effects of ischemic preconditioning on maximal constant-load cycling performance. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 119: 961-967, 2015.
- (3). Patterson SD, Bezodis NE, Glaister M, Pattison JR. The effect of ischemic preconditioning on repeated sprint cycling performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 47: 1652–1658, 2015
- (4). Phillips DJ, Petrie SG, Zhou BH, Guancho CA, Baratta RV. Myoelectric and mechanical changes elicited by ischemic preconditioning in the feline hindlimb. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 7: 187–192, 1997
- (5). Marocolo M, da Mota GR, Pelegrini V, Appell Coriolano HJ. Are the beneficial effects of ischemic preconditioning on performance partly a placebo effect? *Int J Sports Med*, 36: 822-825, 2015.