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Abstract

This study investigated i) whether the accumulated oxygen deficit (AOD) and the curvature constant of the power-duration relationship (W') remain unchanged during constant work-rate to exhaustion (CWR) and 3-min all-out (3MT) tests; and ii) the relationship between AOD and W' during CWR and 3MT. Twenty-one male cyclists (age: 40 ± 6 years; maximal oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \)): 58 ± 7 ml·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)) completed preliminary tests to determine the \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \)-power output relationship and \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \). Subsequently, AOD and W' were determined from AOD, and the work completed above critical power, respectively, in CWR and 3MT. There were no differences between tests for duration, work, or average power output (\( p \geq 0.05 \)). AOD was greatest in the CWR test (4.18 ± 0.95 vs. 3.68 ± 0.98 L; \( p = 0.004 \)), whereas W' was greatest in the 3MT (9.55 ± 4.00 vs. 11.37 ± 3.84 kJ; \( p = 0.010 \)). AOD and W' demonstrated a significant correlation for both CWR (\( p < 0.001, r = 0.654 \)) and 3MT (\( p < 0.001, r = 0.654 \)). In conclusion, despite strong correlations between AOD and W' in CWR and 3MTs, between-test differences in the magnitude of AOD and W', suggests that the measures have different underpinning mechanisms.
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Introduction

At the onset of exercise, ATP in skeletal muscle is continuously resynthesised by the complex and closely integrated interaction of aerobic and anaerobic energy pathways (Gastin, 2001). However, whilst aerobic energy production is relatively easy to quantify as the rate of oxygen uptake at the mouth (\(\dot{V}O_2\)) (Poole et al., 1991), quantification of anaerobic energy production remains challenging (Noordhof, de Koning, & Foster, 2010; Noordhof, Skiba, & de Koning, 2013). Direct methods for quantifying anaerobic capacity are invasive and/or expensive, and, as a consequence, anaerobic capacity is more commonly estimated using indirect tests (Noordhof et al., 2013).

A common test to estimate anaerobic capacity is the accumulated oxygen deficit (AOD), as proposed by Medbø et al. (1988). The AOD determines the difference between the accumulated oxygen demand and the accumulated oxygen uptake and can be determined from a constant work-rate test to exhaustion (CWR) at a supramaximal intensity (i.e. above maximal \(\dot{V}O_2\) [\(\dot{V}O_{2\max}\]) or an all-out test of known duration. In order to be considered as a measure of anaerobic capacity, AOD needs to reach its maximum value. Using a supramaximal CWR, it has been shown that the highest AOD is attained in tests lasting 2-5 min, which corresponds to intensities of 110-120% of \(\dot{V}O_{2\max}\) (Medbø et al., 1988; Muniz-Pumares, Pedlar, Godfrey, & Glaister, 2006; Weber & Schneider, 2001). The AOD, determined during all-out efforts, also appears to be sensitive to the duration of the test. All-out tests shorter than 60 s tend to underestimate anaerobic capacity. Instead, if the all-out effort lasts 60-90 s, the AOD seems to plateau and reach its maximum value (Calbet, Chavarren, & Dorado, 1997; Gastin, Costill, Lawson, Krzeminski, & McConell, 1995; Withers et al., 1991; Withers, Ploeg, & Finn, 1993). The effect of all-out efforts longer than 90 s on the AOD has not been studied. It is important to note that the AOD relies on the assumptions that i) the oxygen demand can be extrapolated from the \(\dot{V}O_2\)-power output relationship determined at submaximal intensities; and ii) for a given power output, the required oxygen demand is not altered during high-intensity exercise. Whilst both assumptions have been questioned, and are considered to be a limitation of the test, the AOD is considered to be the best non-invasive test to estimate anaerobic capacity (Noordhof et al., 2010).

Another approach to estimate anaerobic capacity has been derived from the parameters of the hyperbolic power output-duration relationship. The first component is the asymptote of the hyperbola, termed critical power, which represents the boundary between the ‘heavy’ and ‘severe’ exercise domains (Hill, 1993; Jones, Vanhatalo, Burnley, Morton, & Poole, 2010; Poole, Burnley, Vanhatalo, Rossiter, & Jones, 2016). The second component is the curvature constant (W’), which represents a fixed amount of work that can be performed above critical power (Chidnok et al., 2013; Morton, 2006). Traditionally, W’ has been described as ‘anaerobic work capacity’, and thought to represent work produced using anaerobic energy sources (e.g. Hill, 1993; Morton, 2006). However, it has been recently suggested that the precise aetiology of W’ may be more complex than originally thought, leaving its underpinning mechanisms unresolved (Broxterman et al., 2015; Dekerle et al., 2015; Murgatroyd, Ferguson, Ward, Whipp, & Rossiter, 2011; Poole et al., 2016; Simpson et al., 2015;
Skiba, Chidnok, Vanhatalo, & Jones, 2012). Nonetheless, W’ is affected by glycogen content (Miura, Sato, Whipp, & Fukuba, 2000) and creatine supplementation (Smith, Stephens, Hall, Jackson, & Earnest, 1998). Moreover, W’ depletion results in the build-up of fatigue-inducing metabolites associated with anaerobic energy production (Jones, Wilkerson, Dimenna, Fulford, & Poole, 2008; Poole, Ward, Gardner, & Whipp, 1988), and the rate of accumulation of those metabolites is proportional to the rate of W’ depletion (Vanhatalo, Fulford, DiMenna, & Jones, 2010). As a result, the magnitude of W’ typically remains constant irrespective of the rate of depletion (Chidnok et al., 2013; Fukuba et al., 2003; cf. Dekkerle et al., 2015; Jones, Wilkerson, Vanhatalo, & Burnley, 2008).

The traditional method of determining W’ was to model the results of 4-6 bouts of CWR exercise to exhaustion. However, the time-consuming demands of the protocol makes the approach very impractical. More recently, Vanhatalo et al. (2007) observed that the end-power output during a 3-min all-out test (3MT) corresponded to critical power; whilst the work performed above end-power output corresponded to W’. If this new approach to determining W’ is valid, it should produce the same strong positive correlations with AOD as those reported when W’ is determined using the traditional approach (Chatagnon, Pouilly, Thomas, & Busso, 2005; Miura, Endo, Sato, Barstow, & Fukuba, 2005). The aims of this study, therefore, were i) to determine whether AOD and W’ remain constant irrespective of their rate of depletion (i.e. CWR vs. 3MT); and ii) to investigate the relationship between AOD and W’ during CWR and 3MT. It was hypothesised that both the AOD and W’ would not be affected by the exercise mode. It was also hypothesised that W’ and AOD would be strongly and positively correlated in both the CWR and 3MT.

Methods

Participants

Twenty-one trained male cyclists and triathletes volunteered to participate in this study, which was approved by St Mary’s University Ethics Committee. Their mean ± standard deviation (SD) for age, height and mass were 40 ± 6 years, 1.81 ± 0.08 m and 79.8 ± 7.5 kg, respectively. The participants were recruited from local cycling and triathlon clubs and can be classified as ‘trained’ (performance level 3; De Pauw et al., 2013). All participants provided written informed consent.

Procedures

The study consisted of four trials in an exercise physiology laboratory with controlled environmental conditions (19 ± 1 °C; 33 ± 5% relative humidity). All tests were performed on an electromagnetically braked cycle-ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport, Groningen, Netherlands). The cycle-ergometer was individually adjusted for cyclists comfort and performance. All subsequent tests were performed using the same settings on the cycle-ergometer and at approximately the same time of the day (± 1 h). After two preliminary trials to determine the gas exchange threshold (GET), the VO2–power output relationship, and VO2max, participants completed a CWR at 112.5% of VO2max and a 3MT. All trials
were separated by at least 48 h to allow complete recovery. The participants were provided with a food record diary and were advised to follow a similar diet and to avoid strenuous exercise in the 24 h before each trial. Similarly, they were requested to avoid caffeine and alcohol ingestion 12 h before each trial.

Preliminary tests

The preliminary tests included two trials. In Trial 1, participants completed a ramp test to exhaustion. The test started with 3 min of unloaded cycling. The resistance of the flywheel increased thereafter at a constant rate of 30 W·min⁻¹ until exhaustion, defined in this study as a decrease in cadence of > 10 rpm for > 5 s despite strong verbal encouragement. The cadence was freely chosen by each participant and kept constant throughout the test. The preferred cadence was recorded and replicated in subsequent trials. The GET was independently identified by two investigators using the V-slope method (Beaver, Whipp, & Wasserman, 1986), and the average of the two values was used for subsequent calculations. In instances where GET estimates differed by > 10%, a third investigator determined the GET, and the average of the two closest estimates was used for analysis. Trial 2 consisted of 10 × 3-min consecutive steps to determine the relationship between $\dot{V}O_2$ and power output, followed by a ramp test to exhaustion to determine $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$. The first step was performed at 50% GET and the intensity increased by 10% GET in each subsequent step, so that the final work rate corresponded to 140% GET. Steps were interspersed with 30 s of rest to allow a capillary blood sample to be drawn from the earlobe using a 20 μL tube (EKF Diagnostics, Barleben, Germany). Whole blood samples were introduced in a pre-filled tube and analysed for blood lactate concentration (BLa) using an enzymatic-amperometric method (Biosen C-line, EKF Diagnostic, Germany). After completion of the final step, participants were allowed 5 min of stationary rest on the ergometer. Cycling was resumed at 70% GET, and increased at a rate of 15% GET every minute until volitional exhaustion (as defined above). $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ was determined as the highest $\dot{V}O_2$ obtained from a 30-s rolling average, which excluded breath-by-breath values outside 4 SD from a local (5-breath) average (Lamarra, Whipp, Ward, & Wasserman, 1987).

Constant-work rate test to exhaustion

The CWR commenced with 3 min of unloaded cycling followed by 5 min at 70% GET. Then, after 5 min stationary rest on the cycle-ergometer, participants were instructed to attain their preferred cadence after a 5-second countdown. The power output during the CWR test corresponded to 112.5% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, determined from linear extrapolation of the relationship between $\dot{V}O_2$ and power output. The assumption of a linear $\dot{V}O_2$-power output relationship has been challenged, though using 3-min stages, a linear relationship has been observed during for intensities up to ~95% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, with allows estimation of supramaximal oxygen demands with 6.7% test-retest variability (Muniz-Pumares, Pedlar, Godfrey, & Glaister, 2015). Moreover, a CWR at 112.5% has been shown to elicit the greatest AOD (Muniz-Pumares et al., 2016). $\dot{V}O_2$ values to construct the $\dot{V}O_2$-power output relationship were determined from each stage as the highest $\dot{V}O_2$ value derived from a 30-s rolling average (see above). Participants were instructed before, and encouraged throughout the test to exercise for as
long as they possibly could, but were unaware of elapsed time or expected duration. Capillary blood samples were drawn 1, 3 and 5 min after exhaustion for BLa determination.

3-min all-out test

The 3MT was performed as outlined by Vanhatalo et al. (2007). The trial commenced with 5 min cycling at 70% GET and a further 5 min resting on the cycle ergometer. Participants then completed 3 min of unloaded pedalling at their preferred cadence. In the last 10 seconds of the unloaded phase, they were instructed to increase their cadence to 110–120 rpm. At the start of the 3MT, the cycle-ergometer switched to linear mode, so that the resistance (i.e. power output) represented a function of the cadence. The alpha factor for the linear mode was determined to elicit a power output at each participant’s preferred cadence corresponding to 50% of the difference between the intensity at GET and that at the end of the ramp test (i.e. 50%Δ). The subjects were instructed before the test to attain peak power (i.e. highest cadence) as soon as possible and to maintain the highest possible cadence throughout the test. Strong verbal encouragement was provided by the same investigator throughout the duration of the test. As in the CWR test, time cues were removed from the area to prevent pacing.

All participants completed one familiarization trial of the 3MT that was not included in data analysis. The criteria to deem a 3MT as valid is yet to be established. Nevertheless, it has been reported that, during a 3MT: i) peak power is typically attained within the first 10 s (Vanhatalo, Doust, & Burnley, 2007); ii) peak VO_2 corresponds to 97-99% VO_2max (Burnley, Doust, & Vanhatalo, 2006; Sperlich, Haegle, Thissen, Mester, & Holmberg, 2011; Vanhatalo et al., 2007), although there seems to be large intrasubject variability (Sperlich et al., 2011); iii) W' is depleted to ~5% of its initial value within the first 90 s (Vanhatalo, Doust, & Burnley, 2008); and iv) end-test cadence should be within ±10 rpm of each participant’s preferred cadence, or otherwise it may affect W’ (Vanhatalo et al., 2008). As in the CWR test, capillary BLa was determined 1, 3 and 5 min after the 3MT test.

Statistical analyses

The AOD was determined as the difference between the estimated oxygen demand and accumulated oxygen uptake (Medbo et al., 1988). In the CWR test, the oxygen demand was assumed to remain constant during the test (i.e. 112.5% VO_2max), so the accumulated oxygen demand was estimated as the product of oxygen demand and the time to exhaustion (TTE). In the 3MT, raw recording of power output (6 Hz) were averaged at 1 s intervals to produce second-by-second values. The second-by-second oxygen demand was calculated from a linear projection of the VO_2-power output relationship. Subsequently, the accumulated oxygen demand was determined as the integral of second-by-second oxygen demand. Breath-by-breath VO_2 data were filtered (as described above) and linearly interpolated to produce second-by-second values. The accumulated oxygen uptake was determined as the integral of second-by-second VO_2. End-exercise VO_2 and oxygen demand were determined in CWR and 3MT as the average VO_2 and oxygen demand, respectively, in the last 10 s of the CWR and 3MT. In the 3MT, critical power was considered to be the average power output in the last 30 s of the
test. W’ was determined from the 3MT (W’\text{3MT}) as the integral of power output above critical power. Assuming no change in critical power (Chidnok et al., 2013), W’\text{CWR} was determined as the work completed above critical power during CWR. Figure 1 outlines the protocol to determine AOD\text{CWR}, AOD\text{3MT}, W’\text{CWR}, and W’\text{3MT}. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Using IBM SPSS 21 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY), physiological responses to CWR and 3MT were compared using paired samples t-tests. The magnitude of the differences between CWR and 3MT were expressed as the effect size using Cohen’s $d$, calculated as the absolute difference between means divided by the pooled SD (Cumming, 2012). Qualitative descriptors of the effect size were as follows: negligible (d<0.19), small (d=0.20–0.49), moderate (d=0.50–0.79), or large (d>0.8). Pearson product-moment correlations were determined between AOD\text{3MT} and W’\text{3MT}, and between AOD\text{CWR} and AOD\text{3MT}. In all instances, significance was accepted at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

**Preliminary tests**

In the ramp test, GET occurred at 188 ± 25 W and peak power output corresponded to 397 ± 46 W, so 50%Δ was 293 ± 34 W. For the 10 × 3 min step test, the intensity at 50% GET was 94 ± 13 W and increased by 19 ± 3 W in each step, so the final intensity was 263 ± 36 W. These work rates corresponded to intensities from 41 ± 4% to 84 ± 7% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, and raised BLa from 0.97 mmol-L$^{-1}$ at the end of the first stage to 3.93 ± 1.72 mmol-L$^{-1}$ for the last stage. There was a strong linear relationship between $\dot{V}O_{2}$ and power output for all participants ($P < 0.001$; $r = 0.995 ± 0.004$). In the maximal test, $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ was 4.60 ± 0.61 L-min$^{-1}$ (58 ± 7 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$).

**Constant work-rate to exhaustion and 3-min all-out tests**

The results from CWR and 3MT are presented in Table 1. All participants completed a valid 3MT given that: i) peak power (645 ± 127 W) was attained at the beginning of the test (6 ± 4 s); ii) peak $\dot{V}O_{2}$ approached $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ (98 ± 5% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$); iii) W’ was depleted to < 15% of its initial value after 90 s (6 ± 4%); and iv) the end-test cadence was within 10 rev·min$^{-1}$ of the preferred cadence (4 ± 4 rev x min$^{-1}$). Estimations of CP and W’ derived from the 3MT were 316 ± 50 W (67 ± 8%Δ) and 11.37 ± 3.84 kJ, respectively.

| Table 1 near here |

There were no differences between CWR and 3MT for duration, average power output, or work completed (Table 1). However, there were differences for both estimations of anaerobic capacity between CWR and 3MT. Specifically, W’\text{3MT} was greater than W’\text{CWR} (small effect) whilst AOD\text{CWR} was greater than AOD\text{3MT} (moderate effect) (Table 1; Figure 2). In the CWR test, the estimation of anaerobic capacity, derived from AOD, was greater than that derived from W’ (Table 1; mean
difference 14 ± 10%; \( P < 0.001; d = 1.17 \). In contrast, there were no differences between estimations of the anaerobic energy contribution in the 3MT derived from AOD and W' (Table 1; mean difference 3 ± 11%; \( P = 0.175; d = 1.36 \)). AOD and W' were significantly and positively correlated in both the CWR (\( r = 0.654; P < 0.001 \)) and 3MT (\( r = 0.664; P < 0.001 \)).

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to investigate AOD and W’, two parameters suggested to estimate anaerobic capacity, during a CWR and a 3MT. The main findings of the study were that i) both AOD and W’ were affected by the pacing adopted and therefore different between the CWR and 3MT; ii) the differences observed between CWR and 3MT in AOD and W’ followed contrasting directions such that AOD was greatest in CWR, whilst W’ was greatest in 3MT; iii) there was a positive correlation between AOD and W’; and vi) the strength of the correlation between AOD and W’ was similar irrespective of pacing (i.e. CWR vs. 3MT). These results suggest that ~43% of the variance of AOD and W’ is determined by a shared factor, most likely related to anaerobic energy production. However, since both estimates of anaerobic capacity were affected by pacing, and in contrasting directions, factors other than anaerobic energy production appear to influence the magnitude of AOD and/or W’.

In the present study, both AOD and W’ were sensitive to pacing, as denoted by the differences between both estimates of anaerobic capacity during CWR and 3MT. However, those differences followed contrasting directions. Previous research has shown that W’ remains unaffected irrespective of its rate of depletion (Chidnok et al., 2013; Fukuba et al., 2003; Vanhatalo et al., 2008); although, it has recently been shown that sudden (Dekerle et al., 2015) or progressive (Jones et al., 2008) decreases in power output might augment W’, and therefore delay exercise intolerance. In order to accept that W’ remains constant irrespective of its rate of depletion, it is necessary to assume that aerobic energy production supplies power output at intensities below critical power from the onset of exercise (Jones, Vanhatalo, Burnley, Morton, & Poole, 2010; Morton, 2006), which, in turn, implies infinitely fast \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics (Figure 1D). Despite this limitation, it is assumed that W’ remains constant during a CWR test lasting > 3 min (Morton, 2006). Indeed, Chidnok et al. (2013) observed constant W’ irrespective of pacing during a 3MT and a CWR of ~3.1 min. In the current study, exercise tolerance during the CWR test fell slightly short of 3 min (~2.7 min), which might not allow for a complete a depletion of W’.

The AOD is thought to reach its peak value, and therefore provide an estimate of anaerobic capacity, during CWRs in which exhaustion occurs within 2-4 min (Medbo et al., 1988) or during all-out tests of at least 60 s (Gastin et al., 1995; Withers et al., 1993). In the present study, despite CWR and 3MT meeting those two conditions, \( \text{AOD}_{\text{CWR}} \) was 12% greater than \( \text{AOD}_{3\text{MT}} \). It is possible that, given the progressive increase in \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and decrease in power output observed during an all-out test, \( \dot{V}O_2 \) at the end of the 3MT was greater than the oxygen demand, decreasing \( \text{AOD}_{3\text{MT}} \). However, \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and oxygen demand at the end of the 3MT were similar (Table 1, Figure 1), and most of the AOD occurs at the
onset of all-out tests (see Figure 1). Alternatively, at the onset of the 3MT there is a higher demand of ATP turnover which can accelerate kinetics of $\dot{V}O_2$ and, possibly, reduce the AOD (Jones et al., 2008). However, studies that have examined the effects of pacing strategies during 2-6 min trials have reported that an all-out start has no effect on the AOD (Aisbett, Lerossignol, McConell, Abbiss, & Snow, 2009; Bishop, Bonetti, & Dawson, 2002). Moreover, BLa and pH, which can also be considered markers of anaerobic energy production, remain unaffected whilst in the above studies W’ was determined from the primary phase and the slow component of the $V̇ O_2$ kinetics (e.g., Korzeniewski & Zoladz, 2015), and therefore AOD. However, whilst the increased BLa suggests higher metabolic disturbance during the 3MT, there is evidence that all-out and CWR tests result in similar intramuscular metabolic perturbation (Burnley, Vanhatalo, Fulford, & Jones, 2010). Intramuscular metabolites were not quantified in the present study, and therefore, it is difficult to account for the effect that possible differences in the metabolic milieu between CWR and 3MT might contribute to explain the observed difference between AOD$\text{_{3MT}}$ and AOD$\text{_{CWR}}$.

Another finding of the current study was the strong correlation observed between AOD and W’, which is consistent with previous research using cycle ergometry in healthy adults (Chatagnon et al., 2005; Miura et al., 2002) and children (Leclair et al., 2010). Whilst in the above studies W’ was determined from several CWRs, the present study demonstrates that the relationship holds true when W’ is determined from the more time-efficient 3MT. Moreover, the strength of the correlation between AOD and W’ reported previously (0.56 ≤ r ≤ 0.76) compares well with the results of the present study. Overall, results suggest that, in cycling, some 34-58% of the variance of AOD and W’ is underpinned by a shared mechanism, likely related to anaerobic energy production. In contrast, the same relationship has not been observed between D’, the running equivalent of W’, and AOD (Bosquet, Duchene, Delhors, Dupont, & Carter, 2008; Zagatto et al., 2013). Though difficult to explain, the time constant of the primary phase and the slow component of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics contribute to determine both W’ (Murgatroyd, Ferguson, Ward, Whipp, & Rossiter, 2011) and AOD (Rossiter, 2011), and these two parameters are different between cycling and running (Hill, Halcomb, & Stevens, 2003; Pringle, Carter, Doust, & Jones, 2002). Nevertheless, the results of the present study suggest that factors other than anaerobic energy production appear to determine the magnitude of AOD and/or W’, and their relationship.

During a high-intensity bout of exercise at intensities above CP, peak $\dot{V}O_2$ has been shown to correspond with $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, irrespective of the pacing strategy adopted, by some (Aisbett, Le Rossignol, & Sparrow, 2003; Aisbett et al., 2009; Bishop et al., 2002; Burnley et al., 2006; Chidnok et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2008; Simpson et al., 2015), but not all (Bailey, Vanhatalo, DiMenna, Wilkerson, & Jones, 2011; Sawyer, Morton, Womack, & Gaesser, 2012; Vanhatalo et al., 2008), studies. In the present investigation, peak $\dot{V}O_2$ during the 3MT was ~98% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, but it only attained ~94% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ in the CWR test, despite the intensity being ~119% of critical power. It is possible that the relatively short duration of the CWR tests combined with the possibly slower $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics during the CWR test (see...
above) resulted in a larger anaerobic energy contribution, as denoted by a greater AOD\textsubscript{CWR} (Table 1). As a result, exercise might have been terminated before VO\textsubscript{2max} was reached in the CWR test.

In conclusion, this is the first study to compare two approaches to estimate anaerobic capacity (AOD and W') during CWR and 3MT. Contrary to the assumption of a constant anaerobic capacity, AOD\textsubscript{CWR} and W'\textsubscript{3MT} were greater than AOD\textsubscript{3MT} and W'\textsubscript{CWR}, respectively. Nonetheless, the correlation between AOD and W' during CWR and 3MT suggests that ~43% of the magnitude of AOD and W' is determined by a shared factor, likely linked to anaerobic energy production. Moreover, the strength of the correlation between AOD and W' seems to be consistent irrespective of the type of exercise. These results suggest that anaerobic energy production is not the sole factor contributing to the magnitude of AOD and W'. Moreover, the present study suggests that factors other than anaerobic energy production contribute to AOD and W'.
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Figures & Tables Legends

Figures.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the methods used to determine the accumulated oxygen deficit (AOD) and W' during a 3-min all-out (3MT) test and a constant work-rate test to exhaustion. Top panels: AOD is determined as the difference between oxygen demand (dotted lines) and oxygen uptake (solid lines) during a 3MT and a CWR test (AOD_{3MT} and AOD_{CWR}; Panels A and B, respectively). Bottom panels: W' is determined as the area between power output (solid line) and critical power (dotted line) during a 3MT and a CWR test (W'_{3MT} and W'_{CWR}; Panels C and D, respectively).

Figure 2. Accumulated oxygen deficit and W' during constant work-rate exercise to exhaustion and a 3-min all-out test. Individual responses (dotted lines) and group means and standard deviations are shown. * denotes significantly different from the constant work-rate test ($P < 0.05$).

Table

Table 1. Physiological responses during a constant-work rate to exhaustion and a 3-min all-out test.
Figure 1.
Figure 2.
Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CWR</th>
<th>3MT</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Cohen's d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration (s)</td>
<td>164 ± 46</td>
<td>180 ± 0</td>
<td>-16 ± 46</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power output (W)</td>
<td>376 ± 55</td>
<td>376 ± 55</td>
<td>-1 ± 23</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work (kJ)</td>
<td>60.85 ± 17.30</td>
<td>67.72 ± 9.84</td>
<td>-6.88 ± 15.63</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W' (kJ)</td>
<td>9.55 ± 4.00</td>
<td>11.37 ± 3.84</td>
<td>-1.82 ± 2.93</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W' (%)</td>
<td>20 ± 12</td>
<td>17 ± 6</td>
<td>-3 ± 9</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc O₂ demand (L)</td>
<td>14.08 ± 4.14</td>
<td>15.55 ± 2.14</td>
<td>1.48 ± 3.56</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc O₂ uptake (L)</td>
<td>9.90 ± 3.46</td>
<td>11.87 ± 1.48</td>
<td>1.97 ± 3.34</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD (L)</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.95</td>
<td>3.68 ± 0.98</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.71</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD (%)</td>
<td>31 ± 7</td>
<td>23 ± 5</td>
<td>8 ± 9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-exercise V̇O₂ (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.29 ± 0.63</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.61</td>
<td>-0.20 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-exercise O₂ demand (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak BLa (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>10.70 ± 2.57</td>
<td>11.77 ± 2.94</td>
<td>-1.07 ± 1.85</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak HR (beats·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>166 ± 11</td>
<td>165 ± 11</td>
<td>2 ± 7</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: average power output during the 3MT. W' (%) and AOD (%) represent the contribution of W' and AOD to the total work done and total oxygen demand, respectively.