Title:

Reporting of acute programme variables and exercise descriptors in rehabilitation strength training for tibiofemoral joint soft tissue injury: a systematic review.

Author name and affiliations:

First Author: Anthony J Goff

Health and Social Sciences, Singapore Institute of Technology, 10 Dover Drive, Singapore, 138683

anthony.goff@singaporetech.edu.sg

Second Author: William S Page

Faculty of Sport, Health and Applied Sciences, St Mary's University, Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, TW1 4SX

william.page@stmarys.ac.uk

Third Author and Corresponding Author: Nicholas C Clark

Faculty of Sport, Health and Applied Sciences, St Mary's University, Waldegrave Road, Twickenham, TW1 4SX

nicholas.clark@stmarys.ac.uk

PII: S1466-853X(18)30147-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2018.10.012

Accepted: 20 October 2018

To appear in: Physical Therapy in Sport

© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the Creative Commons CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license

To cite this manuscript: Goff, A.J., Page, W.S., Clark, N.C., Reporting of acute programme variables and exercise descriptors in rehabilitation strength training for tibiofemoral joint soft tissue injury: A systematic review, Physical Therapy in Sport (2018), doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2018.10.012</u>

1 Title:

- 2 Reporting of acute programme variables and exercise descriptors in rehabilitation strength
- 3 training for tibiofemoral joint soft tissue injury: a systematic review.
- 4 Conflicts of Interest: None
- 5

6 Abstract:

7 **Objective**

- 8 Strength training acute programme variables (APVs) can impact tibiofemoral joint injury outcomes.
- 9 Exercise descriptors (EDs; e.g. patient-position) specify configurations within which APVs are applied.
- 10 Evidence-based practice depends on adequate reporting of APVs and EDs to replicate strength training
- 11 interventions in clinical practice. This systematic review assessed APV and ED reporting for adults with
- 12 tibiofemoral joint injury (anterior cruciate ligament (ACL)/posterior cruciate ligament (PCL)/medial
- 13 collateral ligament (MCL)/lateral collateral ligament (LCL)/meniscus/hyaline cartilage (HC)).

14 Methods

- 15 PRISMA guidelines were followed. Specific key-term combinations were employed and database
- 16 searches performed. Descriptive/observational/experimental studies were included (2006-2018).
- 17 Studies needed to report pre-defined APVs or EDs for \geq 51% of all exercises to be included. Frequency
- 18 counts were made of studies adequately reporting APVs and EDs.

19 Results

20 Sixteen articles were included (ACL=13; meniscus=3). No PCL/MCL/LCL/HC articles were identified. Of

21 nine APVs, five and four were consistently reported by the majority of ACL (\geq 7) and meniscal (\geq 2)

- 22 studies, respectively. Of eight EDs, four were consistently reported by the majority of both ACL (≥8) and
- 23 meniscal (≥2) studies.

24 Conclusion

- 25 Many APVs and EDs were not adequately reported. Future studies should better document APVs and
- 26 EDs for higher standards of intervention reporting and enhanced translation of research to clinical
- 27 practice.
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- าา
- 32
- 33

34 1. Introduction

- 35 The knee joint is one of the largest and most commonly injured joints in the body, accounting for 15-
- 36 18% of musculoskeletal injuries per year [1, 2]. Tibiofemoral joint (TFJ) injuries include anterior cruciate
- 37 ligament (ACL), posterior cruciate ligament (PCL), medial collateral ligament (MCL), lateral collateral
- 38 ligament (LCL), meniscus, hyaline cartilage, and proximal tibia and distal femur bone injuries, together
- 39 yielding a prevalence of 77-90% of all knee injuries [3, 4]. Such injuries impact healthcare systems due to
- 40 frequent need for acute medical/surgical intervention [3-5], the financial cost of such interventions
- 41 often being the highest of all sports injuries [5, 6]. In Europe, healthcare costs of acute cruciate ligament
- 42 injuries exceed those of other TFJ injuries [5]. In the United States, healthcare costs of ACL surgery are
- 43 also some of the highest of all TFJ injuries, a nationwide annual cost estimate exceeding one billion
- dollars [7, 8]. Further, TFJ injury rehabilitation can last many months [9], and approximately 50% of
- 45 patients experiencing ACL and/or meniscus injury can present with further healthcare needs 10-20 years
- 46 later because of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis [10, 11]. Therefore, TFJ injuries can represent a major
- 47 burden for healthcare systems due to short- and long-term financial costs.
- 48 Common impairments following TFJ injury include pain [12, 13], effusion [14, 15], muscle weakness [16-
- 49 18], and decreased bone mineral density [19-21]. Activity limitations, such as a decreased ability to
- 50 perform gait and activities of daily living, are commonly present after TFJ injury [22, 23]. Restricted
- 51 participation in society, such as a decreased ability to perform occupational tasks and sporting activities,
- 52 can typically also be observed after TFJ injury [24, 25]. Consequently, TFJ injuries can result in
- 53 widespread effects across individuals' day-to-day lives.
- 54 Rehabilitation strength training (hereafter, 'strength training') is a critical component of rehabilitation
- 55 for individuals with TFJ injury [26, 27], being able to modify the magnitude of an inflammatory process
- 56 [28] and resolve specific post-injury impairments such as muscle weakness and reduced bone mineral
- 57 density [29, 30]. Further, knee muscle strength is positively correlated with the performance of
- 58 functional tasks [31, 32] and is associated with more favourable patient-reported outcomes after TFJ
- 59 injury and surgery [33-35]. Strength training after TFJ injury is, therefore, clinically important for
- 60 resolving impairments, mitigating activity limitations, and facilitating injured individuals' return to
- 61 participation in society.
- 62 A safe and effective strength training programme after TFJ injury and surgery should be designed based 63 on sound scientific and clinical principles [27]; this requires specific acute programme variables (APVs) 64 (Table 1) to be appropriately combined in order to elicit and optimise desired physiological and physical 65 responses in the body [36-40]. For example: from a safety perspective isometric muscle actions are 66 often performed in rehabilitation at one point in a range-of-motion for some form of short-term joint 67 protection [41] because anisometric (dynamic) muscle actions may initially overload healing tissues; 68 from an effectiveness perspective APVs can be manipulated to specifically enhance knee extension 69 isometric relative strength [42], to enhance cortical bone characteristics [43], and to modify TFJ stiffness [44]. Sufficient exercise descriptors (EDs) (Table 1) are required for clinicians to understand exercise 70 71 configurations. For example: from a safety perspective some loading methods are consistent, almost 72 insusceptible to material fatigue, and preferable if highly controlled rehabilitation loads are desired (e.g. 73 fixed mass of a metal ankle weight), whereas others are not (e.g. elastic resistance) [45, 46]; from an 74 effectiveness perspective double-leg exercises can result in patients 'cheating' with the uninjured leg 75 and avoiding clinically appropriate loading of the injured leg [47]. Failure to report all clinically important
- 76 details that include APVs and EDs can, therefore, result in potentially unsafe and low effectiveness

- 77 strength training programmes when clinicians attempt to translate research findings to their day-to-day
- 78 clinical practice [36, 40, 48, 49]. Further, inadequate reporting of APVs and EDs could likely even impact
- 79 on the initial uptake of research findings by responsible clinicians because there would not be sufficient
- 80 information as a starting point for administering an intervention for which said clinicians would legally
- 81 be liable.
- 82
- 83 Table 1. Acute Programme Variables and Exercise Descriptors for Tibiofemoral Joint Rehabilitation
- 84 Strength Training*

Acute Programme Variables (APVs)	Exercise Descriptors (EDs)
Exercise order	Compound or isolated exercise
Type of muscle action (isometric,	Patient position
anisometric)	Single- or double-leg exercise
Number of sets	Joint angle/arc-of-motion
Number of repetitions	Loading method
Magnitude of load	Total duration of programme
Velocity of movement	Unsupervised/supervised exercise
Between-set rest period	Progression criteria for exercise**
Weekly frequency of sessions	
Number of rest days between sessions	

*Modified from: Augustsson [36], Kraemer and Koziris [40], and Toigo and Boutellier [37]. **Refers to

- 86 objective measurement-based progression criteria (i.e. goal = e.g. pre-defined number of repetitions per
- set) versus time-based progression criteria. 87

88

89 For clinicians to adhere to evidence-based practice principles and replicate strength training

90 interventions in day-to-day clinical practice depends on whether APVs and EDs are adequately reported

91 in the scientific literature [48-50]. One recent systematic review [36] investigated reporting of APVs and

- 92 EDs in ACL rehabilitation between 1983 and 2012; the main finding was that of the six studies that
- 93 fulfilled the review's inclusion criteria only two "arguably" reported sufficient APV information, whilst
- 94 the other four did not provide APV information such as frequency or intensity of training sessions. 95 Although the systematic review [36] is useful for understanding some aspects of the reporting of APVs in
- 96 knee strength training, relative to the APVs and EDs listed in Table 1, the review did not examine the
- 97 reporting of other clinically important APVs and EDs (e.g. arc-of-motion, single- or double-leg exercise).
- 98 The present authors have been unable to identify any systematic reviews specifically on reporting of
- 99 APVs and EDs in strength training for individuals with PCL, MCL, LCL, meniscal, or hyaline cartilage injury.
- 100 As such, it is unclear whether reporting of APVs and EDs in scientific literature investigating strength
- 101 training for individuals with TFJ soft tissue injury can be considered adequate for translation to clinical
- 102 practice. Because of the safety and effectiveness considerations outlined previously, and because of the
- 103 fundamental reporting detail needed for the responsible translation of research to clinical practice also
- 104 outlined previously, the purpose of this systematic review was to determine the extent to which APVs 105
- and EDs are adequately reported in studies of adults with TFJ soft tissue injury. We hypothesized that
- 106 strength training APVs and EDs would not be adequately reported by the majority of included studies for 107 ACL, PCL, MCL, LCL, meniscal, or hyaline cartilage injury. This systematic review will differ from recent
- 108 previous work [36] in that it will focus on more than one type of TFJ injury, assess a greater number of

- 109 APVs and EDs, and encompass more recent research. The anticipated significance of this review is that it
- 110 will yield important information that helps focus enhanced reporting of TFJ strength training
- 111 interventions in future research.
- 112

113 2. Methods

- 114 This review was registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO:
- 115 ID = CRD42016042315)[51], and followed all relevant items in the Preferred Reporting Items for
- 116 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [52, 53].
- 117

118 2.1 Search Strategy

- 119 Comprehensive electronic searches were performed on 14th March 2018 using two medical databases
- 120 (PubMed, MEDLINE), two allied health databases (PEDro, CINAHL), and one sports database
- 121 (SportDiscuss) using specific combinations of pre-defined key terms (*Table 2*). We did not use Medical
- 122 Subject Heading (MeSH) terms because research studies are listed in PubMed long before being indexed
- 123 with MeSH terms; this means that placing emphasis on the use of MeSH terms can result in the most
- recently listed research studies not being captured and missed [54]. Piloting of our specific combinations
- 125 of pre-defined key terms and study selection process was performed and found to be effective.
- 126
- 127 Table 2. Pre-defined Key Terms and Key Term Combinations for Literature Searches

Item 1	With/without Item 2	And Item 3	And Item 4
Anterior Cruciate Ligament	Deficient	Rehabilitation	Strength training
Posterior Cruciate Ligament	Conservative		Resistance training
Medial Collateral Ligament	Reconstruction		Weight training
Lateral Collateral Ligament	Repair		
Meniscus			
Hyaline Cartilage			
Cartilage			

128

129 This review only considered TFJ soft tissue injury studies involving adults (age \geq 18 years) and that were

130 of descriptive (case series), analytic-observational (cohort, case-control), and analytic-experimental

131 (randomised controlled trial) design [55, 56]. Tibiofemoral joint soft tissue injury was defined as any

injury that involved the ACL, PCL, MCL, LCL, medial/lateral meniscus, and hyaline cartilage. Included

133 studies employed strength training methods that used a fixed mass as the means of providing exercise

resistance (e.g. ankle-weight, plate-loaded resistance training machine, free-weight, body-weight).

135 Studies were excluded from this review if participants were age < 18 years, had sustained a TFJ bone

injury, had a patellofemoral joint injury, or had patellar tendinopathy. Studies were also excluded if they

137 primarily used elastic, hydraulic, or pneumatic exercise resistance ('primarily used' was defined as \geq 51%

- 138 of all exercises), or used mixed-modality interventions (e.g. strength training + electrical stimulation).
- 139

140 Only articles published from 1st January 2006 onwards were considered because it was in 2006 that

- 141 Toigo and Boutellier [37] called for enhanced strength training programme reporting with uninjured
- individuals. However, recognizing the call from Toigo and Boutellier [37] referred to uninjured
- 143 individuals, we were only interested in APVs and EDs we considered most important in clinical practice

- 144 (Table 1) and as classically defined and prioritised in previous clinically-focused publications [36, 40]. We
- also only considered articles published from 1st January 2006 onwards because, as practicing clinicians
- 146 with >37 years of collective clinical experience, and given the ongoing year-to-year rapid evolution of
- surgical techniques and post-injury/post-surgery restrictions, we deemed that research published no
- 148 longer than approximately 10 years ago was of most interest relative to the design and application of
- 149 present day knee strength training programmes.
- 150
- 151 Following database searches, duplicates were removed prior to two of the research team members
- 152 independently screening abstracts to ascertain eligibility for inclusion in the review. Following abstract
- screening, only full-text English language studies were obtained for further assessment of eligibility
- 154 through a full text review.
- 155

157 **2.2 Data extraction and synthesis**

- 158 Following eligibility assessment, data were extracted and entered into customized Microsoft Excel
- 159 spreadsheets (Appendices). Data included study characteristics (e.g. study design, participant
- 160 demographics, surgery occurrence), exercise names, and the APVs and EDs listed in Table 1. The
- 161 presence or absence of an APV or ED was coded as binary data (1 = present; 0 = absent) for each
- 162 exercise. When a study with ambiguous APVs/EDs was identified, the two primary reviewers met to
- discuss the study contents and achieve agreement on its eligibility status. If the meeting failed to resolve
- any ambiguity, the third member of the research team facilitated a consensus regarding final eligibility
- 165 for inclusion in this review. This review focused simply on the extent to which APVs and EDs were
- 166 reported by eligible studies. This review did not focus on the outcome (efficacy, effectiveness) of any
- 167 intervention. Therefore, risk of bias within studies was not relevant to the purpose of this review and a
- 168 quality assessment of included studies was not performed.
- 169

170 2.3 Data Analysis

- 171 For all included studies, frequency counts were made for the presence of APVs and EDs. Because
- different studies contain different numbers of exercises, we defined 'adequately reported' as where an
- individual study reported an APV and/or ED for ≥ 51% of all of its exercises (i.e. the majority of all
- exercises). Proportions were then calculated to describe the percentage of studies that reported APVs
- and/or EDs: APV proportion (%) = (number of studies with APV present ÷ total number of studies
- 176 included in review) × 100; ED proportion (%) = (number of studies with ED present ÷ total number of
- 177 studies included in review) × 100.
- 178

179 **3. Results**

- 180 Details of the search results and study identification process are presented in Figure 1. Full details and
- 181 characteristics of the 16 studies included for final data synthesis can be found in the Appendices.
- 182
- 183





- 190 Of the 13 ACL studies, 12 were randomised controlled trials and one was a single cohort study. Mean
- 191 participant age ranged from 22 to 35 years, with nine studies including both male and female
- 192 participants. Nine studies included an ACL-reconstruction sample, four studies included an ACL-deficient
- sample. Acute programme variable and ED frequency counts for the ACL studies are presented in *Figure*
- 194 *2* and *Figure 3*. Of the 13 included studies, there was great variation in the percentage of studies
- adequately reporting specific APVs (range 0-92%): exercise order 0%, type of muscle action (isometric,
- anisometric) 85%, number of sets 85%, number of repetitions 92%, magnitude of load 54%, velocity of
- 197 movement 8%, between-set rest period 31%, weekly frequency of sessions 85%, number of rest days
- 198 between sessions 0%. There was also great variation in the percentage of studies adequately reporting

- 199 specific EDs (range 15-100%): compound or isolated exercise 92%, patient position 54%, single- or
- 200 double-leg exercise 62%, joint angle/arc-of-motion 15%, loading method 38%, total duration of
- 201 programme 100%, unsupervised/supervised exercise 85%, progression criteria 31%.
- 202 Of all APVs, only 5/9 (56%) were adequately reported (type of muscle action, number of sets, number of
- repetitions, magnitude of load, weekly frequency of sessions). Of all EDs, only 4/8 (50%) were
- 204 adequately reported (compound/isolated exercise, single-/double-leg exercise, total duration of
- 205 programme, unsupervised/supervised exercise).

207 Figure 2. Acute Programme Variable (APV) Frequency Count for Anterior Cruciate Ligament Studies







- 211
- 212



213 Figure 3. Exercise Descriptor (ED) Frequency Count for Anterior Cruciate Ligament Studies

217 Of the three meniscus studies, two were randomised controlled trials and one was a single cohort study. 218 Mean participant age ranged from 34 to 55 years, with all studies including both male and female 219 participants. Two studies included post-meniscectomy samples, one study a meniscus diagnostic 220 arthroscopy sample. Acute programme variable and ED frequency counts for the meniscus studies are 221 presented in Figure 4 and Figure 5. Of the three included studies, there was great variation in the 222 percentage of studies adequately reporting specific APVs (range 0-100%): exercise order 0%, type of 223 muscle action (isometric, anisometric) 67%, number of sets 67%, number of repetitions 67%, magnitude 224 of load 33%, velocity of movement 0%, between-set rest period 0%, weekly frequency of sessions 100%, 225 number of rest days between sessions 0%. There was also great variation in the percentage of studies 226 adequately reporting specific EDs (range 33-100%): compound or isolated exercise 33%, patient position 227 33%, single- or double-leg exercise 33%, joint angle/arc-of-motion 33%, loading method 67%, total 228 duration of programme 100%, unsupervised/supervised exercise 100%, progression criteria 67%. 229

- 230 Of all APVs, only 4/9 (44%) were adequately reported (type of muscle action, number of sets, number of
- repetitions, weekly frequency of sessions). Of all EDs, only 4/8 (50%) were adequately reported (loading
- 232 method, total duration of programme, unsupervised/supervised exercise, progression criteria).



234 Figure 4. Acute Programme Variable (APV) Frequency Count for Meniscus Studies



were adequately reported by the included ACL studies, a large proportion of APVs (4/9, 44%) were not

242 Figure 5. Exercise Descriptor (ED) Frequency Count for Meniscus Studies

254

255

adequately reported.



256 This systematic review differed from recent previous reviews in that it focused on more than one type of

257 TFJ injury, assessed a greater number of APVs and EDs, and encompassed more recent research.

- 258 Relative to ACL injury, our findings are consistent with the systematic review by Augustsson [36] who
- 259 identified unsatisfactory reporting of APVs and EDs in strength training programmes. In the previous
- review by Augustsson [36], only six studies were included, whereas in the current review 13 studies
- were included. Poor and inconsistent reporting of APVs and EDs in strength training has also been
 highlighted for other knee conditions including patellofemoral pain [50] and knee osteoarthritis [72]. In
- a systematic review including 38 patellofemoral pain studies, Holden et al [50] identified that no single
- 264 study provided complete strength training programme information, with number of rest days between
- 265 sessions being one of the least reported variables. In another systematic review of 34 knee
- 266 osteoarthritis studies, Minshull and Gleeson [72] demonstrated that APVs were inconsistently applied
- and insufficiently reported across all studies, with between-set rest periods being one of the least
- reported variables. The present work, alongside the work of Augustsson [36], Holden et al [50] and
- 269 Minshull and Gleeson [72], highlights the need for more consistent and robust methods of reporting
- 270 strength training APVs and EDs in order to facilitate effective knowledge translation and implementation
- 271 of evidence-based practice knee rehabilitation.
- 272 The vast majority of studies in this review were strength training interventions for ACL injury as opposed
- to other TFJ soft tissue injuries, which is likely a reflection of researchers' preferred foci worldwide
- 274 rather than the incidence of other TFJ injuries. Because the majority of studies focused on ACL injuries,
- this highlights a severe lack of scientific literature for the rehabilitation for other TFJ soft tissue injuries
- 276 (e.g. PCL, MCL, LCL, hyaline cartilage), despite strength training being considered a critical component
- 277 for mitigating impairments and activity limitations, and facilitating an individual's participation in society
- 278 [73].
- 279 Exercise order impacts both acute physiological responses and chronic adaptations to strength training
- [39]. However, no study identified in this review explicitly stated the order in which exercises were
- 281 performed. The potential variation in order of strength training exercises in rehabilitation may lead to
- sub-optimal acute physiological responses as well as excessive fatigue which could impact upon more
- 283 short- and medium-term clinical outcomes (e.g. activity capability).
- The selection of either isometric or anisometric muscle action can be important as they can each elicit different physiological responses [74-77]. Further, isometric muscle actions are often performed in
- 285 different physiological responses [74-77]. Further, isometric muscle actions are often performed in 286 rehabilitation at one or more points in a range of motion for some form of short term joint protection
- rehabilitation at one or more points in a range-of-motion for some form of short-term joint protection [41] and, therefore, may not result in training adaptations throughout the range-of-motion [78]. For
- [41] and, therefore, may not result in training adaptations throughout the range-of-motion [78]. For
 identified ACL and meniscal studies, the type of muscle action was generally adequately reported.
- 289 Given that progressive overload is one of the cornerstone principles of strength training, the volume
- load of each exercise can have a direct impact upon physiological responses, chronic adaptations, and
- overall clinical outcomes during TFJ injury rehabilitation [38, 40, 79, 80]. Volume load is typically
- determined by calculating the total number of repetitions (sets × repetitions) and multiplying this by the
- 293 magnitude of load (e.g. kilograms (kg)) [79, 81, 82]. The magnitude of load represents the intensity
 294 component of progressive overload, and can be calculated/reported an absolute load (e.g. 5kg) or a
- relative load (e.g. percentage of one repetition maximum (%1RM)) [79, 83]. Whilst sets, repetitions, and
- load were adequately reported across the majority of ACL studies, only sets and repetitions were
- adequately reported across meniscus studies with the magnitude of load and relative intensity being

- 298 frequently omitted. Therefore, based on studies identified in this systematic review, volume load and 299 average intensity cannot be replicated in clinical practice for individuals with meniscus injury.
- 300 The velocity at which anisometric muscle actions occur within a repetition can also be important when 301 applying the specificity principle of resistance training [82, 84-86]. For example, in the latter stages of
- 302
- rehabilitation programmes, clinicians may wish to select exercises and APVs to target maximal power 303 gains, for which lower velocity (slower) movements are ineffective [87]. The velocity of a repetition cycle
- 304 will also impact the length of time taken to perform each set of an exercise and thus affect the overall
- 305 'time under tension', another measure of strength training volume which can impact physiological
- 306 responses and fatigue levels [37, 88-90]. This systematic review identified only one ACL article [91]
- 307 whereby velocity was adequately reported and total time under tension could have been calculated.
- 308 Muscle atrophy and weakness are common impairments following TFJ injury [16, 17, 35, 92] and
- 309 strength training exercises are often given to elicit muscle hypertrophy and increase muscle strength
- 310 [29, 42, 93]. Manipulation of the between-set rest period has been shown to impact physiological
- 311 responses involved with hypertrophy in uninjured adults [94, 95] and, therefore, should be an important
- 312 APV for therapists to consider when designing TFJ injury strength training rehabilitation programmes to
- 313 mitigate impairments such as muscle atrophy and loss of muscle strength.
- 314 Weekly frequency of sessions and number of rest days between sessions are known to impact muscle
- 315 hypertrophy and levels of neuromuscular fatigue in uninjured adults [80, 96]. This review found that
- 316 whilst weekly frequency of sessions were adequately reported across both ACL and meniscal studies,
- 317 rest days between sessions were often not. Clinically, rest days between sessions are important because
- 318 too few could result in inadequate tissue recovery from training loads and exceeding the TFJ envelope of
- 319 function at a set point-in-time [97], and too many could result in sub-optimal hypertrophic gains [80,
- 320 96].
- 321 Half of EDs (patient position, joint angle/arc of motion, loading method, progression criteria) were not
- 322 adequately reported by the majority of ACL studies. Additionally, half of EDs (compound or isolated,
- 323 patient position, single or double legged, joint angle/arc of motion) were not adequately reported for
- 324 meniscus studies. Clinically, EDs are critical components of strength training because they can affect
- 325 forces acting across the TFJ in a population that possesses compromised knee anatomy and joint
- 326 stability [98-100]. The omission of EDs can raise potential safety concerns with regards to, for example, a
- 327 patient attempting a full-range single-leg squat vs a partial double-leg squat at an inappropriate time in
- 328 rehabilitation [101].
- 329 Strength training programmes following TFJ injury are often progressed in a time-based or objective
- 330 measurement-based manner [71, 102]. A method to help clinicians decide when to progress an
- 331 individual's rehabilitation is necessary for both patient safety and continuous improvement [27, 102].
- 332 The problem with time-based progression criteria is that individuals can have profound differences in
- 333 physiological and biochemical characteristics and heal at different rates [27]. Thus, objective
- 334 measurement-based progression criteria (i.e. goals) are preferred, whereby a patient must achieve a
- 335 measurable criterion (goal) before an exercise is progressed to a more challenging version [27, 102].
- 336 Most of the ACL and meniscus studies presented time-based progression of exercises versus objective
- 337 measurement-based progression. Of the ACL and meniscus studies that did mention some form of
- 338 objective measurement-based progression criterion, all were vague with regards to how to then
- 339 progress exercises to more challenging versions beyond said criterion. A more detailed and standardized

format for reporting measurement-based progression criteria, and the methods for progression beyondsaid criteria, is desirable for TFJ strength training programmes.

342 The Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT) offers a standardised method for reporting 343 exercise interventions [49]. We did not use this template in the present review for two reasons: 1. the 344 CERT study [49] was published just after the present review had been registered on PROSPERO [51]; 2. 345 the CERT focuses on clinical trials, whereas the present review planned to encompass a wider range of 346 study designs. The Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) also offers a 347 standardised method for reporting interventions [103]. We also did not use this template for two 348 reasons: 1. the emphasis of the TIDieR [103] is also on clinical trials and, again, the present review 349 planned to encompass a wider range of study designs; 2. the TIDieR is a general tool intended for 350 application across different types of intervention including drug treatments and, therefore, is not 351 specific to strength training contexts. As for other recent systematic reviews [50], we did not use other 352 intervention reporting templates because the present review commenced before they were published 353 or such templates are not specific to reporting of strength training APVs and EDs for individuals with TFJ 354 soft tissue injury. As recently proposed by Holden et al [50], future research should consider combining 355 general exercise intervention reporting recommendations offered by the CERT [49] or TIDieR [103]

356 checklists with specific strength training reporting recommendations.

357 We applied date and language restrictions within our search strategy. Such restrictions clearly exclude 358 earlier studies and publications in other languages. Future systematic reviews could search different 359 timeframes and other language databases to identify other worldwide works on the extent to which 360 strength training APVs and EDs are reported in studies of adults with TFJ soft tissue injury. It could be 361 argued that the 51% threshold for APVs and EDs to be defined as 'adequately reported' should have 362 been higher to facilitate greater translation of EBP. The 51% threshold does, however, serve the purpose 363 of reflecting a majority perspective. Future research can consider whether a higher percentage 364 threshold is appropriate for operationally defining the term 'adequately reported'. This review solely 365 looked at whether strength training APVs and EDs were adequately reported in TFJ rehabilitation studies 366 and did not perform a quality assessment of studies or assess the extent to which APVs are reported 367 against treatment outcomes. Future research should examine whether the extent of strength training 368 APV and ED reporting does, in fact, influence TFJ soft tissue injury injury clinical outcomes.

369

370 **4. Conclusion**

371 Strength training APVs and EDs are generally not adequately reported in recent studies of adults with 372 TFJ soft tissue injury. The inadequate reporting of APVs in studies of adults with TFJ soft tissue injury 373 threatens the translation of research to clinical practice and the performance of evidence-based 374 exercises due to readers' attempts to interpolate omitted strength training information. The altered 375 performance of TFJ injury strength training programmes could lead to wide variation in individuals' 376 physiological responses and overall clinical outcomes. The significance of this review is that it identifies 377 future studies for rehabilitation of adults with TFJ soft tissue injury should document thorough and 378 detailed strength training APVs and EDs to encourage higher standards of intervention reporting, 379 facilitate better translation of research to clinical practice, and potentially enhance patient-relevant 380 outcomes.

381

383 References

Ekstrand, J., M. Hagglund, and M. Walden, *Injury incidence and injury patterns in professional football: the UEFA injury study.* Br J Sports Med, 2011. **45**(7): p. 553-8.

 Swenson, D.M., et al., *Epidemiology of U.S. high school sports-related ligamentous ankle injuries,* 2005/06-2010/11. Clin J Sport Med, 2013. 23(3): p. 190-6.

- Dehaven, K.E., *Diagnosis of acute knee injuries with hemarthrosis.* American Journal of Sports
 Medicine, 1980. 8(1): p. 9-14.
- Sarimo, J., et al., Acute traumatic hemarthrosis of the knee. Is routine arthroscopic examination necessary? A study of 320 consecutive patients. Scandinavian Journal of Surgery, 2002. 91(4): p. 361-364.
- 393 5. de Loës, M., L. Dahlstedt, and R. Thomée, *A 7-year study on risks and costs of knee injuries in male and female youth participants in 12 sports*. Scand J Med Sci Sports, 2000. **10**(2): p. 90.
- Cumps, E., et al., *Injury rate and socioeconomic costs resulting from sports injuries in Flanders: data derived from sports insurance statistics 2003.* Br J Sports Med, 2008. 42(9): p. 767-772.
- Joseph, A.M., et al., *A multisport epidemiologic comparison of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in high school athletics.* Journal of Athletic Training, 2013. 48(6): p. 810-817.
- 3998.Silvers, H.J. and B.R. Mandelbaum, Prevention of anterior cruciate ligament injury in the female400athlete. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2007. 41(Suppl 1): p. i52-i59.
- 401 9. Risberg, M.A., M. Lewek, and L. Snyder-Mackler, A systematic review of evidence for anterior
 402 *cruciate ligament rehabilitation: how much and what type?* Physical Therapy in Sport 2004
 403 Aug;5(3):125-145, 2004.
- 40410.Lohmander, L.S., et al., The long-term consequence of anterior cruciate ligament and meniscus405injuries: osteoarthritis. The American journal of sports medicine, 2007. **35**(10): p. 1756-1769.
- 406 11. Øiestad, B.E., et al., *Knee osteoarthritis after anterior cruciate ligament injury.* Am J Sports Med,
 407 2009. **37**(7): p. 1434-1443.
- 408 12. Muller, B., et al., Defining Thresholds for the Patient Acceptable Symptom State for the IKDC
 409 Subjective Knee Form and KOOS for Patients Who Underwent ACL Reconstruction. Am J Sports
 410 Med, 2016. 44(11): p. 2820-2826.
- 411 13. Fox, A.J., et al., *The human meniscus: a review of anatomy, function, injury, and advances in treatment.* Clin Anat, 2015. 28(2): p. 269-87.
- 413 14. Olsson, O., et al., *Epidemiology of intra- and peri-articular structural injuries in traumatic knee*414 *joint hemarthrosis data from 1145 consecutive knees with subacute MRI.* Osteoarthritis
 415 Cartilage, 2016. 24(11): p. 1890-1897.
- Pezeshki, S., et al., Association of the type of trauma, occurrence of bone bruise, fracture and joint effusion with the injury to the menisci and ligaments in MRI of knee trauma. Muscles
 Ligaments Tendons J, 2016. 6(1): p. 161-6.
- Thomas, A.C., et al., *Muscle atrophy contributes to quadriceps weakness after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.* J Sci Med Sport, 2016. **19**(1): p. 7-11.
- 421 17. Hart, J.M., et al., Quadriceps activation following knee injuries: a systematic review. J Athl Train,
 422 2010. 45(1): p. 87-97.
- 423 18. Sturnieks, D.L., et al., *Knee strength and knee adduction moments following arthroscopic partial*424 *meniscectomy.* Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2008. 40(6): p. 991-7.
- 425 19. van Meer, B.L., et al., *Bone mineral density changes in the knee following anterior cruciate ligament rupture.* Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 2014. 22(1): p. 154-61.
- 427 20. Lui, P.P., et al., *A randomized controlled trial comparing bone mineral density changes of three* 428 *different ACL reconstruction techniques.* Knee, 2012. **19**(6): p. 779-85.
- 429 21. Bayar, A., et al., *Regional bone density changes in anterior cruciate ligament deficient knees: a*430 *DEXA study.* Knee, 2008. **15**(5): p. 373-7.
- Thoma, L.M., et al., Differential knee joint loading patterns during gait for individuals with *tibiofemoral and patellofemoral articular cartilage defects in the knee.* Osteoarthritis Cartilage,
 2017. 25(7): p. 1046-1054.
- 434 23. Sigward, S.M., P. Lin, and K. Pratt, *Knee loading asymmetries during gait and running in early*435 *rehabilitation following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A longitudinal study.* Clin
 436 Biomech (Bristol, Avon), 2016. **32**: p. 249-54.

- Filbay, S.R., K.M. Crossley, and I.N. Ackerman, Activity preferences, lifestyle modifications and
 re-injury fears influence longer-term quality of life in people with knee symptoms following anterior
 cruciate ligament reconstruction: a qualitative study. J Physiother, 2016. 62(2): p. 103-10.
- 440 25. Scott, S.M., M.A. Perry, and G. Sole, "Not always a straight path": patients' perspectives following 441 anterior cruciate ligament rupture and reconstruction. Disabil Rehabil, 2017: p. 1-7.
- 442 26. Culvenor, A.G. and C.J. Barton, *ACL injuries: the secret probably lies in optimising rehabilitation.*443 Br J Sports Med, 2018: p. bjsports-2017-098872.
- Clark, N.C., (vii) The role of physiotherapy in rehabilitation of soft tissue injuries of the knee.
 Orthopaedics and Trauma, 2015. 29(1): p. 48-56.
- 446 28. Krishnasamy, P., M. Hall, and S.R. Robbins, *The role of skeletal muscle in the pathophysiology* 447 *and management of knee osteoarthritis.* Rheumatology, 2018. **57**(suppl_4): p. iv22-iv33.
- Palmieri-Smith, R.M., A.C. Thomas, and E.M. Wojtys, *Maximizing quadriceps strength after ACL reconstruction.* Clin Sports Med, 2008. 27(3): p. 405-24, vii-ix.
- Turner, C.H. and A.G. Robling, *Designing exercise regimens to increase bone strength*. Exerc
 Sport Sci Rev, 2003. **31**(1): p. 45-50.
- Flosadottir, V., E.M. Roos, and E. Ageberg, *Muscle function is associated with future patient- reported outcomes in young adults with ACL injury.* BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med, 2016. 2(1): p. e000154.
- Schmitt, L.C., M.V. Paterno, and T.E. Hewett, *The impact of quadriceps femoris strength asymmetry on functional performance at return to sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.* J Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 2012. 42(9): p. 750-9.
- 458 33. Bodkin, S., et al., *Relationships of Muscle Function and Subjective Knee Function in Patients* 459 *After ACL Reconstruction.* Orthop J Sports Med, 2017. **5**(7): p. 2325967117719041.
- 460 34. Lentz, T.A., et al., *Return to preinjury sports participation following anterior cruciate ligament*461 *reconstruction: contributions of demographic, knee impairment, and self-report measures.* J
 462 Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 2012. 42(11): p. 893-901.
- Williams, G.N., et al., *Quadriceps weakness, atrophy, and activation failure in predicted noncopers after anterior cruciate ligament injury.* The American journal of sports medicine, 2005.
 33(3): p. 402-407.
- 46636.Augustsson, J., Documentation of strength training for research purposes after ACL467reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc, 2013. 21(8): p. 1849-55.
- 46837.Toigo, M. and U. Boutellier, New fundamental resistance exercise determinants of molecular and469cellular muscle adaptations. Eur J Appl Physiol, 2006. **97**(6): p. 643-63.
- 470 38. Spiering, B.A., et al., *Resistance exercise biology: manipulation of resistance exercise*471 *programme variables determines the responses of cellular and molecular signalling pathways.*472 Sports Med, 2008. **38**(7): p. 527-40.
- 473 39. Simao, R., et al., *Exercise order in resistance training.* Sports Med, 2012. 42(3): p. 251-65.
- 474 40. Kraemer, W.J., Koziris, L.P., *Muscle Strength Training: Techniques and Considerations.* Physical
 475 Therapy Practice, 1992. 2(1): p. 54-68.
- 476 41. Morrissey, M.C., E.A. Harman, and M.J. Johnson, *Resistance training modes: specificity and effectiveness.* Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 1995. 27(5): p. 648-660.
- 478 42. Lepley, L.K., E.M. Wojtys, and R.M. Palmieri-Smith, *Combination of eccentric exercise and*479 *neuromuscular electrical stimulation to improve quadriceps function post-ACL reconstruction.*480 Knee, 2015. 22(3): p. 270-7.
- 43. Sievanen, H., et al., Bone mineral density and muscle strength of lower extremities after long482 term strength training, subsequent knee ligament injury and rehabilitation: a unique 2-year follow483 up of a 26-year-old female student. Bone, 1994. 15(1): p. 85-90.
- 484 44. Barcellona, M., et al., *The effect of knee extensor open kinetic chain resistance training in the* 485 *ACL-injured knee.* Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2015. **23**(11): p. 3168-3177.
- 486
 45. Thomas, M., T. Müller, and M. Busse, *Quantification of tension in Thera-Band and Cando tubing at different strains and starting lengths*. J Sports Med Phys Fitness, 2005. **45**(2): p. 188-198.
 488
 46. Simoneau, G., et al., *Biomechanics of elastic resistance in therapeutic exercise programs*. J
- 489 Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 2001. **31**(1): p. 16-24.
- 490 47. Webster, K.E., et al., Symmetry of squatting and the effect of fatigue following anterior cruciate 491 *ligament reconstruction.* Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc, 2015. **23**(11): p. 3208-13.

- 492 48. Goff, A., W. Page, and N. Clark, *Reporting of acute programme variables in rehabilitation strength training for anterior cruciate ligament injury: a systematic review.* Br J Sports Med, 2017. 51
 494 (Suppl 2): p. A6-A7.
- 49. Slade, S.C., et al., Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT): Modified Delphi Study.
 496 Phys Ther, 2016. 96(10): p. 1514-1524.
- Holden, S., et al., How can we implement exercise therapy for patellofemoral pain if we don't know what was prescribed? A systematic review. Br J Sports Med, 2017. 52(6): p. 1-8.
- 499 51. PROSPERO. Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/.
- 500 52. Liberati, A., et al., *The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration.* J Clin Epidemiol, 2009. 62(10): p. e1-34.
- 503 53. Moher, D., et al., *Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the* 504 *PRISMA statement.* BMJ, 2009. **339**: p. b2535.
- 505 54. Havill, N.L., et al., *Managing Large-Volume Literature Searches in Research Synthesis Studies.*506 Nurs Outlook, 2014. 62(2): p. 112-118.
- 507 55. CEBM. Available from: https://www.cebm.net/2014/04/study-designs/.
- 508 56. Koepsell, T.D., Selecting a Study Design for Injury Research, in Injury control: a guide to research and program evaluation, F.P.C. Rivara, P.; Koespell, T.D.; Grossman, D.C.; Maier, R.V., Editor.
 510 2009, Cambridge University Press. p. 89-104.
- 57. Arundale, A.J.H., et al., *Report of the clinical and functional primary outcomes in men of the ACL-*512 SPORTS trial: similar outcomes in men receiving secondary prevention with and without
 513 perturbation training 1 and 2 years after ACL reconstruction. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related
 514 Research 2017 Oct;475(10):2523-2534, 2017.
- 515 58. Berschin, G., et al., Whole Body Vibration Exercise Protocol versus a Standard Exercise Protocol after ACL Reconstruction: A Clinical Randomized Controlled Trial with Short Term Follow-Up.
 517 Journal of Sports Science & Medicine, 2014. 13(3): p. 580-589.
- 518 59. Bieler, T., et al., *The effects of high-intensity versus low-intensity resistance training on leg*519 *extensor power and recovery of knee function after ACL-reconstruction.* BioMed Research
 520 International 2014;(278512):Epub, 2014.
- Hart, J.M., et al., Quadriceps function in anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knees exercising with
 transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and cryotherapy: a randomized controlled study. Clin
 Rehabil, 2012. 26(11): p. 974-81.
- Hartigan, E., *Knee function after ACL rupture and reconstruction effects of neuromuscular training*. 2009, University of Delaware. p. 200 p-200 p.
- Holm, L., et al., The effect of protein and carbohydrate supplementation on strength training outcome of rehabilitation in ACL patients. J Orthop Res, 2006. 24(11): p. 2114-23.
- Kinikli, G.I., et al., *The effect of progressive eccentric and concentric training on functional performance after autogenous hamstring anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomized controlled study.* Acta Orthopaedica et Traumatologica Turcica 2014;48(3):283-289, 2014.
- 64. Pistone, E.M., et al., *Effects of early whole-body vibration treatment on knee neuromuscular function and postural control after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomized controlled trial.* Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine 2016 Oct;48(10);880-886, 2016.
- 65. Risberg, M.A., et al., *Neuromuscular training versus strength training during first 6 months after*anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomized clinical trial. Physical Therapy 2007
 Jun;87(6):737-750, 2007.
- 53766.Risberg, M.A., et al., Rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament injury influences joint loading538during walking but not hopping. Br J Sports Med, 2009. 43(6): p. 423-8.
- 539 67. Sekir, U., H. Gur, and B. Akova, *Early versus late start of isokinetic hamstring-strengthening*540 *exercise after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with patellar tendon graft.* The American
 541 Journal of Sports Medicine 2010 Mar;38(3):492-500, 2010.
- 542 68. Souissi, S., et al., *Improving Functional Performance and Muscle Power 4-to-6 Months After* 543 *Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction.* J Sports Sci Med, 2011. **10**(4): p. 655-64.
- 544 69. Ericsson, Y.B., L.E. Dahlberg, and E.M. Roos, *Effects of functional exercise training on*545 *performance and muscle strength after meniscectomy: a randomized trial.* Scand J Med Sci
 546 Sports, 2009. **19**(2): p. 156-65.

547	70.	Morrissey, M.C. and P.C. Goodwin, Correlates of knee extensor training load used in
548		rehabilitation after knee surgery. J Strength Cond Res, 2007. 21(4): p. 1050-2.
549	71.	Wondrasch, B., et al., The feasibility of a 3-month active rehabilitation program for patients with
550		knee full-thickness articular cartilage lesions: the Usio Cartilage Active Rehabilitation and
551	70	Education Study. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 2013. 43(5): p. 310-24.
552	12.	Minshull, C. and N. Gleeson, Considerations of the Principles of Resistance Training in Exercise
		Sudies for the Management of Knee Osteoanninus. A Systematic Review. Arch Phys Med
554	73	Reliabil, 2017. 90 (9). p. 1042-1051. Button K et al. Clinical effectiveness of knee rehabilitation techniques and implications for a
556	75.	self-care treatment model Physiotherapy 2012 98 (4): n 287-299
557	74	McMullen W A Roncarati and P Koval. Static and isokinetic treatments of chondromalacia
558	7 4.	patella: a comparative investigation Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy 1990
559		12 (6): p. 256-266.
560	75.	Babault, N., et al., Neuromuscular fatique development during maximal concentric and isometric
561	-	knee extensions. Journal of applied physiology, 2006. 100(3): p. 780-785.
562	76.	Kay, D., et al., Different neuromuscular recruitment patterns during eccentric, concentric and
563		isometric contractions. Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology, 2000. 10(6): p. 425-431.
564	77.	Howatson, G. and K.A. van Someren, The prevention and treatment of exercise-induced muscle
565		<i>damage</i> . Sports Med, 2008. 38 (6): p. 483-503.
566	78.	Rasch, P.J. and L.E. Morehouse, Effect of static and dynamic exercises on muscular strength
567		and hypertrophy. Journal of Applied Physiology, 1957. 11 (1): p. 29-34.
568	79.	Kraemer, W.J. and N.A. Ratamess, Fundamentals of resistance training: progression and
569		exercise prescription. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2004. 36(4): p. 674-88.
570	80.	Bruton, A., <i>Muscle plasticity: response to training and detraining.</i> Physiotherapy, 2002. 88 (7): p.
571	~	398-408.
5/2	81.	Schoenfeld, B.J., The mechanisms of muscle hypertrophy and their application to resistance
5/3	00	training. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 2010. 24(10): p. 2857-2872.
5/4	82.	Kraemer, W.J., Strength training basics: designing workouts to meet patients' goals. Phys
5/5	02	Sportsmed, 2003. 31 (8): p. 39-45.
570	03.	10 : p. 21.40
578	8/	Tu. p. 51-40. Cropin I. P. McNair and P. Marshall Is velocity-specific strength training important in improving
579	04.	functional performance? Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness 2002 42 (3): p. 267
580	85	Pereira M L and P.S. Gomes. Movement velocity in resistance training. Sports medicine. 2003
581	00.	33 (6): p 427-438
582	86.	Bird, S.P., K.M. Tarpenning, and F.E. Marino. Designing resistance training programmes to
583		enhance muscular fitness. Sports medicine, 2005. 35 (10): p. 841-851.
584	87.	Hatfield, D.L., et al., The impact of velocity of movement on performance factors in resistance
585		exercise. J Strength Cond Res, 2006. 20(4): p. 760-6.
586	88.	Burd, N.A., et al., Muscle time under tension during resistance exercise stimulates differential
587		muscle protein sub-fractional synthetic responses in men. J Physiol, 2012. 590(2): p. 351-62.
588	89.	Tran, Q.T. and D. Docherty, Dynamic training volume: a construct of both time under tension and
589		volume load. Journal of sports science & medicine, 2006. 5(4): p. 707.
590	90.	Gentil, P., E. Oliveira, and M. Bottaro, <i>Time under tension and blood lactate response during four</i>
591		different resistance training methods. Journal of physiological anthropology, 2006. 25(5): p. 339-
592		344.
593	91.	Barcellona, M.G., et al., The effect of knee extensor open kinetic chain resistance training in the
594	~~	ACL-injured knee. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc, 2015. 23(11): p. 3168-77.
595	92.	Lepley, L.K., Deficits in quadriceps strength and patient-oriented outcomes at return to activity
596	02	after ACL reconstruction: a review of the current literature. Sports health, 2015. 7(3): p. 231-238.
59/ 500	93.	van Gillsven, S., et al., Evidence-based renabilitation following anterior cruciate ligament
590		2010 2010 Aug; 18(8): 1128-1244, 2010
222	Q/	ZUIU. Grain Let al. The effects of short versus long inter-set rest intervals in resistance training on
601	J - .	measures of muscle hypertrophy. A systematic review Fur I Sport Sci 2017 17(8) n 082-003
001		

McKendry, J., et al., Short inter-set rest blunts resistance exercise-induced increases in
myofibrillar protein synthesis and intracellular signalling in young males. Exp Physiol, 2016.
101(7): p. 866-82.

605 96. Dankel, S.J., et al., *Frequency: The Overlooked Resistance Training Variable for Inducing Muscle* 606 *Hypertrophy?* Sports Med, 2017. **47**(5): p. 799-805.

607 97. Dye, S., *The knee as a biologic transmission with an envelope of function: a theory.* Clin Orthop 608 Relat Res, 1996(325): p. 10-18.

609 98. Sanford, B.A., et al., *Asymmetric ground reaction forces and knee kinematics during squat after* 610 *anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction.* Knee, 2016. **23**(5): p. 820-5.

- 99. Trulsson, A., et al., Altered movement patterns and muscular activity during single and double leg
 squats in individuals with anterior cruciate ligament injury. BMC Musculoskelet Disord, 2015. 16:
 p. 28.
- Toutoungi, D., et al., *Cruciate ligament forces in the human knee during rehabilitation exercises.*Clinical biomechanics, 2000. **15**(3): p. 176-187.
- 101. Davies, G.J., et al., ACL Return to Sport Guidelines and Criteria. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med,
 2017. 10(3): p. 307-314.
- Adams, D., et al., *Current concepts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a criterion-based rehabilitation progression.* journal of orthopaedic & sports physical therapy, 2012. 42(7): p. 601 614.
- Hoffmann, T.C., et al., Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ, 2014. 348: p. g1687.

Highlights

- Many acute programme variables were not adequately reported for ACL studies
- Many exercise descriptors were not adequately reported for ACL studies
- Most acute programme variables were not adequately reported for meniscus studies
- Many exercise descriptors were not adequately reported for meniscus studies

Ethical statement:

Ethical approval not required. None declared

Funding:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors