| 1 | Title: | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | The effects of menthol on exercise performance and thermal sensation: a meta-analysis | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Journal: Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Authors and affiliations: | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Owen Jeffries ^{1*} & Mark Waldron ^{2,3} | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Institutions: | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | ¹ School of Biomedical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | ² School of Sport, Health and Applied Science, St Mary's University, London, UK | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | ³ School of Science and Technology, University of New England, NSW, Australia | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | * = corresponding author | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Contact Details for the Corresponding | 30 | Contact Details for Second Author: | | | | | | |
| 17 | Author: | 31 | Dr Mark Waldron | | | | | | |
| 18 | Dr Owen Jeffries | 32 | School of Sport, Health and Applied Science | | | | | | |
| 19 | School of Biomedical Sciences | 33 | St Mary's University | | | | | | |
| 20 | Faculty of Medical Sciences | 34 | Twickenham. London | | | | | | |
| 21 | Newcastle University | 35 | TW1 4SX. UK | | | | | | |
| 22 | Cookson Building | 36 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Newcastle Upon Tyne | 37 | Fmail: Mark Waldron@stmarvs ac uk | | | | | | |
| 24 | NE2 4HH, UK | 38 | Tel: +44 (0) 208 2408 246 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | 30 | Dr Mark Waldron OBCID: 0000-0002-2720- | | | | | | |
| 26 | Email: Owen.Jeffries@newcastle.ac.uk | 40 | 4615 | | | | | | |
| 27 | Tel: +44 (0) 191 208 5315 | 41 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Dr Owen Jeffries ORCID: 0000-0002-8169- | | | | | | | | |

- 42 Running head: Menthol and Exercise Performance
- 43
- 44
- 45 Declarations of interest: none
- 46 Word count: 3992
- 47 **Tables:** 1
- 48 Figures: 3
- 49
- 50
- 51 Abbreviations:
- 52 NS, non-significant
- 53 PO, power output
- 54 Pt, point (on thermal sensation scale)
- 55 RPE, rating of perceived exertion
- 56 TRP, transient receptor potential
- 57 TS, thermal sensation
- 58 TT, time-trial
- 59 TTE, time-to-exhaustion
- 60 Wmax, maximal power output achieved in incremental ramp test

62 Abstract

63 **Objectives:** Menthol is an organic compound with non-thermal cooling properties that has been 64 shown to relieve thermal strain associated with exercise in the heat; however, its effects on 65 performance have not been systematically analysed. The aims were to determine the effects of 66 menthol applied (1) internally and (2) externally on exercise performance and thermal sensation.

67 **Design:** Meta-analysis

68 *Methods:* A search was performed using various databases in August 2018. The studies were 69 screened using search criteria for eligibility. Thirteen peer-reviewed articles were identified for 70 inclusion in a primary analysis on the effect of menthol on exercise performance; subsequently 71 eleven of these articles were included in a secondary analysis on the effect of menthol on thermal 72 sensation during exercise. A sub-analysis examining the application method was also performed.

Results: Menthol improved overall exercise performance (Hedges' g = 0.33, 95 % CI -0.00, 0.65 P = 0.05), demonstrating greater effects when applied internally (Hedges' g = 0.40, 95 % CI 0.04, 0.76, P = 0.03). Thermal sensation was also lowered overall across all studies (Hedges' g = -0.54, 95 % CI - 0.67, -0.42, P < 0.001).

Conclusions: Exercise performance can be improved by application of non-thermally cooling
 menthol, which also reduces perceptual measures of thermal sensation. Internal application appears
 to be the best strategy to improve performance.

- 80
- 81
- 82
- 83 Keywords: Heat, Cold, Thermoregulation, Sensory, Perception

84 Introduction

Menthol (2-Isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexanol) is a naturally occurring organic compound that invokes 85 a range of biological responses ¹. Menthol acts on sensory nerves ²⁻⁴ and in smooth muscle of 86 humans ⁵, as well as eliciting a cooling sensation when applied to the skin and mucosal surfaces ⁶. 87 88 Whilst found in many forms, the L isomer (L-menthol) is most commonly used because it elicits the strongest cooling sensations ⁷. Menthol-induced cold hypersensitivity primarily relies on activation of 89 90 the transient receptor potential melastatin 8 (TRPM8) channel expressed on small diameter A δ and C- sensory nerve fibres - a subset of neuronal fibres dedicated to innocuous cold sensing ²⁻⁴. These 91 channels are activated below a temperature threshold of 25 °C, as well as by a range of chemical 92 93 agonists, which include menthol². However, menthol also displays bimodal actions on the TRPA1 channel, which is expressed on polymodal nociceptive neurons⁸. This might explain the ambiguous 94 95 sensations of pain elicited by menthol when applied to the skin. Historically, menthol has been used 96 for a range of conditions, including gastrointestinal disorders, common cold and respiratory illness, 97 and for its analgesic properties on muscular skeletal pain¹.

98

Recent studies have explored menthol's non-thermal cooling properties in relieving the thermal 99 100 strain associated with exercise in the heat. Whilst the detrimental effect of hot environments on exercise performance has been well described ^{9,10}, much research has focussed on thermally-cooling 101 102 interventions to offset rises in core body temperature, thus enhancing performance. For example, ice slurry ingestion ^{11–13}, cold water immersion ¹⁴, face cooling ¹⁵ and cooling garments ¹⁶ have all 103 104 been reported to provide pre- or per-cooling effects. However, non-thermal cooling can act as an 105 alternative strategy to facilitate behavioural modifications in hot environments, and offers a more 106 practical method to extend exercise performance. Menthol elicits sensations of coolness, without 107 reductions in temperature, via activation of cold sensory pathways to the thalamus and the 108 somatosensory cortex ⁴. Here, reductions in perceived thermal sensation and thermal discomfort are thought to modulate perceived exertion to improve performance ^{17,18}. The application of menthol 109

may take multiple forms. For example, menthol can be applied externally to the skin via creams, gels, sprays or solutions, whereas internal applications are achieved through ingestion of a drink or mouth rinses. A recent review concluded that menthol has the greatest effect on exercise performance in the heat when applied internally ¹⁹. However, it is important to integrate current available data on the use of menthol during exercise in the heat and describe its effects on lowering thermal sensation to provide clear and accurate guidance for prescription in sporting and occupational environmental conditions.

117

Therefore, this study systematically reviewed and meta-analysed all peer reviewed studies that have applied menthol to human subjects during exhaustive exercise (time to exhaustion at a fixed intensity), self-modulated exercise to exhaustion over a fixed distance (time-trial) or to a fixed point (core temperature or power output associated with a fixed-RPE). The aim of the meta-analysis was to determine the effects of menthol application on exercise performance and thermal sensation, thus identifying the method of application that will achieve the largest change in perceptions of thermal strain and elicit the greatest ergogenic effect.

125

126

128 Methods

129 Search strategy

All literature that investigated the effect of menthol on exercise performance and thermal sensation was searched and obtained using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement guidelines, with a pre-determined search strategy ²⁰. There was no limit on the status or language of the publication and the final searches were performed in PubMed, Science Direct, Web of Science, and SPORTDiscus (EBSCO) between the dates: 6th-22nd August, 2018. The search terms used were 'menthol AND exercise' OR 'menthol AND thermal sensation'.

136

137 Study selection

138 Once all of the articles were identified, two reviewers screened the titles and abstracts for inclusion 139 or removal of duplicates. Another source was also identified from conference proceedings, which 140 was later excluded. The reference lists of the initial articles were reviewed independently by two 141 authors (OJ and MW), which did not reveal any additional articles. The remaining articles were then assessed by OJ and MW against the initial search criteria. To be included in this analysis, the studies 142 143 must have: i) administered menthol to humans via any mechanism, ii) a control group without 144 menthol and iii) been used during an exercise trial to either a fixed point or to exhaustion. Of the 145 remaining papers, some were further removed for the reasons outlined in figure 1.

146

147

*****Insert Figure 1 near here*****

148

149 Data extraction and quality assessment

150 Data were extracted independently by two authors (OJ and MW) and entered into a custom excel 151 spreadsheet. Collected data included: i) characteristics of the sample (sex, health status, age, 152 training status); ii) study design; iii) menthol application method and dose; iv) performance type; v) performance outcomes; vi) thermal sensation outcomes; and vii) bias. Risk of bias was assessed by 153 154 two authors (OJ and MW) according to Cochrane collaboration guidelines ²¹. Where details of the 155 study were unclear, the authors were contacted for further information to confirm details of the 156 method. For the purposes of comparing data between time-to-exhaustion exercise tasks and time-157 trial exercise tasks, the latter data were converted to speed (m/s) for analysis. One study 22 did not include "final value" data for performance, therefore, the reported "change score" (the change in 158 159 average power output in time trial 2 (T2) following the intervention, in relation to baseline time trial 160 1 (T1)) was added to the average T1 score for both conditions. These calculations matched the 161 reported combined data value for T2. However, as measures of standard deviation (SD) were not 162 available data was imputed ²³ from the reported combined T1+T2 data for each condition. Here, the 163 SD scores appeared to approximately match the differences in SD reported in the change scores and 164 therefore considered appropriate. Data was analysed using standardised mean differences (Hedges' g) to reflect the different measurement outcomes. 165

Data extracted for thermal sensation (TS) were reported using three different analog scales. Three 166 167 articles used a 7-point analog scale based on ²⁴, six articles used a 9-point analog scale based on ²⁵, 168 and two articles used a 17-point analog scale that was comparable to the 9-point scale but with 0.5 point intervals ²⁶. Scores for thermal sensation were averaged across exercise trials following 169 170 administration or application of menthol. Hence when menthol was applied at the beginning of 171 exercise all data gathered during the test was averaged. However, in exercise trials were menthol 172 was administered towards the end of exercise, TS values were averaged only from this point 173 onwards. The reported differences in groups represent a decimal point change on the analog scale, i.e. 1.0-point may represent a decrease in thermal sensation from "very hot" = 9-point to "hot" = 8-174

point. Analysis was conducted by reporting mean differences due to the close comparabilitybetween scales.

177

178 Statistical analysis

179 Data analyses were performed by one author (OJ) using Review Manager 5.3 according to the 180 Cochrane guidelines. Raw data were extracted in the form of a mean, SD and sample size for the 181 meta-analysis. Publicly available software (WebPlotDigitizer, Version 3.12) was used to extrapolate 182 any unreported values from figures to raw mean and SD data. Heterogeneity was investigated using the I² statistic. A random effects model for the meta-analysis was used due to variability in 183 184 experimental outcomes across studies (exercise performance), whereas a fixed effect model was 185 used when it was assumed that the intervention produced an outcome with the same effect (in both 186 magnitude and direction) in every study (thermal sensation) ²⁷. Hedges' g and 95 % confidence 187 intervals were used to express the standardised means differences between menthol and control 188 groups across studies. A sub-group analysis was also performed on both datasets based on the 189 application of menthol internally or externally. The magnitudes of the effects were assessed using 190 Cohen's definitions of: < 0.2, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.8 for trivial, small, moderate and large, respectively ²⁸. 191 Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05 for all analyses.

193 Results

194 Study Selection

195 The initial searches retrieved 907 articles, plus an additional 1 study through other sources. These 196 were reduced to 722 after removal of duplicates. Further screening excluded 679 articles, with 43 197 articles left. These 43 articles were assessed for eligibility via full text and reference lists did not 198 reveal any missing papers. The inclusion criteria stipulated a further removal of 30 articles due to not 199 conforming to the correct exercise type, absence of a non-menthol control group and review 200 articles. Thirteen articles remained, which were included in the primary meta-analysis examining the 201 effect of menthol on exercise performance. Subsequently, eleven of these articles were included in a 202 secondary analysis examining the effects of menthol on thermal sensation during exercise (see 203 Figure 1).

204

205 Study Characteristics

Characteristics of the thirteen studies included in both meta-analysis are summarized in Table 1. The studies include a total of 135 participants, comprising healthy males of varying training status. All studies were crossover designs. Exercise protocols involved time-trials (n = 7), time-to-exhaustion trials (n = 3), fixed-RPE protocols (n = 2) and a time to a fixed core temperature (n = 1). Ambient temperature during the exercise tasks was 31 ± 5 °C, ranging from 20 °C to 35 °C. Menthol was applied via five different mechanisms: oral mouthrinse (n = 5), spray (n = 4), cream/gel (n = 2), ingestion of a drink (n = 1) and immersion (n = 1).

213

214

*****Insert Table 1 near here*****

216 Meta-analysis for exercise performance

217 The results of the meta-analysis examining performance are reported in Figure 2. Using a random-218 effects model and standardised mean differences, overall there was a small improvement in exercise 219 performance, with menthol compared to control (Hedges' g = 0.33, 95 % CI -0.00, 0.65 P = 0.05). The 220 I² statistic demonstrated 43% heterogeneity. However, when examining the methods of application, 221 internal application of menthol (oral mouth-rinsing, ingestion) showed a greater but small effect 222 (Hedges' g = 0.40, 95 % CI 0.04, 0.76, $P = 0.03; l^2 = 0\%$) (n = 6). External application (spray, cream/gel 223 and immersion) showed a trivial-to-small effect which was not significant (Hedges' g = 0.29, 95 % CI - $0.34, 0.91, P = 0.37; I^2 = 70\%) (n = 7).$ 224

225

*****Insert Figure 2 near here*****

226

227 Meta-analysis for thermal sensation during exercise

228 The results of the second meta-analysis examining thermal sensation are reported in Figure 3. Using 229 a fixed-effects model and mean differences, overall there was a moderate-to-large reduction in 230 thermal sensation during exercise with menthol compared to control (Hedges' g = -0.54, 95 % CI -0.67, -0.42, P < 0.001). The I² statistic demonstrated 67% heterogeneity. However, when examining 231 232 the methods of application, internal application of menthol (oral mouth-rinsing, ingestion) showed a 233 small effect (Hedges' q = -0.30, 95 % CI -0.50, -0.10, P = 0.004; $I^2 = 0\%$) (n = 5). External application 234 (spray, cream/gel and immersion) showed a moderate-to-large effect (Hedges' g = -0.71, 95 % CI -0.88, -0.54, P = < 0.001; $I^2 = 74\%$) (n = 6). 235

236

- 237

*****Insert Figure 3 near here*****

239 Risk of Bias

240 The studies included generally had low or unclear risk of bias (Figure 4). Only three studies reported information on the randomisation procedure conducted to generate groups ^{29–31}. Attempts to 241 242 conceal allocation to an intervention or control group were also not clearly reported in three studies 243 ^{29,32,33}. However we acknowledge this may be difficult when administering menthol due to its 244 distinctive sensory effect. Therefore, this is a limitation that must be acknowledged across the 245 literature when there is not a sufficient placebo alternative. Only one study reported double-blinding ³⁴ of participants and personnel to the interventions administered and all other studies were single-246 247 blinded. Therefore, the risk of bias on the outcome measure was deemed 'unclear'. Finally, in one 248 study ²², performance data was only reported as the change from baseline which may have concealed differences at baseline for each condition or inflated outcome measures, therefore this 249 250 was allocated as high risk for reporting bias.

251

252

*****Insert Figure 4 near here*****

254 Discussion

255 The main findings of this analysis were that menthol has an overall small positive effect on exercise 256 performance (Hedges' q = 0.33). The effect is dependent on the method used to administer menthol, 257 with internal strategies via mouth-rinsing or ingestion, indicating a stronger effect, albeit remaining 258 small (Hedges' g = 0.40). External methods of menthol application via creams, gels, sprays and full-259 body immersion showed contrasting effects, both positive and negative, on exercise performance 260 that resulted from the method of application used and physiological consequences (Hedges' g =261 0.29). In a secondary analysis menthol was also shown to reduce thermal sensation across all exercise studies, irrespective of the application method (Hedges' q = -0.54). 262

263

Menthol is a non-thermal cooling stimulus that acts on thermoreceptors, eliciting sensations of 264 265 coolness, without reductions in temperature when applied to the skin and mucosal surfaces ⁶. 266 Activation of sensory pathways transmit this information to the brain where perceptual lowering of 267 the associated thermal strain occurs ⁴. Overall, despite Hedges' g indicating a small effect of menthol 268 on exercise performance, these changes could confer practically relevant effects. For example, pre-269 cooling strategies, such as cold water immersion or ingestion of ice slurries, lead to physiological 270 reductions in core body temperature prior to exercise and facilitate an increased heat storage capacity to extend exercise performance in the heat ³⁵. Meta-analyses conducted on pre-cooling and 271 272 exercise performance have reported small-moderate improvements in a range of temperate conditions (18-40 °C) (*d* = 0.41) ³⁶, in a hot environment (27-35 °C) (*d* = 0.73) ³⁷, or a hot (27-35 °C) 273 and humid environment (RH 30-80 %) (d = 0.49)³⁸. Indeed, small-to-moderate changes in 274 performance have been reported following cold water-immersion (d = 0.53)³⁹ and cold water 275 276 ingestion (d = 0.40)⁴⁰, ice ingestion (d = 0.20)³⁹ and wearing of an ice vest (d = 0.19)⁴⁰. Therefore, 277 the small effect sizes reported here using a non-thermal cooling strategy represents a substantial 278 enhancement in performance.

280 Modulation of exercise intensity or performance when exposed to a non-thermal cooling stimulus, 281 such as menthol, provides interesting insights into human thermoregulatory behaviour. The current 282 analysis was performed to examine articles applying menthol during exercise that was either 283 exhaustive (time to exhaustion at a fixed intensity), self-modulated to exhaustion over a fixed 284 distance (time-trial) or to a fixed point (core temperature or power output associated with a fixed-285 RPE). In addition, the method of menthol application was not restricted, thereby allowing multiple 286 comparisons between different methods. Although the use of non-thermal cooling would seem most 287 appropriate in a hot environment when physiological and perceptual thermal stresses are greater, 288 we also included articles that examined the use menthol in lower ambient temperatures. Including a 289 variety of studies was necessary to provide a broader insight into the benefits of menthol 290 application, yet based on the current findings, this added to the heterogeneity of the overall results 291 and contributed to smaller effect sizes. This is demonstrated most apparently in figure 2, where 292 internal applications improved performance with less variation and by a higher magnitude. The type 293 of menthol application is, therefore, worthy of further discussion.

294

295 Internal application via mouth-rising or ingestion of menthol resulted in greater effects on exercise 296 performance (Hedges' g = 0.40). Five articles included in this subsection administered menthol via an 297 oral mouth rinse, reporting an average ~6 % improvement (range 3-9 %) across a number of differing experimental designs, such as, time trial ^{33,41}, time to exhaustion ^{30,42} and RPE clamp ³¹. Four of the 298 299 studies administered menthol frequently throughout the exercise trial (every kilometre or at 10 300 minute time intervals). In the fifth study, conducted in our own laboratory, oral menthol was 301 deliberately administered when thermal stress was high, towards the end of a constant-load 302 exercise trial (denoted by high body temp). Here, menthol was also capable of improving performance by ~6% despite a single acute administration ³⁰. Interestingly, menthol yielded 303

comparable results to the ingestion of a thermally-cooling ice-slurry at the same time point. It was postulated that menthol acted as a novel stimulus, despite increased thermal stress. This theory is supported by a previous article ³¹, where it was noted that a reduction in performance over time was not rescued by subsequent menthol application. Whether repeated applications of menthol or a single dose when thermal load is high is most effective remains to be thoroughly explored.

309

Ingestion of menthol with water (neutral temperature) also resulted in a 6% improvement in time-310 trial performance ⁴³. Interestingly, in the same study, menthol ingestion with cold water / ice slurry 311 elicited greater effects on exercise performance than when ingested with water at a neutral 312 temperature or even cold water / ice slurry alone ⁴³. Future research should explore these 313 314 potentiating effects using mixed internal thermal cooling and menthol to benefit exercise 315 performance. However, the collective evidence presented here would suggest that when cool liquids 316 are not available, menthol does not need to be consumed to elicit a positive effect on performance 317 and can be orally rinsed and expectorated. Consumption of menthol in a beverage would presumably still activate thermoreceptors located in the oral cavity, which is one of the most densely 318 319 innervated parts of the body in terms of peripheral receptors ⁴⁴. Therefore, either approach would 320 elicit cooling sensations via the same primary mechanism. The concentration of oral menthol applied across all studies in this analysis was 0.01%. Interestingly, no performance studies have investigated 321 322 the physiological effects of increasing the menthol concentration and potential oral 323 stimulation/perception of cooling. However, one study has examined cooling perception when orally rinsing with a range of menthol concentrations 0.005–0.105% ⁴⁵ and reported no effect at rest. This 324 325 may be an interesting avenue for future studies during exercise.

326

External application of menthol via cream or gel produced contrasting results, with one study
 reporting a 26% reduction in exercise ²⁹ and another showing a 21% improvement ¹⁸. The contrasting

329 effects reported most likely relate to the application method and associated physiological effects. 330 Kounalakis et al. (2010) applied a menthol cream over the entire body, whereby the non-thermal 331 cooling effects were secondary to a general vasoconstriction during the early stages of exercise. The 332 ergolytic effects were explained by a delayed onset and total gain in sweat production, reducing the 333 capacity to thermoregulate, as well as facilitating an earlier rise in core temperature. It should be 334 noted that others have reported a vasodilatory response to local menthol application ^{46,47}. TRPM8 channel activation in smooth muscle has been shown to initiate vasoconstriction or vasodilation, 335 336 dependent on previous vasomotor tone ⁵. TRPM8 channels have also been suggested to act as 337 'thermostats of the skin' communicating skin temperature to the brain, whereby thermoregulatory changes occur to defend body temperature ⁴⁸. Therefore, the initial vasoconstriction described in the 338 339 early stages of exercise that would precipitate a rise in skin temperature could be explained via these mechanisms. It is important to further establish the timing of menthol application to facilitate 340 341 cutaneous blood flow during exercise, particularly in hot conditions. Interestingly, whole-body cold 342 water immersion with menthol appeared to enhance subsequent time trial performance ~16% following a similar baseline test ²². The mechanisms are unclear as the reduction in core temperature 343 344 observed in the control bath immersion following the exercise task were not as great in the menthol 345 bath suggesting that heat was retained by the body. The improvements in performance were 346 suggested to relate to a shift in thermal sensation, however further studies are required to explore 347 the effectiveness of this intervention strategy.

348

In contrast to whole-body application, Schlader et al. (2011) focussed application of a topical menthol gel to a much smaller area of the face. The face in particular, has been shown to contain a greater number of "hot spots" relative to the rest of the body, which is typically reported to reflect the density of peripheral thermoreceptors ⁴⁹. Indeed, the face has shown a greater sensitivity to cold (2-5 fold) than other parts of the body (forearm, thigh, leg and foot) ⁵⁰. Application of 8% menthol

354 gel to the entire face (dose of $\sim 0.5 \text{ g/100 cm}^2$ of skin), did not induce a change in core temperature, nor changes in whole body and local sweat rates, and resulted in a 21% improvement in exercise 355 performance ¹⁸. This method initiated a shift to a cooler thermal sensation, independent of any 356 357 change in facial temperature. Together, these studies crucially highlight the specificity of externally 358 applied menthol in hot environments. There is little information on the dose-response effect of menthol applied to the skin, with studies here using $\sim 4 - 8\%$. Perceptually, other studies have found 359 that low concentrations of menthol (<2%) elicit cool sensations ⁵¹, moderate concentrations (2–5%) 360 361 cause irritation and local anaesthesia ¹, with higher concentrations (>10%) initiating burning sensations ⁵². Whilst further work is needed to understand this method and concentration, it should 362 363 be noted that the practical application of creams and gels during exercise largely restrict its use to 364 pre-exercise.

365

An alternative strategy for the application of menthol was in a spray vaporised form ^{32,34,53,54}. In three 366 studies, menthol was sprayed onto the participant's garment at various stages of exercise; however, 367 these interventions did not modify exercise performance. The application of menthol in a spray is 368 369 further supported by a body of research that examined the optimal concentration, reported as 0.05 %, to minimize thermoeffector responses of menthol, while preserving the cool sensations ^{55–57}. 370 371 Interestingly, it was also reported that perceptual differences were sustained for up to 25 min after 372 spraying; however, this was not during exhaustive exercise, meaning further research is required to 373 confirm this. It is also interesting that no effect on performance was noted when menthol was sprayed at the beginning ³² or towards the end ³⁴ of exercise, contrasting the positive effects of orally 374 applied menthol in similar experimental designs ^{30,31}. Together this may suggest that menthol 375 376 delivered in a spray may rapidly lose effectiveness during exercise. Interestingly, a menthol spray targeted at the neck did show a ~11% improvement in performance ⁵⁴. Therefore, the differential 377

378 sensitivity of body regions could also explain the lack of effect when applied on the torso compared379 to the neck and face.

380

381 A moderate-to-large reduction (Hedges' g = -0.54) in thermal sensation was consistently reported, 382 with external application showing greater effects (Hedges' g = -0.71) than internal (Hedges' g -0.30). 383 All articles examined in this secondary meta-analysis required inclusion in the primary analysis 384 examining exhaustive performance in order to understand the relationship between reductions in 385 thermal sensation and exercise performance. All articles included demonstrated a lowering of 386 thermal sensation, with effects ranging from -0.2 to -3.04. Scores for thermal sensation were 387 averaged across the trials from the first point of menthol administration. Therefore, larger 388 reductions were observed when menthol was delivered acutely at the end of exercise via mouth 389 rinse (Hedges' q -0.60) ³⁰ or spray (Hedges' q -1.56) ³⁴. The small changes across exercise tasks 390 following internal administration may reflect the reducing potency of menthol upon repeated applications as discussed previously. Application of menthol externally elicited greater reductions in 391 thermal sensation that may be explained by a persistent aroma facilitated by evaporative 392 393 mechanisms or convective air movements during exercise, which elicit continual nasal receptor 394 stimulation. The cool sensation of nasal airflow is mediated by the same cold receptors in oral 395 mucosa and this largely determines the sensation of breathing, rather than a sense of respiration ⁵⁸. 396 The largest reported changes in thermal sensation were evidenced in three studies where menthol 397 was applied via a vaporised spray to the torso; however, as previously discussed, there were no 398 performance changes. Therefore, reductions in thermal sensation following the application of 399 menthol cannot fully explain improvements in exercise performance in the heat. Flouris and 400 Schlader ¹⁷ have argued that it is perception of effort that dictates behaviour and that thermal 401 perception may play a modulatory role. Indeed, at rest it is thermal discomfort and not thermal sensation that acts as the primary motivation for thermoregulatory behaviour ¹⁷. However, while the 402

role of thermal discomfort during exercise in the heat is less well understood, menthol applied as a cream to the face initiated reductions in both thermal discomfort and thermal sensation, which subsequently leads to a reduction in the perception of effort associated with a fixed exercise intensity ¹⁸. Future studies should explore menthol's effectiveness in modulating thermal discomfort and thermal sensation during exercise in the heat.

408

409 It should be noted that a number of studies could not be included in this meta-analysis due to the absence of a comparable non-menthol control group ^{59,60}. This is unfortunate, as the addition of 410 411 these papers might have strengthened the overall effects reported. This highlights the need for 412 greater experimental control in this area. The risk of bias of the articles included were generally low; 413 however, 12/13 studies were single-blinded, demonstrating "unclear" risk to the outcomes of the 414 study. Whilst it is practically challenging to conduct double-blind experiments in research of this 415 type, improvements in research design could be achieved in this way, which would substantiate the 416 effects of menthol on exercise performance.

417

418 Conclusion

419 Human performance can be improved by application of non-thermally cooling menthol and can 420 consistently reduce thermal sensation during exercise. Oral administration appears to be the most 421 effective method to enhance exercise capacity, predominantly in hot environments. Targeted 422 external application of sensitive anatomical regions may also be a useful strategy in improving 423 exercise performance, whereas whole-body coverage appears to be detrimental to performance. 424 Irrespective of the application mode, the use of menthol is a relatively simple way to increase 425 exercise performance. This is in contrast to various cooling strategies that often require access to 426 refrigerators, ice, baths or cold fluids, notwithstanding the thermal benefits they confer. The optimal

- 427 application of menthol requires further investigation, including the merits of co-administration with
- 428 established cooling techniques.

| 429 | Acknowledgements: |
|-----|--|
| 430 | None |
| 431 | |
| 432 | |
| 433 | Funding: |
| 434 | This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or |
| 435 | not-for-profit sectors. |
| 436 | |
| 437 | |
| 438 | Conflict of interest: |
| 439 | Owen Jeffries and Mark Waldron have no conflicts of interest directly relevant to its contents. |

440 References

- 441 1 Eccles R. Menthol and Related Cooling Compounds. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1994; 46(8):618–630.
 442 Doi: 10.1111/j.2042-7158.1994.tb03871.x.
- 443 2 McKemy DD, Neuhausser WM, Julius D. Identification of a cold receptor reveals a general role
- for TRP channels in thermosensation. *Nature* 2002; 416(6876):52–58. Doi:
- 445 10.1038/nature719.
- Peier AM, Moqrich A, Hergarden AC, et al. A TRP channel that senses cold stimuli and
 menthol. *Cell* 2002; 108(5):705–715. Doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00652-9.
- 448 4 Andersen HH, Olsen RV, Moller HG, et al. A review of topical high-concentration L-menthol as
 a translational model of cold allodynia and hyperalgesia. *Eur J Pain* 2014; 18(3):315–325. Doi:
 10.1002/j.1532-2149.2013.00380.x.
- 451 5 Johnson CD, Melanaphy D, Purse A, et al. Transient receptor potential melastatin 8 channel
- 452 involvement in the regulation of vascular tone. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2009;
- 453 296(6):H1868-77. Doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.01112.2008.
- Watson H, Hems R, Rowsell D, et al. New compounds with the menthol cooling effect. *J Soc Cosmet Chem* 1978; 29(4):185–200.
- 456 7 Eccles R, Griffiths DH, Newton CG, et al. The effects of D and L isomers of menthol upon nasal
 457 sensation of airflow. *J Laryngol Otol* 1988; 102(6):506–508.
- Karashima Y, Damann N, Prenen J, et al. Bimodal action of menthol on the transient receptor
 potential channel TRPA1. *J Neurosci* 2007; 27(37):9874–9884. Doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.222107.2007.
- Galloway SD, Maughan RJ. Effects of ambient temperature on the capacity to perform
 prolonged cycle exercise in man. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1997; 29(9):1240–1249. Doi:

463 10.1097/00005768-199709000-00018.

- 464 10 Tatterson AJ, Hahn AG, Martini DT, et al. Effects of heat stress on physiological responses and
- 465 exercise performance in elite cyclists. *J Sci Med Sport* 2000; 3(2):186–193. Doi:
- 466 10.1016/S1440-2440(00)80080-8.
- 467 11 Vanden Hoek TL, Kasza KE, Beiser DG, et al. Induced hypothermia by central venous infusion:
- 468 saline ice slurry versus chilled saline. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32(9 Suppl):S425-31. Doi:
- 469 10.1097/01.CCM.0000134259.59793.B8.
- 470 12 Siegel R, Maté J, Brearley MB, et al. Ice slurry ingestion increases core temperature capacity
- 471 and running time in the heat. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010; 42(4):717–725. Doi:
- 472 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181bf257a.
- 473 13 Ross MLR, Garvican LA, Jeacocke NA, et al. Novel precooling strategy enhances time trial

474 cycling in the heat. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011; 43(1):123–133. Doi:

475 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181e93210.

476 14 Marino F, Booth J. Whole body cooling by immersion in water at moderate temperatures. J

477 *Sci Med Sport* 1998; 1(2):73–82. Doi: 10.1016/S1440-2440(98)80015-7.

478 15 Armada-da-Silva PAS, Woods J, Jones DA. The effect of passive heating and face cooling on

479 perceived exertion during exercise in the heat. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2004; 91(5–6):563–571. Doi:

480 10.1007/s00421-003-1006-0.

- 481 16 Arngrümsson S, Petitt D, Stueck M, et al. Cooling vest worn during active warm-up improves 5-
- 482 km run performance in the heat. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol J Appl Physiol* 2007;
- 483 292(1):167–175. Doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00979.2003.
- 484 17 Flouris AD, Schlader ZJ. Human behavioral thermoregulation during exercise in the heat.
- 485 *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2015; 25 Suppl 1:52–64. Doi: 10.1111/sms.12349.

- Schlader ZJ, Simmons SE, Stannard SR, et al. The independent roles of temperature and
 thermal perception in the control of human thermoregulatory behavior. *Physiol Behav* 2011;
 103(2):217–224. Doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.02.002.
- 489 19 Stevens CJ, Best R. Menthol: A Fresh Ergogenic Aid for Athletic Performance. *Sport Med*490 2016:1–8. Doi: 10.1007/s40279-016-0652-4.
- 491 20 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and
 492 meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015; 4:1. Doi: 10.1186/2046493 4053-4-1.
- 494 21 Higgins J, Altman D. Assessing Risk of Bias in Included Studies. In: Higgins JP, Green S, editors.
 495 *Cochrane Handb. Syst. Rev. Interv. cochrane B. Ser.* Chichester, Wiley, 2008.
- 496 22 Rinaldi K, Trong TT, Riera F, et al. Immersion with menthol improves recovery between 2
 497 cycling exercises in hot and humid environment. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab = Physiol Appl Nutr*498 *Metab* 2018:1–7. Doi: 10.1139/apnm-2017-0525.
- 499 23 Higgins J, Altman D. Imputing standard deviations for changes from baseline. In: Higgins, JP,

500 Green S, editors. *Cochrane Handb. Syst. Rev. Interv. cochrane B. Ser.* Chichester, Wiley, 2008.

- 501 24 Gagge AP, Stolwijk JA, Hardy JD. Comfort and thermal sensations and associated physiological
 502 responses at various ambient temperatures. *Environ Res* 1967; 1(1):1–20. Doi: 10.1016/0013503 9351(67)90002-3.
- 50425Zhang H, Huizenga C, Arenas E, et al. Thermal sensation and comfort in transient non-uniform505thermal environments. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2004; 92(6):728–733. Doi: 10.1007/s00421-004-
- 506 1137-y.
- 507 26 Young AJ, Sawka MN, Epstein Y, et al. Cooling different body surfaces during upper and lower
 508 body exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1987; 63(3):1218–1223. Doi: 10.1152/jappl.1987.63.3.1218.

- 509 27 Higgins J, Altman D. Heterogeneity. In: Higgins JP, Green S, editors. *Cochrane Handb. Syst.*510 *Rev. Interv. cochrane B. Ser.* Chichester, Wiley, 2008.
- 511 28 Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2nd ed. New York, Erlbaum,
 512 Hillsdale, 1988.
- Kounalakis SN, Botonis PG, Koskolou MD, et al. The effect of menthol application to the skin
 on sweating rate response during exercise in swimmers and controls. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010;

515 109(2):183–189. Doi: 10.1007/s00421-009-1345-6.

- 516 30 Jeffries O, Goldsmith M, Waldron M. L-Menthol mouth rinse or ice slurry ingestion during the
- 517 latter stages of exercise in the heat provide a novel stimulus to enhance performance despite
- 518 elevation in mean body temperature. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2018. Doi: 10.1007/s00421-018-3970-
- 519

4.

- Flood TR, Waldron M, Jeffries O. Oral L-menthol reduces thermal sensation, increases work rate and extends time to exhaustion, in the heat at a fixed rating of perceived exertion. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2017; 117(7):1501–1512. Doi: 10.1007/s00421-017-3645-6.
- 523 32 Barwood MJ, Corbett J, White D, et al. Early change in thermal perception is not a driver of
- 524 anticipatory exercise pacing in the heat. *Br J Sports Med* 2012; 46(13):936–942. Doi:
- 525 10.1136/bjsports-2011-090536.
- 526 33 Stevens CJ, Bennett KJM, Sculley D V, et al. A Comparison of Mixed-Method Cooling
- 527 Interventions on Preloaded Running Performance in the Heat. J Strength Cond Res 2017;
- 528 31(3):620–629. Doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000001532.
- 529
 34
 Barwood MJ, Corbett J, Thomas K, et al. Relieving thermal discomfort: Effects of sprayed L
- 530 menthol on perception, performance, and time trial cycling in the heat. *Scand J Med Sci*

531 *Sports* 2015; 25 Suppl 1:211–218. Doi: 10.1111/sms.12395.

532 35 Siegel R, Laursen PB. Keeping your cool: possible mechanisms for enhanced exercise

533 performance in the heat with internal cooling methods. *Sports Med* 2012; 42(2):89–98. Doi:

534 10.2165/11596870-00000000-00000.

- 53536Wegmann M, Faude O, Poppendieck W, et al. Pre-cooling and sports performance: a meta-536analytical review. Sports Med 2012; 42(7):545–564. Doi: 10.2165/11630550-00000000-
- 537 00000.
- Tyler CJ, Sunderland C, Cheung SS. The effect of cooling prior to and during exercise on
 exercise performance and capacity in the heat: a meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;
 49(1):7–13. Doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2012-091739.
- 541 38 Hohenauer E, Stoop R, Clarys P, et al. The Effect of Pre-Exercise Cooling on Performance
- 542 Characteristics: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Clin Med* 2018; 09(03):117–141.
- 543 Doi: 10.4236/ijcm.2018.93012.
- 544 39 Choo HC, Nosaka K, Peiffer JJ, et al. Ergogenic effects of precooling with cold water
- 545 immersion and ice ingestion: A meta-analysis. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2018; 18(2):170–181. Doi:
- 546 10.1080/17461391.2017.1405077.
- 547 40 Bongers CCWG, Thijssen DHJ, Veltmeijer MTW, et al. Precooling and percooling (cooling
- 548 during exercise) both improve performance in the heat: a meta-analytical review. *Br J Sports*

549 *Med* 2015; 49(6):377–384. Doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092928.

- 550 41 Stevens CJ, Thoseby B, Sculley D V, et al. Running performance and thermal sensation in the
 551 heat are improved with menthol mouth rinse but not ice slurry ingestion. *Scand J Med Sci*552 *Sports* 2016; 26(10):1209–1216. Doi: 10.1111/sms.12555.
- Mundel T, Jones DA. The effects of swilling an L(-)-menthol solution during exercise in the
 heat. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010; 109(1):59–65. Doi: 10.1007/s00421-009-1180-9.
- 555 43 Riera F, Trong TT, Sinnapah S, et al. Physical and perceptual cooling with beverages to
- 556 increase cycle performance in a tropical climate. *PLoS One* 2014; 9(8). Doi:

557 10.1371/journal.pone.0103718.

- Haggard P, de Boer L. Oral somatosensory awareness. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2014; 47:469–
 484. Doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.09.015.
- Best R, Spears IR, Hurst P, et al. The Development of a Menthol Solution for Use during Sport
 and Exercise. *Beverages* 2018; 4(2):1–10. Doi: 10.3390/beverages4020044.
- 562 46 Craighead DH, Alexander LM. Topical menthol increases cutaneous blood flow. *Microvasc Res*563 2016; 107:39–45. Doi: 10.1016/j.mvr.2016.04.010.
- 564 47 Hunter AM, Grigson C, Wade A. Influence of topicaly applied menthol cooling gel on soft
- tissue thermodynamics and arterial and cutaneous blood flow at rest. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*

566 2018; 13(3):483–492.

- 56748Tajino K, Hosokawa H, Maegawa S, et al. Cooling-Sensitive TRPM8 Is Thermostat of Skin
- 568 Temperature against Cooling. *PLoS One* 2011; 6(3). Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017504.
- 569 49 Nakamura M, Yoda T, Crawshaw LI, et al. Regional differences in temperature sensation and
- 570 thermal comfort in humans. J Appl Physiol 2008; 105(6):1897–1906. Doi:
- 571 10.1152/japplphysiol.90466.2008.
- 572 50 Cotter JD, Taylor NAS. The distribution of cutaneous sudomotor and alliesthesial
- 573 thermosensitivity in mildly heat-stressed humans: an open-loop approach. *J Physiol* 2005;
- 574 565(Pt 1):335–345. Doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2004.081562.
- 575 51 Cliff MA, Green BG. Sensory irritation and coolness produced by menthol: evidence for
- 576 selective desensitization of irritation. *Physiol Behav* 1994; 56(5):1021–1029.
- 577 52 Yosipovitch G, Szolar C, Hui XY, et al. Effect of topically applied menthol on thermal, pain and
- 578 itch sensations and biophysical properties of the skin. Arch Dermatol Res 1996; 288(5–
- 579 6):245–248.

- 580 53 Barwood MJ, Corbett J, White DK. Spraying with 0.20% L-menthol does not enhance 5 km
 581 running performance in the heat in untrained runners. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2014;
 582 54(5):595–604.
- 583 54 Galpin AJ, Bagley JR, Whitcomb B, et al. Effects of Intermittent Neck Cooling During Repeated
 584 Bouts of High-Intensity Exercise. *Sport (Basel, Switzerland)* 2016; 4(3). Doi:
- 585 10.3390/sports4030038.
- 586 55 Gillis DJ, House JR, Tipton MJ. The influence of menthol on thermoregulation and perception 587 during exercise in warm, humid conditions. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010; 110(3):609–618. Doi:
- 588 10.1007/s00421-010-1533-4.
- 589 56 Gillis DJ, Weston N, House JR, et al. Influence of repeated daily menthol exposure on human
- 590 temperature regulation and perception. *Physiol Behav* 2015; 139:511–518. Doi:
- 591 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.12.009.
- 592 57 Gillis DJ, Barwood MJ, Newton PS, et al. The influence of a menthol and ethanol soaked
- 593 garment on human temperature regulation and perception during exercise and rest in warm,
- 594 humid conditions. *J Therm Biol* 2016; 58:99–105. Doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2016.04.009.
- 595 58 Eccles R. Role of cold receptors and menthol in thirst, the drive to breathe and arousal.

596 *Appetite* 2000; 34(1):29–35. Doi: 10.1006/appe.1999.0291.

- 597 59 Trong TT, Riera F, Rinaldi K, et al. Ingestion of a cold temperature/menthol beverage
- 598 increases outdoor exercise performance in a hot, humid environment. *PLoS One* 2015;
- 599 10(4):1–11. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0123815.
- 60060Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA, Maresh CM, et al. A cetylated fatty acid topical cream with601menthol reduces pain and improves functional performance in individuals with arthritis. J
- 602 Strength Cond Res 2005; 19(2):475–480. Doi: 10.1519/R-505059.1.

604 Figure legends

605

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram summarizing study selection for inclusion in the final meta-analysis.

607

608 Figure 2. Forest plot illustrating the effect of menthol on exercise performance. Squares indicate the 609 individual study Hedges' g and the lines represent 95% CIs. The diamond represents the overall 610 Hedges' g, with its width representing the 95% Cls. Data is displayed in subgroups representing internally applied menthol (1.1.1) (oral, drink, n = 6) and externally applied menthol (1.1.2) (spray, 611 612 cream/gel, immersion, *n* = 7). 613 614 Figure 3. Forest plot illustrating the effect of menthol on thermal sensation during exercise. Squares 615 indicate the individual study Hedges' g and the lines represent 95% CIs. The diamond represents the 616

617 overall Hedges' g, with its width representing the 95% CIs. Data is displayed in subgroups 618 representing internally applied menthol (1.2.1) (oral, drink, n = 5) and externally applied menthol 619 (1.2.2) (spray, cream/gel, immersion, n = 6).

620

621

622 **Figure. 4.** Analysis of risk of bias according to the Cochrane Collaboration guidelines.



627 Figure 2

| | 1 | Std. Mean Difference | Std. Mean Difference |
|--|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| 1.1.1 Internal application | | | |
| Mundel & Jones (2010) | 7.4% | 0.32 [-0.61, 1.25] | |
| Riera et al. (2014) | 8.6% | 0.49 [-0.33, 1.30] | |
| Stevens et al. (2016) | 8.3% | 0.22 [-0.62, 1.06] | |
| Flood et al. (2017) | 6.7% | 0.50 [-0.50, 1.50] | |
| Stevens et al. (2017) | 8.2% | 0.43 [-0.41, 1.28] | |
| Jeffries et al. (2018) Subtotal (95% CI) | 7.7% 46.9% | 0.48 [-0.41, 1.37] 0.40 [0.04, 0.76] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² | ² = 0.33, df = 5 | $(P = 1.00); I^2 = 0\%$ | - |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 2.20 (| (P = 0.03) | | |
| 1.1.2 External application | | | |
| Kounalakis et al. (2010) | 9.6% | -0.83 [-1.55, -0.10] | |
| Barwood et al. (2011) | 8.3% | 0.10 [-0.74, 0.93] | |
| Schlader et al. (2011) | 6.9% | 1.85 [0.87, 2.83] | |
| Barwood et al. (2014) | 5.7% | 0.17 [-0.97, 1.30] | |
| Barwood et al. (2015) | 6.9% | -0.10 [-1.09, 0.88] | |
| Galpin et al. (2016) | 9.0% | 0.38 [-0.39, 1.16] | |
| Rinaldi et al. (2018) | 6.6% | 0.65 [-0.37, 1.66] | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 53.1% | 0.29 [-0.34, 0.91] | - |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.49; Chi ² | ² = 19.91, df = 6 | 5 (P = 0.003); I ² = 70% | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 0.90 (| (P = 0.37) | | |
| Total (95% CI) | 100.0% | 0.33 [-0.00, 0.65] | ◆ |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.15; Chi ² | ² = 20.90, df = 3 | 12 (P = 0.05); $I^2 = 43\%$ | -2 -1 0 1 2 |
| | | | Favours Control Favours Menthol |

631 Figure 3

| | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Weight | IV, Fixed, 95% CI | IV, Fixed, 95% CI |
| 1.2.1 Internal application | | | |
| Riera et al. (2014) | 15.2% | -0.20 [-0.53, 0.13] | |
| Stevens et al. (2016) | 3.0% | -0.23 [-0.98, 0.52] | |
| Flood et al. (2017) | 9.1% | -0.37 [-0.80, 0.06] | |
| Stevens et al. (2017) | 9.5% | -0.30 [-0.72, 0.12] | |
| Jeffries et al. (2018) | 3.5% | -0.60 [-1.29, 0.09] | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 40.3% | -0.30 [-0.50, -0.10] | ◆ |
| Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.22, df = 4 (F | P = 0.88); I ² | = 0% | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 2.88 (P = | 0.004) | | |
| | | | |
| 1.2.2 External application | | | |
| Schlader et al. (2011) | 40.4% | -0.57 [-0.77, -0.37] | = |
| Barwood et al. (2011) | 1.0% | -1.17 [-2.47, 0.13] | |
| Barwood et al. (2014) | 0.3% | -3.04 [-5.52, -0.56] | |
| Barwood et al. (2015) | 7.5% | -1.56 [-2.03, -1.09] | |
| Galpin et al. (2016) | 8.5% | -0.47 [-0.91, -0.03] | |
| Rinaldi et al. (2018) | 2.0% | -0.80 [-1.70, 0.10] | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 59.7% | -0.71 [-0.88, -0.54] | ◆ |
| Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 19.48, df = 5 | (P = 0.002) | $ ^2 = 74\%$ | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 8.34 (P < | 0.00001) | | |
| | | | |
| Total (95% CI) | 100.0% | -0.54 [-0.67, -0.42] | • |
| Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 30.10, df = 10 | 0.000 | 12 6704 | |
| | 0 (P = 0.000) | $(38); 1^{-} = 67\%$ | |

634 Figure 4



Table 1. Summary of studies included in the meta-analysis for the effects of menthol on exercise performance and thermal sensation

| Study | Design | Sample | Menthol application | Performance type | Ambient temperature | Exercise outcome | Thermal sensation outcome |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Mundel & Jones. (2010) | Crossover, randomised | Healthy, males (<i>n</i> = 9). Age 25 ± 7 y | Oral mouth-rinse 25ml 0.01% (19 °C) | TTE at 65% Wmax | 34 °C | 9 % 个 TTE | Not reported |
| Kounalakis et al. (2010) | Crossover, counterbalanced | Healthy, males (n = 16). Age 24 ± 3 y | Cream 4.6 % to whole body | Time to 38 °C Tre @ 60% of VO _{2max} | 24 °C | 26 % 个 time to Tre = 38 ℃ | Not reported |
| Schlader et al. (2011) | Crossover, randomised | Healthy, physically active, males (n = 12). Age 23 ± 1 y. | Gel 8 % to full face ~0.5 g per 100 cm² | Fixed-RPE protocol | 20 °C | 21 % 个 total work completed (kJ) | 0.6 pt ↓ TS across trial |
| Barwood et al. (2011) | Crossover, randomised, single-blind | Trained, non- acclimated, males (<i>n</i> =11). Age 30 ± 8 y | Spray 0.05 % in water (22 °C) full tee shirt | 40-km TT - cycling | 32 °C | NS 1 % 个 TT | 1.2 pt ↓ TS across trial |
| Barwood et al. (2014) | Crossover, single-blind, randomised | Healthy, males (<i>n</i> = 6). Age 21 ± 1 y | Spray 0.20 % in water (34 °C) full tee shirt | 5-km TT - run | 34 °C | NS 1 % 个 TT | 3.0 pt \downarrow TS across trial |
| Riera et al. (2014) | Crossover, randomised | Trained, heat- acclimated males (<i>n</i> = 12). Age 42 ± 13 y | Drink 190 mL 0.01% (23 °C) | 20-km TT - cycling | 31 °C | 6 % 个 TT | 0.2 pt \downarrow TS at end exercise |

| Barwood et al. (2015) | Crossover, counter- balanced, double-blind | Healthy, males (n = 8). Age 21 ± 2 y | Spray 0.20 % in water (34 °C) full tee shirt | 16.1-km TT cycling | 34 °C | NS 1 % ↓ TT | 1.6 pt ↓ TS at across trial |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|------------------------|-------|----------------|--|
| Galpin et al. (2016) | Crossover, randomised | Physically active, males (n = 13). Age 25 ± 5 y) | Spray 8 % neck | TTE at 30% PP | 25 °C | 11 % 个 TTE | 0.5 pt ↓ TS at across trial |
| Stevens et al. (2016) | Crossover, randomised | Moderately trained, males (n = 11). Age 29 ± 9 y | Oral mouth-rinse 25ml 0.01% (22 °C) | 5-km TT - run | 33 °C | 3 % 个 TT | 0.2 pt ↓ TS at across trial |
| Stevens et al. (2017) | Crossover, randomised | Trained runners, male (n = 11). Age 30 ± 9 y | Oral mouth-rinse 25ml 0.01% (22 °C) | 3-km TT - run | 33 °C | 4 % 个 TT | 0.3 pt \downarrow TS at across trial |
| Flood et al. (2017) | Crossover, randomised, single-blind | Healthy, males (n = 8). Age 26 ± 5 y | Oral mouth-rinse 25ml 0.01% (20 °C) | Fixed-RPE protocol | 35 °C | 8 % 个 TTE | 0.4 pt \downarrow TS at across trial |
| Rinaldi et al. (2018) | Crossover, randomised | Heat acclimated, males (<i>n</i> = 8). Age 24 ± 4 y | Immersion to shoulder, 0.1% (10 °C) | 20-min TT - cycling | 29 °C | 15.6 % 个 TT PO | 0.8 pt ↓ TS across trial |
| Jeffries et al. (2018) | Crossover, randomised. single-blind | Healthy, males (n = 10). Age 33 ± 9 y | Oral mouth-rinse 25ml 0.01% (20 °C) | TTE at 70 % Wmax | 35 °C | 6 % 个 TTE | 0.6 pt ↓ TS at across trial |

TT time-trial, TTE time-to-exhaustion, TS thermal sensation, NS non-significant, pt point (on thermal sensation scale), Wmax maximal power output achieved in incremental ramp test, RPE rating of perceived exertion, PO power output, Y years old.