

Title Page:

**Title:**

Integrating strength and conditioning training and golf practice during the golf season: approaches and perceptions of highly skilled golfers

**Authors:**

Alex Bliss<sup>1</sup> & Ben Langdown<sup>2</sup>

**Affiliations:**

1: Centre for Applied Performance Sciences. St Mary's University, Twickenham, London, UK

2: School of Education, Childhood, Youth & Sport, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK

**Corresponding Author:**

Alex Bliss. Associate Professor and Subject Lead for Strength and Conditioning  
[Alex.bliss@stmarys.ac.uk](mailto:Alex.bliss@stmarys.ac.uk)

**Word Count:** 3907

**Article Type:** Original Manuscript

**Abstract:**

Contemporary evidence has demonstrated strength and conditioning (S&C) training benefits golf performance, primarily through improving clubhead speed. However, no empirical data exist that describe how, or even if, golfers integrate their S&C training and golf practice. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the perceptions and practices of skilled golfers with regards planning the season and how S&C is structured in the golf year. Sixty-five (male n= 48. Female n= 17) category 1 amateur (n= 50) and professional (n= 15) golfers completed a mixed-methods online survey. Survey answers were either golf practice or S&C training focused. Results showed the majority of golfers engage with S&C training (n= 44; 67.7 %) and follow a programme (n= 53. 81.5 %). Contrastingly, they reported having little structure for golf practice, choosing to have no annual plan (n= 14; 21.9 %), have a reactive approach (n= 22. 34.9 %) based on recent performances, or train what feels appropriate/enjoyable (n= 15; 23.8 %). Golfers reported they adjust their training around competition (n= 47; 74.6 %), but with various approaches. The dichotomous and contradictory responses received across multiple answers demonstrate continued education and research is required to help golfers integrate S&C training with their golf practice.

## Introduction:

Contemporary evidence has demonstrated that strength and conditioning (S&C) training can have considerable benefits for golf performance, primarily through improvements in clubhead speed.<sup>1</sup> Both acute physical training interventions such as the manipulation of warm-up to involve S&C activities,<sup>2,3,4</sup> and longer-term training programme engagement<sup>1,5</sup> have shown benefits to physical correlates of golf-performance.<sup>6</sup> This is particularly true for a golfer's physical capacities that relate to drive distance, which is a crucial determinant of successful play across all levels from elite to amateur.<sup>7,8,9</sup> Indeed, a 20 yard increase in drive distance has been attributed to saving 0.75 strokes per round.<sup>10</sup> However, while there is evidence for the use of S&C as a strategy for supporting better golf in isolation, there is no empirical evidence describing how golfers integrate this type of training into their overall practice schedule or annual plan and as such an exploratory study is warranted.

The integration of all aspects of an athlete's training strategy into a coherent and feasible schedule forms part of the overall planning process. In S&C nomenclature this process is referred to as organisation of training and is inexorably linked to periodisation. Periodisation concerns incorporating variation into training, typically by dividing the annual plan into smaller training phases, allowing for the pursuit of targeted training goals such as increased muscle size, maximal strength, or speed development, as examples.<sup>11,12</sup> Golfers' sport-specific practice may be segregated into:

- Technical practice: putting, chipping and greenside bunker play, pitching and wedge play, approach play, and long game.
- Tactical practice: mapping courses for distances and strategy, and greens for gradients and slope direction. This can be done with course guides and range finder technology.
- Rounds of golf: practice or pro-am rounds and competitive golf.

Periodising S&C training may face additional sport-specific complexities in golf. A recent study of highly-experienced Professional Golfers' Association (PGA) golf coaches, who support elite golfers, showed that their long-term approaches to technical training were typically unstructured and the process of planning is

secondary to the immediacy of a golfer's acute performance needs.<sup>13</sup> There may also be differences in the planning processes of amateurs and professionals as their season durations and timings are often different. However, currently there is no empirical evidence that describes how, or even if, skilled golfers plan their physical training around their golf practices.

S&C training for golfers, particularly around competition is complex and evidence on periodisation for golf is lacking.<sup>14</sup> It is reasonable however to suggest that S&C training should aim to complement and enhance existing golf practice, rather than interfere with the ability to perform in competition. As golfers will invariably have a technical coach, the inclusion of S&C training in the overall practice schedule will require discussion between at least three key stakeholders. To date, only one study has published data on coaches' perceptions of physical fitness for golf suggesting that over 50% of responders thought S&C was not important for their golfers.<sup>15</sup> However, these data are over 10 years old, sampled coaches from a single country, and did not consider the golfer's perspective, potentially omitting crucial information.

There is recent evidence as to the perceptions and practices of golfers. While misconceptions around S&C were still evident, the vast majority (78.5%) of golfers surveyed believed physical training was beneficial for their golf and trained year-round.<sup>16</sup> However, this survey focused primarily on golfers' selected S&C modalities, the existence of training myths and musculature targeted during training with a paucity of evidence still regarding how S&C practice is planned and implemented throughout the golf year. While there are limited data from golf, other sports show that players are generally supportive of S&C provision. Evidence from a range of NCAA Division I athletes suggests that a player's perception of the relative importance of S&C training to successful performance in their sport may vary substantially depending on the sport, with more traditionally "strength focused" sports showing a higher perceived importance for S&C.<sup>17</sup> A study by Weldon et al.<sup>18</sup> revealed more than 95% of volleyball athletes surveyed believed S&C was either "important" or "very important" when aiming to improve their physical attributes while reducing injury risk. However, neither of these studies investigated how athletes try to incorporate S&C training around their technical practice or competitive play. This appears crucial as a key response from athletes (and coaches) surveyed suggest that periodising training (which requires a longitudinal plan) had the greatest

potential to improve their performances.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the perceptions and practices of skilled golfers with regards planning the season and how S&C is integrated into the golf year.

## **Methods:**

### *Experimental Approach:*

A mixed-methods survey, developed using Microsoft Forms was employed to obtain information about the processes and perceptions of skilled golfers when planning S&C as part of the golf year. Using convenience sampling, the survey was distributed via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn, Facebook), email, correspondence with a golf national body (England Golf), and word of mouth. Questions were either multiple choice or short answer and focused on golf practices or S&C training approaches. Multiple choice questions (MCQs) contained an “other” response option which allowed participants to write an alternative response, or elaborate where necessary. All responses were anonymised and participants gave their informed consent after reading a pre-survey information sheet. Ethical approval for the study was granted by the University’s Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013).

### *Participants:*

Sixty-seven survey responses were collected. To be eligible for the survey, participants must have been ≥18 years of age at the time of completion and a skilled golfer, defined as being a Category 1 amateur (≤5 handicap) or professional. This left 65 complete responses. Golfer descriptive characteristics are contained in Table 1.

\*\*\* Table 1 here\*\*\*

### *Procedures:*

The survey was administered remotely, which can reduce experimenter bias and allows for anonymity to be preserved for the participant.<sup>19</sup> The survey questions were

separated into common themes for all participants. The full question list and possible responses are provided as supplementary information (Supplementary File 1).

#### *Statistical Analysis:*

All survey data were exported and a frequency analysis was conducted for all fixed response MCQs, with corresponding percentages of responses presented. For short-answer questions, a thematic analysis was conducted. The thematic analysis comprised 1) data appraisal and coding, 2) generation of themes from commonly observed answers, 3) review and agreement of themes between authors, 4) defining and naming themes, 5) producing the report. This is a frequently employed methodology in similar research of this nature.<sup>18,20, 21</sup> A minimum sample size was established as 50 participants *a priori* to allow for meaningful analysis and to be commensurate with the sample sizes of similar published works.<sup>22,23</sup>

#### **Results:**

##### *Golf Practice Focused Responses:*

The highest proportion of responders play 16-20 tournaments per year (n= 23; 35.4%), with 23.1% (n= 15) playing 11-15 and 13.8% (n= 9) playing 21-25 tournaments, respectively. The majority (n= 36; 57.1%) of golfers reported that they would choose to play 2-4 tournament weeks consecutively as their maximum. At each end of the spectrum, 10 golfers (15.9%) reported playing fewer consecutive tournaments (1-2) with another 10 (15.9%) playing as many tournaments as possible.

When planning golf practice or competition play throughout the year, over three quarters (n= 50; 78.1%) of responders reported planning their S&C training around competition, mainly by limiting how much training they do, coupled with how hard they train (n= 21; 32.8%) or by exclusively reducing training volume (n= 20; 31.3%). The majority of golfers surveyed (n= 40; 62.5%) reported that they prioritise certain tournaments when planning, with high-profile events as the key focus (n= 36; 90.0%). Almost half (n= 30; 46.9%) identified that their approach was to initially put key events in the diary and then plan around those, with a further 31.3% of responders (n= 20) reporting that they put all events in their diary before planning. Fourteen (21.9%) golfers stated that they do not create an annual plan. Lastly,

golfers reported either having a reactive approach to their golf practice (n= 22; 34.9%) based on recent performances, or not having a plan at all, and just focussing on what 'feels appropriate' or what is 'enjoyable' (n= 15; 23.8%). Fewer golfers reported practicing all aspects of their golf equally throughout the year (n= 12; 19.0%) or focusing on specific practice for upcoming events such as links golf and the typical shots required for the course type (n= 10; 15.9%). Thematic analysis of golfers' suggestions relating to how they could improve their own planning are provided in Table 3.

Golfers' responses to S&C-focused questions are detailed in Table 2. For two MCQs, golfers were allowed to select more than one answer. Responses to these questions are displayed in Figures 1 and 2.

\*\*\*Table 2 here \*\*\*

\*\*\*Figure 1 here\*\*\*

\*\*\*Figure 2 here\*\*\*

\*\*\*Table 3 here\*\*\*

## **Discussion:**

The aim of this study was to provide inaugural, novel data on the processes and perceptions of highly-skilled golfers regarding their planning of the golf year, and how S&C training is integrated into this process. Many golfers have engaged with S&C programmes to improve performance and reduce the risk of injury against the demands of their sport.<sup>16</sup> However, there was no empirical evidence describing how golfers integrate interventions into their overall practice schedule or annual plan. A key finding from this research is that in contrast to their S&C training, golfers are often reactive or adopt an unstructured approach to their golf practice. Golfers also reported reducing their S&C training around competitions, but attempted to do this based on 'feel' to try and peak for tournaments. With regards S&C training specifically, a high percentage of golfers reported either not participating in S&C or not having an S&C coach, and those that did were often unsure of their S&C coach's qualification(s). During competition weeks, golfers reported reducing S&C training

volume, with the overwhelming majority training all components of fitness concurrently throughout the season. Lastly, a large proportion of the golfers in this study reported not reviewing their season or annual plan at the end of the golfing year, despite most taking the time to construct one, often with their golf coach and/or S&C coach. This overarching trend of golfers to provide, perhaps unknowingly, contradictory or dichotomous responses suggests there is a need for continued education and study in this area.

Constructing an annual training plan is common practice in most sports. Although recently contested as to its definition<sup>24</sup> and efficacy,<sup>25</sup> an annual plan in S&C is typically designed using a periodised approach. In golf specifically, Orr et al.<sup>13</sup> recently described that annual planning and goal-setting has multifaceted benefits that extend beyond physical development, including providing focus and motivation for athletes to improve, a realistic grounding for the time-course of developmental changes, and a proactive approach to addressing challenges. However, the findings from this current study demonstrate that more than one in five highly-skilled golfers (21.6%) either will only “sometimes” goal-set or not undertake any goal-setting process. Of the golfers who reported to setting goals, 37.7% said they set their own, with 32.1% stating they set goals in conjunction with their golf coach. In contrast, golfers reported having structure to their S&C training, with 81.5% saying they currently have a training programme. This discrepancy in planning approaches is likely explained by the relative experience levels of the golfers in each of these disciplines. Highly-skilled golfers will have many years’ experience of, and familiarity with, golf practice and, speculatively, may therefore feel they (and possibly their coach) can invest less time in creating a golf practice plan, opting instead to follow unstructured, reactive practice regimens as demonstrated previously in skilled golfers.<sup>13</sup> Where they may be less experienced in S&C (a low training age), they may feel it necessary to follow a set plan to ensure they are training correctly to optimise progressive overload and achieve the physical adaptations associated with their goals. However, having a reactive approach to golf practice may also impact planned S&C training, particularly if a player prioritises their golf practice instead of S&C training. No question in this survey addressed this but further exploration, in future research, would be worthwhile.



The evidence base for the efficacy and effectiveness of S&C training in golf has grown substantially in the past 20 years, in part as a result of evidence suggesting golfers who hit the ball further tend to have better scores<sup>9,10</sup> and stronger golfers are able to hit the ball further.<sup>26</sup> However, research in this area is still in its infancy in comparison to other sports and as S&C is still not common practice in golf, previous evidence has shown that there are a number of misconceptions that pervade in this area.<sup>16</sup> In this study, 81.5% of golfers reported having an S&C programme. Interestingly however, 32.3% of responders said they had “no training” history, suggesting that some golfers have an S&C programme, but do not train. 52.2% of golfers reported having trained for >1 year and 65.6% currently work with an S&C coach. However, it was concerning to note that 38.1% of responders were unsure of the qualification that their S&C coach held. This is almost double the level in the work of Wells & Langdown<sup>16</sup> who reported a 20.7% uncertainty in the S&C coach’s qualification. The discrepancy is likely explained by the make up of amateurs and professionals in the present study, versus a homogenous group of Assistant PGA Professionals in Wells & Langdown’s study.<sup>16</sup> It is reasonable to contend that Assistant PGA Professionals, who are provided with entry level education in sport science and S&C as part of their higher education, may have greater awareness of the importance of hiring a qualified S&C professional. It is recommended therefore that the importance of seeking support from qualified S&C coaches is relayed to amateur golfers, possibly through the county golf unions (in the UK) or directly through golf coaches themselves.

Perhaps the area of most contradiction in responses pertains to questions on peaking and tapering around competitions. Three-quarters (74.6%) of golfers reported planning their S&C training around competitions, but with a variety of approaches. A third (32.8%) of golfers reduced their training volume and intensity, 21.3% reduced volume only, while 17.2% completely stop S&C training during competition weeks. Less than 10% of golfers continue their normal S&C training during competition weeks. Furthermore, only 27.9% of golfers indicated that they try to physically peak for key events in the calendar despite aiming to manipulate training in some form during competition weeks, and prioritising certain key events (62.5%), especially when they are high-profile tournaments (90%). The aforementioned research into drive distance as a key performance determinant<sup>7,8,9</sup>

suggests that a seemingly illogical disconnect exists between the desire to peak physically for tournaments, and the desire to prioritise them. This response is of great interest and requires further investigation. Speculatively, some of this disconnect in response may be explained by golf retaining a heavy 'skill component' even though physicality has been demonstrated to be a key performance determinant. At the elite level, despite drive distance increasing each year (previously demonstrated to lead to strokes gained over the course of a round),<sup>10</sup> greens in regulation remained the strongest performance determinant on the European Tour over three recent seasons.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, golfers may choose to prioritise technical and tactical preparation in-season as they believe it will have a greater bearing on performance outcome.

When choosing an S&C coach to work with, golfers understandably regarded "knowledge of S&C training techniques", as well as "knowledge and experience in golf" as their most important considerations. "Reputation" and "recommendation" were the next most frequent responses, with "academic and vocational qualification" also popular (see Figure 1). Reputation and recommendation have previously been reported as key drivers in the golfer's approach to choosing a technical coach<sup>27</sup> so it is logical that, by extension, they will take this approach to appointing an S&C coach too. Previous research into S&C coach and high-performance athlete interactions have demonstrated agreement with the findings here in that technical knowledge, and quality of instruction and feedback were important considerations for athletes as well as higher-level qualities such as: trust and honesty;<sup>28</sup> closeness; commitment; complementarity; and co-orientation.<sup>29</sup> Despite these similarities, only 31.8% of golfers selected "someone who will form a good relationship with you and the golf coach" as something they considered important when working with an S&C coach. Of great interest is that only one golfer considered having an S&C coach who is "easy to get along with" as an important characteristic. It appears, therefore, that the quality of the coach/athlete relationship is a secondary consideration to an S&C coach's knowledge, previous experience and recommendation. Future research should seek to explore these themes, possibly through detailed interviews of golfers and their S&C coaches.

Data detailed in Figure 2 demonstrate that 82.5% of golfers will train strength in the off-season. Speed, hypertrophy, and mobility were almost identical in number of

responses, separated by only 3% around 40%. 25.4% of golfers chose to train endurance, with 12.7% not doing any training and choosing to 'rest' in the off-season. When systematically reviewed, the rationale for improving strength to support increases in clubhead speed has been well demonstrated across a range of training intervention studies<sup>1,30</sup> as short as six weeks<sup>31</sup> and up to 18 weeks.<sup>32</sup> Specific speed training has been demonstrated to have acute benefits to clubhead speed in golfers<sup>3,4</sup> although the chronic benefits of this type of training is currently unknown in golfers. However, relationships between measures of power and clubhead speed have been well established.<sup>33</sup>

Although over 40% of golfers chose to specifically train mobility in the off-season, there is an equivocal empirical evidence base to support this choice. Having a greater range of motion may allow for a longer backswing and therefore increase impulse by providing the opportunity to produce force over a longer period of time.<sup>34,35</sup> However, specific stretching or similar interventions aimed at improving mobility have shown mixed results. Lee et al.<sup>36</sup> demonstrated an improvement in shot distance in 20 amateur golfers following a 12-week composite stretching programme. However, the participants in the study began with relatively short driving distances at baseline, and the playing level and training history of the golfers were not reported. This is important as lower-skilled golfers will exhibit considerable variability in their swing mechanics and therefore swing speeds<sup>37</sup> and previously untrained participants are likely to respond positively and more rapidly to any training intervention versus individuals with greater training ages.<sup>38</sup> Other studies have shown improvements in shot distance following an acute dynamic stretching programme,<sup>39</sup> but no change to performance following static stretching training interventions.<sup>39,40</sup> Notwithstanding, no data exist from longitudinal training interventions focusing on stretching or mobility exercise exclusively to support improvement in golf swing characteristics. Some studies have incorporated mobility exercise as part of a wider exercise programme<sup>41,42</sup> but this creates difficulty in establishing cause and effect. It is therefore recommended, that if golfers choose to focus on mobility in the off-season that it is not performed in isolation, but as part of a strength-programme, and that enough time is given to allow technical interventions to take place to facilitate transfer to performance.<sup>43</sup>

Similarly, despite many golfers in this survey choosing it as an exercise focus, there is currently no empirical evidence to support hypertrophy or endurance training as strategies for developing clubhead speed and more general performance in golfers. Some authors have contended that hypertrophic development may even be detrimental to golf performance as it may reduce range of motion or increase moment of inertia.<sup>34</sup> The rationale for targeting hypertrophy is grounded in Newtonian law, whereby if a golfer can increase their mass, and maintain acceleration during the swing, they will produce more force. If the golfer can maintain the same length swing (i.e. not lose range of motion), and apply these greater forces over the same (or longer) time period, then they will generate more impulse. Interestingly, Macadam et al.<sup>44</sup> showed that increasing mass of skilled female golfers through the wearing of weighted vests helped to acutely increase clubhead speed. This was however a small study of five participants and the external resistance was only applied to the trail side of the body making extrapolation to S&C training focusing on hypertrophy problematic. Despite this, hypertrophic training has potential to be a viable strategy for golf, as it is for other sports as part of a strength training programme.

While endurance training may have some benefit for health more generally, the markers of cardiovascular endurance do not correlate with clubhead speed.<sup>6</sup> Notwithstanding, playing golf and walking the course can provide sufficient stimulus to improve aerobic fitness, although other forms of higher intensity exercise provide greater opportunity for cardiovascular adaptations.<sup>45</sup>

Thematic analysis of how golfers may improve their overall planning revealed that 51.4% felt that organisation/structure and time management were their biggest areas of weakness (Table 3). Similar to other results, exemplar responses demonstrate that golfers struggle to conceptualise how to effectively plan when there are competing demands on their time, such as when there are competitions and they wish to train using S&C techniques. In a recent study of perceptions of S&C in football, coaches reported that they felt the time required to invest in S&C may reduce the time afforded to football practice, while players were concerned that without a coach, poorly executed S&C practices might increase injury risk.<sup>20</sup> It is possible that both of these examples apply to golf too. Solutions to this issue may include integrating S&C into the routines of golfers so they become standard practice, increasing the confidence of golfers to engage with planning approaches

provided by either their golf coach or ideally their golf and S&C coach. A further approach in the elite game which has been adopted on the European Tour is to have highly-qualified and experienced S&C practitioners at all events to allow golfers to seek advice and instruction if required.

### **Strengths and Limitations:**

The study asked a set series of questions via the survey outlined above. The information obtained was detailed and provided a rich source of evidence in a previously under explored area. This depth of information obtained was particularly evident where participants could give open responses, and opportunities now exist for researchers to follow up on these themes and the findings from this paper to provide further or additional detail or ask new questions. The main limitation of the research presented in this paper is the sample size relative to the overall population of golfers internationally. Distributing the survey via the internet and social media also means the return rate is unknown. The results of the study should be interpreted with this in mind but notwithstanding, the data provide unique insights into planning the golf year and will be useful for golfers and coaches who wish to integrate S&C into their overall plan.

### **Conclusions:**

The benefits of S&C for golfers is now widely established and evidenced, and although some reservations and misconceptions remain, golfers are beginning to utilise S&C interventions to support their golf development. However, in order for these interventions to be successful in golf (and all sport), they need to be part of the overall training (technical, tactical, physical, mental) programme. The majority of golfers demonstrated within this study that they engage in S&C, but that there are areas where continued education is required. Particular areas include: how to support golfers' organisation of training and golf and to manage their time; how to train effectively around competition; how to peak and taper for competition; how to train effectively in the off-season and how to effectively utilise a review of the annual plan at the end of the golf year. Consideration should be given to the structuring of golf practice and the disconnect with the level of structure applied in S&C interventions. Future research should focus on the areas above, and aim to generate

405 further insight from interviews, or case-study examples of successful planning  
406 practices of golfers and their coaches.

407

408 **Acknowledgements:**

409 Thank you to Dr Zoë Bliss of Zoë Bliss Administration Services for her assistance  
410 with creating and administering the survey.

411 **Conflict of Interest:**

412 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare

## References:

1. Ehlert A. The effects of strength and conditioning interventions on golf performance: A systematic review. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2020; 38(23): 2720-2731.
2. Langdown BL, Wells JET, Graham S, & Bridge MW. Acute effects of different warm-up protocols on highly skilled golfers' drive performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2019; 37(6): 656–664.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1522699>
3. Bliss A, Livingstone H, & Tallent J. Field-based and over-speed potentiated warm-ups increase clubhead speed and drive carry distance in skilled collegiate golfers. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Science*, 2021; 5(2).
4. Hébert-Losier, K, & Wardell GL. Acute and persistence of the effects of the SuperSpeed Golf™ weighted-club warm-up on golf driving performance and kinematics. *Sports Biomechanics*. 2021;  
<https://DOI:10.1080/14763141.2021.1887344>
5. Bliss A, McCulloch H, & Maxwell N. The effects of an eight-week plyometric training program on golf swing performance characteristics in skilled adolescent golfers. *International Journal of Golf Science*. 2015; 4(2): 120-135.
6. Ehlert A. The correlations between physical attributes and golf clubhead speed: A systematic review with quantitative analyses. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2021; 21(10): 1351-1363.
7. Fradkin A, Sherman C, & Finch C. How well does golf clubhead speed correlate with golf handicaps? *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2004; 7: 465–472
8. Hellström J, Nilsson J, & Isberg L. Drive for dough. PGA Tour Golfers' tee shot functional accuracy, distance and hole score. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2014; 32(5): 462–469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2013.832353>
9. Bliss A. Modelling elite golf performance: Predictors of hole score on the European Tour from 2017-2019. *International Journal of Golf Science*. 2021; 9: 1–9.
10. Broadie, M. (2014). *Every Shot Counts*. Penguin, USA.
11. Bompa T, & Buzzicheli C. *Periodization: Theory and Methodology of Training* 6th ed. Human Kinetics, USA. 2019.
12. Haff G. Chapter 20: The essentials of periodisation. In Jeffreys, I., & Moody, J. *Strength and Conditioning for Sports Performance* 2nd Edition (pp. 394-427). Routledge, UK. 2021

13. Orr S, Carson HJ, & Cruickshank A. How Do Coaches Operationalise Long-Term Technical Training in Elite Golf?, *International Sport Coaching Journal* (published online ahead of print 2022). Retrieved Jul 19, 2022, from <https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/iscj/aop/article-10.1123-iscj.2021-0059/article-10.1123-iscj.2021-0059.xml>
14. Bishop C, Brennan A, Ehlert A, Wells J, Brearley S, Coughlan D. S&C for golf athletes: biomechanics, common injuries, and physical requirements. *Professional Strength and Conditioning Journal*. 2022; 63: 7-18.
15. Evans K, & Thomas P. Perceptions and practices of Australian golf coaches towards physical fitness for golf. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2012; 15(S130): <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.11.313>
16. Wells J, & Langdown B. Sports science for golf: a survey of high-skilled golfers' "perceptions" and "practices". *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2020; 38(8): 918-927
17. Boyd JM, Andrews AM, Wojcik JR, & Bowers CJ. Perceptions of NCAA Division I Athletes on Strength Training. *The Sport Journal*. 2017: <http://thesportjournal.org/article/perceptions-of-ncaa-division-i-athletes-on-strength-training/>
18. Weldon A, Mak JT, Wong ST, Duncan MJ, Clarke ND, & Bishop C. Strength and conditioning practices and perspectives of volleyball coaches and players. *Sports (Basel)*. 2021; 9:28, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports9020028>
19. Jones I. *Research Methods for Sports Studies* (3rd ed.). Routledge. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315796222>
20. Weldon A, Duncan MJ, Turner A, Sampaio J, Noon M, Wong D, & Lai VW. Contemporary practices of strength and conditioning coaches in professional soccer. *Biology of Sport*. 2020; 38(3): 377-390 <https://doi.org/10.5114/biolSport.2021.99328>
21. Langdown B, & Ehlert, A. An investigation into the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic upon golfers' strength and conditioning and golf practice. 2022. Ahead of Print. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541221140016>
22. Nosek P, Brownlee TE, Drust B, & Andrew M. Feedback of GPS training data within professional English soccer: a comparison of decision marking and perceptions between coaches, players and performance staff. *Science and Medicine in Football*. 2021; 5(1): 35-47 <https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2020.1770320>
23. Sly N, Soomro M, Withall A, Cullen P, Turner R, & Flahive S. Players', parents' and staffs' perceptions of injury prevention exercise programmes in youth rugby union. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*. 2022 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001271>



24. Katoaka Y, Vasenina E, Loenneke J, & Buckner SL. Periodization: variation in the definition and discrepancies in study design. *Sports Medicine*. 2021; 51: 625-651. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01414-5>
25. Kiely J. Periodization theory: confronting an inconvenient truth. *Sports Medicine*. 2018; 48(4): 753-764. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0823-y>
26. Wells J, Charalambous LH, Mitchell A, Coughlan D, Brearley SL, Hawkes RA, Murray AD, Hillman RG, & Fletcher IM. Relationships between Challenge Tour golfers' clubhead velocity and force producing capabilities during a countermovement jump and isometric mid-thigh pull. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2019; 37(12): 1381–1386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1559972>
27. Toner J, Nelson L, Potrac P, Gilbourne D, & Marshall P. From 'blame' to 'shame' in a coach-athlete relationship in golf: a tale of shared critical reflection and the re-storying of narrative experiences. *Sports Coaching Review*. 2012; 1(1): 67-78 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21640629.2012.704193>
28. Szedlak C, Smith MJ, Day MC, & Greenlees IA. Effective behaviours of strength and conditioning coaches as perceived by athletes. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*. 2015; 10(5): 967-984
29. Foulds SJ, Hoffman SM, Hinck K, & Carson F. The coach-athlete relationship in strength and conditioning: high performance athletes' responses. *Sports (Basel)*. 2019; 7 244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7120244>
30. Uthoff A, Sommerfield LM, & Pichardo AW. Effects of resistance training methods on golf clubhead speed and hitting distance: a systematic review. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2021; 35(9): 2651-2660.
31. Lamberth J, Hale BD, Knight A, Boyd J, & Luczak T. Effectiveness of a six week strength and functional training program on golf performance. *International Journal of Golf Science*. 2013; 2: 33-42
32. Alvarez M, Sedano S, Cuadrado G, & Redondo JC. Effects of an 18-week strength training program for low-handicap golfers' performance. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2012; 26: 1110–1121
33. Read P, Lloyd R, De Ste Croix M, & Oliver J. Relationships between field-based measures of strength and power and golf club head speed. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2013; 27(10): 2708-2713
34. Keogh JWL, Marnewick MC, Maulder PS, et al. Are anthropometric, Flexibility, Muscular strength, and endurance variables related to clubhead velocity in

low- and high- handicap golfers? *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2009; 23: 1841-1850

35. Sheehan WB, Bower RG, & Watsford ML. Physical Determinants of Golf Swing Performance: A Review. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 2022; 36(1), 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000000003411>
36. Lee JC, Lee SW, Yeo YG, & Park GD. Effects of special composite stretching on the swing of amateur golf players. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*. 2015; 27(4): 1049-1051.
37. Bradshaw EJ, Keogh JW, Hume PA, Maulder PS, Nortje J, & Marnewick M. The effect of biological movement variability on the performance of the golf swing in high- and low-handicapped players. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 2009; 80(2): 185–196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2009.10599552>
38. Wetmore AB, Moquin PA, Carroll KM, Fry AC, Hornsby WG, & Stone MH. The effect of training status on adaptations to 11 weeks of block periodization training. *Sports*. 2020 8: 145. <https://doi:10.3390/sports8110145>
39. Moran KA, McGrath T, Marshall BM, & Wallace ES. Dynamic stretching and golf swing performance. *International journal of sports medicine*. 2009; 30(02): 113-118.
40. Gergley J. Acute effects of passive static stretching during warm-up on driver clubhead speed, distance, accuracy, and consistent ball contact in young male competitive golfers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2009; 23(3): 863-867.
41. Westcott WL, Dolan F, & Cavicchi T. Golf and strength training are compatible activities. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*. 1996;18(4): 54-56.
42. Thompson CJ, & Osness WH. Effects of an 8-week multimodal exercise program on strength, flexibility, and golf performance in 55-to 79-year-old men. *Journal of aging and physical activity*. 2004; 12(2): 144-156.
43. Suchomel TJ, Nimphius S, Bellon CR, & Stone MH. The importance of muscular strength: Training considerations. *Sports Medicine*. 2018; 48: 765–785
44. Macadam P, Chau A, & Cronin J. Wearable resistance acutely enhances club head speed in skilled female golfers. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*. 2019; 14(5): 675-680.
45. Murray AD, Daines L, Archibald D, Hawkes RA, Schiphorst C, Kelly P, Grant L, & Mutrie N. The relationships between golf and health: a scoping review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2017; 51: 12-19. <https://doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-09662>

607

608 Table 1: Descriptive Characteristics of golfers completing the survey (n= 65). Data are presented as number of responses (%)

Participant Characteristics	Category	Respondents n= (%)
Sex	Male	48 (73.8)
	Female	17 (26.1)
Age (years)	18-30	47 (72.3)
	31-45	13 (20.0)
	46-60	2 (3.1)
	60+	2 (3.1)
	Prefer not to say	1 (1.5)
Location	UK	53 (81.5)
	Europe (not UK)	6 (9.2)
	North America	4 (6.2)
	Australia/New Zealand	1 (1.5)
	Africa	1 (1.5)
Status	Professional	15 (23.1)
	Category 1 Amateur	50 (76.9)
Experience (years)	0-5	5 (7.7)
	6-10	16 (24.6)
	11-15	23 (35.4)
	12-20	11 (16.9)
	21+	10 (15.4)

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616 Table 2: *Golfer responses to S&C-focused questions. Data are presented as number of responses for each question and percentages in*  
 617 *brackets.*

Question	Category	Respondents n= (%)
<b>How long have you been strength training?</b>	< 6 months	3 (4.6)
	6-12 months	7 (10.8)
	1-2 years	9 (13.8)
	2-5 years	14 (21.5)
	5+ years	11 (16.9)
	No training	21 (32.3)
<b>Do you work with an S&amp;C Coach?</b>	Yes	42 (65.6)
	No	20 (30.7)
	Use an app	1 (1.6)
	Train myself (qualified S&C)	1 (1.6)
<b>How long have you worked with an S&amp;C coach?</b>	< 6 month	11 (17.2)
	6-12 months	8 (12.5)
	1-2 years	13 (20.3)
	2-5 years	8 (12.5)
	Over 5 years	3 (4.7)
	No coach	22 (34.4)
<b>What qualification does your S&amp;C Coach hold?</b>	UKSCA/NSCA or similar	17 (40.5)
	Golf-industry qualification (TPI, PGA etc.)	7 (16.7)
	Personal trainer qualification	2 (4.8)
	Not sure or no response	16 (38.1)
<b>Do you have an S&amp;C programme?</b>	Yes	53 (81.5)
	No	12 (18.5)
<b>Who writes your programme?</b>	Me	13 (24.5)
	S&C Coach or personal trainer	39 (73.6)
	Online app	1 (1.9)

<b>Do you goal set annually?</b>	Yes	51 (78.5)
	No	12 (18.5)
	Sometimes	2 (3.1)
<b>Who sets your goals?</b>	Player only	20 (37.7)
	Player & golf coach	17 (32.1)
	Player & strength coach	1 (3.1)
	player & support staff	15 (28.3)
<b>Do you review your annual plan?</b>	Yes	40 (63.5)
	No	23 (36.5)
<b>Is your annual plan periodised?</b>	Yes	53 (81.5)
	No	12 (18.5)
<b>How frequently does your programme change?</b>	Weekly	7 (13.5)
	Monthly	18 (34.6)
	2-3 months	21 (40.4)
	Every 6 months	4 (7.7)
	Yearly	2 (3.8)
<b>Do you plan strength training around competition?</b>	Yes	47 (74.6)
	No	16 (25.4)
<b>Do you continue to train during competition weeks?</b>	Yes, as I would do outside of competition weeks	6 (9.4)
	Yes, but I limit how much I do (volume)	20 (21.3)
	Yes, but I limit how hard I train	6 (9.4)
	Yes, but I limit how hard and how much I train	21 (32.8)
	No	11 (17.2)
<b>Do you try to peak (physical) for certain events?</b>	Yes, for key events	17 (27.9)
	No	21 (34.4)
	Adjust training based on how I feel	23 (37.7)
<b>How would you describe your approach to S&amp;C?</b>	Work on all aspects of fitness equally throughout the year	21 (32.8)
	Work on all aspects, but spend periods developing particular qualities (size, speed etc.)	27 (42.4)

Work exclusively on particular aspects in blocks or chunks (i.e. 6 weeks on speed development)	4 (6.3)
Train based on feel, don't follow a programme	3 (4.7)
No training	9 (14.1)

**Note:** UKSCA= United Kingdom Strength and Conditioning Association. NSCA= National Strength and Conditioning Association. TPI= Titleist Performance Institute. PGA= Professional Golfers' Association

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634 Table 3: Golfers' open, short-answer responses to identify which factors could improve their planning

Theme	Exemplar Responses	Number of Responses (%) (n = 35)
1 Organisation/Structure of Training and Time Management	<p>“Have more structure for training and competitions to align them better together.”</p> <p>“Coming up with a programme or a process in which I can monitor my progression to see if I have achieved certain goals.”</p> <p>“Spend more time to plan out my season so I am more aware of upcoming competitions and train accordingly to that.”</p> <p>“Plan more effectively for the lead up to tournaments. Rest days and making sure I’m ready.”</p> <p>“Better use periodisation in the off-season when committing to golf practice”</p>	18 (51.4 %)
=2 Coach Engagement – Working with or more closely with coaching team	<p>“I feel my planning on the off season is very good, using all my coaches to specify key areas of improvement.”</p> <p>“Discussion with team coach, and team mates about what tournaments are the most important.”</p> <p>“Periodize more and have specific days of the month where my coach and I sit down and review each period whether that is monthly, yearly or quarterly. “</p>	7 (20.0 %)
=2 Miscellaneous	<p>“better nutrition information”</p> <p>“A specific golf app that recommends events which would be beneficial to that particular person”</p>	7 (20.0 %)

<b>4</b>	Goal Setting	“I would find out from other years what times I peaked in performance. Then look at the trends and potential reasons why and try to use this to helps me peak for my biggest competitions.”	6 (17.1%)
<b>5</b>	More regular gym work	“To get to the gym more often” “Actually do some training”	4 (11.4%)
<b>6</b>	Nothing	“planning is good as it is”	3 (8.6%)
<b>7</b>	Utilising technology	“A specific golf app that recommends events which would be beneficial to that particular person”	1 (2.9%)

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646



647

## Survey Questions – Supplementary File

648

### Pre-survey questions:

649

How would you identify yourself?

650

1. Player - Professional (playing at national or international tour level)

651

2. Player - Professional (playing at regional or equivalent level):

652

3. Player - Regional level amateur player (county/state)

653

4. Player - National or international level amateur player

654

5. Other (please specify)

655

### Questions:

656

1. What is your sex?

657

a) Male

658

b) Female

659

c) Prefer not to say

660

2. What is your age?

661

a) Under 18 (then deselected from study)

662

b) 18-30

663

c) 31-45

664

d) 46-60

665

e) 60+

666

f) Prefer not to say

667

3. What is your current location?

668

a) UK

669

b) Europe (not UK)

670

c) North America

671

d) South America

672

e) Australia / New Zealand

673

f) Africa

674

g) Asia

675

h) Other

676

4. What is your playing status?

677

a) Professional

678

b) Category 1 Amateur

679

5. How many years have you been playing?

680

a) 0-5 years

681

b) 6-10 years

682

c) 11-15 years

683

d) 15-20 years

684

e) 20+ years

685

6. What is your current handicap?

686

a) 0 (or plus figures) – 5

687

b) Professional (no handicap)

688

7. How many competitive tournaments do you play per year?

689

a) 0-5

690

b) 6-10

691

c) 11-15

692

d) 16-20

693

e) 21-25

694

f) 26+

- 695 8. Do you goal set at the start of each season?  
696 a) Yes  
697 b) No  
698 c) Sometimes (open answer)  
699 9. If yes, how do you do this?  
700 a) On my own  
701 b) With my golf coach  
702 c) With my strength coach  
703 d) With another support team member  
704 e) With a combination of support staff  
705 f) Other  
706 10. Do you typically review your annual plan at the end of each year?  
707 a) Yes  
708 b) No  
709 c) Sometimes (open answer)  
710 11. Do you work with a strength and conditioning coach?  
711 a) Yes  
712 b) No  
713 c) Sometimes (open answer)  
714 12. When selecting a strength and conditioning coach to work with you, what qualities do  
715 you look for (select as many as appropriate)?  
716 a) Good reputation  
717 b) Prior working relationship  
718 c) Someone who will form a good relationship with you and the golf coach  
719 d) highly qualified (academic)  
720 e) highly qualified (vocational)  
721 f) experienced in golf  
722 g) experienced in other sports  
723 h) knowledge of golf  
724 i) knowledge of S&C  
725 j) Someone who is recommended to you by other players or coaches  
726 k) Physically strong or athletic themselves  
727 l) other  
728 13. How long have you worked with your current strength and conditioning coach?  
729 a) Less than 6 months  
730 b) 6-12 months  
731 c) 1-2 years  
732 d) 2-5 years  
733 e) Over 5 years  
734 f) Do not have a strength coach  
735 14. How long have you been strength training (averaging more than 1 session per week)  
736 a) Less than 6 months  
737 b) 6-12 months  
738 c) 1-2 years  
739 d) 2-5 years  
740 e) Over 5 years  
741 15. Do you currently have a strength and conditioning programme?  
742 a) Yes  
743 b) no  
744 16. If yes, is this written by yourself or someone else?  
745 a) Me

- 746                   b) The strength and conditioning coach  
747                   c) Someone else  
748 17. If someone else, who writes your strength and conditioning programme?  
749                   a) Golf coach  
750                   b) Personal trainer  
751                   c) Other  
752                   d) N/A  
753 18. If a strength and conditioning coach writes your programme, what level of  
754                   qualification do they hold  
755                   a) Strength and conditioning coach (UKSCA, NSCA accredited or similar)  
756                   b) Golf-industry qualification professional (PGA, TPI etc.)  
757                   c) Personal training qualification  
758                   d) None of the above  
759                   e) Not sure  
760                   f) Other  
761 19. Is your annual plan periodised?  
762                   a) Yes  
763                   b) No  
764                   c) Not sure  
765                   d) N/A  
766 20. On average, how frequently does your training programme change?  
767                   a) Weekly  
768                   b) Monthly  
769                   c) Every two-three months  
770                   d) Every 6 months  
771                   e) Yearly  
772                   f) Other  
773 21. Do you plan your strength training around competition?  
774                   a) Yes  
775                   b) No  
776                   c) Sometimes (open answer)  
777 22. Do you plan your golf training around competition?  
778                   a) Yes  
779                   b) No  
780                   c) Sometimes (open answer)  
781 23. Do you continue to train during competition weeks?  
782                   a) Yes, the same as I would do outside of competition weeks  
783                   b) Yes, but I limit how much I do (volume)  
784                   c) Yes, but I limit how hard I train (intensity)  
785                   d) Yes, but I limit both how much and how hard I train  
786                   e) No  
787                   f) other  
788 24. Do you try to peak (peak physical condition) for certain events?  
789                   a) Yes, I try to peak for certain key events in the calendar (majors, Rolex Series  
790                   or high money earning, Ryder/Solheim cup or similar, national or international  
791                   championships)  
792                   b) No, I do not taper my training around events and try to maintain the same  
793                   training year round  
794                   c) I adjust my training based on how I feel and how I am performing  
795                   d) Other  
796 25. When planning the year, what is your approach?

- 797 a) Put key events in the diary first, and then plan around those  
798 b) Put all events in the diary and then plan around those  
799 c) Do not do a season plan  
800 d) other
- 801 26. Do you prioritise some tournaments and de-prioritise others based on your goals or  
802 plan?
- 803 a) Yes  
804 b) No  
805 c) Sometimes (open answer)
- 806 27. If yes, which tournaments do you prioritise
- 807 a) High-money events  
808 b) High-profile events  
809 c) Tournaments on courses I like or have played well round before  
810 d) Other
- 811 28. How many tournament weeks would be the maximum you would choose to play  
812 consecutively before taking a break?
- 813 a) One-two  
814 b) Three-four  
815 c) Five-six  
816 d) More than six  
817 e) As many as possible  
818 f) other
- 819 29. How would you describe your approach to golf training?
- 820 a) Work on all aspects of my game equally throughout the year  
821 b) Have a reactive approach (i.e. work on what I think is most important based  
822 on  
823 c) recent performances)  
824 d) Work on specific aspects of my game in a structured way (i.e. 4 weeks of  
825 chipping focus. 4 weeks of driving focus etc.)  
826 e) Work on what is required for a specific upcoming tournament (i.e. links golf  
827 tournament coming up, and therefore practice typical shots)  
828 f) Unplanned, work on whatever feels most appropriate or what you enjoy  
829 g) other
- 830 30. How would you describe your approach to your strength and conditioning?
- 831 a) Work on all aspects of my fitness equally throughout the year  
832 b) Work on all aspects of my fitness, but spend periods of time dedicated to  
833 developing particular qualities (size, speed, maximal strength etc.)  
834 c) Work exclusively on particular aspects of my physical fitness in blocks or  
835 chunks (i.e. 6 weeks working on speed development)  
836 d) Train based on feel. Do not follow a particular programme  
837 e) No strength training  
838 f) other
- 839 31. What do you tend to work on in the off-season in your S&C?
- 840 a) Mobility  
841 b) Strength  
842 c) Hypertrophy (getting bigger)  
843 d) Speed  
844 e) Endurance  
845 f) None (rest only)  
846 g) Other

847 32. If there was something you could do to improve your planning of the season what  
848 would it be:

849 a) Open answer

850 33. Any other comments about your planning of the year?

851 a) Open answer

852

853

854

855

856

