

**TITLE**

Integrating strength and conditioning training and golf practice during the golf season: approaches and perceptions of highly skilled golfers

**AUTHOR**

Bliss, Alex and Langdown, Ben

**JOURNAL**

International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching

**DATE DEPOSITED**

19 April 2023

**This version available at**

<https://research.stmarys.ac.uk/id/eprint/5901/>

---

**COPYRIGHT AND REUSE**

Open Research Archive makes this work available, in accordance with publisher policies, for research purposes.

**VERSIONS**

The version presented here may differ from the published version. For citation purposes, please consult the published version for pagination, volume/issue and date of publication.

1 Title Page:

2 **Title:**

3 Integrating strength and conditioning training and golf practice during the golf  
4 season: approaches and perceptions of highly skilled golfers

5 **Authors:**

6 Alex Bliss<sup>1</sup> & Ben Langdown<sup>2</sup>

7 **Affiliations:**

8 1: Centre for Applied Performance Sciences. St Mary's University, Twickenham,  
9 London, UK

10 2: School of Education, Childhood, Youth & Sport, The Open University, Milton  
11 Keynes, UK

12 **Corresponding Author:**

13 Alex Bliss. Associate Professor and Subject Lead for Strength and Conditioning  
14 [Alex.bliss@stmarys.ac.uk](mailto:Alex.bliss@stmarys.ac.uk)

15 **Word Count:** 3907

16 **Article Type:** Original Manuscript

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **Abstract:**

27 Contemporary evidence has demonstrated strength and conditioning (S&C) training  
28 benefits golf performance, primarily through improving clubhead speed. However, no  
29 empirical data exist that describe how, or even if, golfers integrate their S&C training  
30 and golf practice. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the perceptions  
31 and practices of skilled golfers with regards planning the season and how S&C is  
32 structured in the golf year. Sixty-five (male n= 48. Female n= 17) category 1 amateur  
33 (n= 50) and professional (n= 15) golfers completed a mixed-methods online survey.  
34 Survey answers were either golf practice or S&C training focused. Results showed  
35 the majority of golfers engage with S&C training (n= 44; 67.7 %) and follow a  
36 programme (n= 53. 81.5 %). Contrastingly, they reported having little structure for  
37 golf practice, choosing to have no annual plan (n= 14; 21.9 %), have a reactive  
38 approach (n= 22. 34.9 %) based on recent performances, or train what feels  
39 appropriate/enjoyable (n= 15; 23.8 %). Golfers reported they adjust their training  
40 around competition (n= 47; 74.6 %), but with various approaches. The dichotomous  
41 and contradictory responses received across multiple answers demonstrate  
42 continued education and research is required to help golfers integrate S&C training  
43 with their golf practice.

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55 **Introduction:**

56 Contemporary evidence has demonstrated that strength and conditioning (S&C)  
57 training can have considerable benefits for golf performance, primarily through  
58 improvements in clubhead speed.<sup>1</sup> Both acute physical training interventions such as  
59 the manipulation of warm-up to involve S&C activities,<sup>2,3,4</sup> and longer-term training  
60 programme engagement<sup>1,5</sup> have shown benefits to physical correlates of golf-  
61 performance.<sup>6</sup> This is particularly true for a golfer's physical capacities that relate to  
62 drive distance, which is a crucial determinant of successful play across all levels  
63 from elite to amateur.<sup>7,8,9</sup> Indeed, a 20 yard increase in drive distance has been  
64 attributed to saving 0.75 strokes per round.<sup>10</sup> However, while there is evidence for  
65 the use of S&C as a strategy for supporting better golf in isolation, there is no  
66 empirical evidence describing how golfers integrate this type of training into their  
67 overall practice schedule or annual plan and as such an exploratory study is  
68 warranted.

69 The integration of all aspects of an athlete's training strategy into a coherent and  
70 feasible schedule forms part of the overall planning process. In S&C nomenclature  
71 this process is referred to as organisation of training and is inexorably linked to  
72 periodisation. Periodisation concerns incorporating variation into training, typically by  
73 dividing the annual plan into smaller training phases, allowing for the pursuit of  
74 targeted training goals such as increased muscle size, maximal strength, or speed  
75 development, as examples.<sup>11,12</sup> Golfers' sport-specific practice may be segregated  
76 into:

- 77 • Technical practice: putting, chipping and greenside bunker play, pitching and  
78 wedge play, approach play, and long game.
- 79 • Tactical practice: mapping courses for distances and strategy, and greens for  
80 gradients and slope direction. This can be done with course guides and range  
81 finder technology.
- 82 • Rounds of golf: practice or pro-am rounds and competitive golf.

83 Periodising S&C training may face additional sport-specific complexities in golf. A  
84 recent study of highly-experienced Professional Golfers' Association (PGA) golf  
85 coaches, who support elite golfers, showed that their long-term approaches to  
86 technical training were typically unstructured and the process of planning is

87 secondary to the immediacy of a golfer's acute performance needs.<sup>13</sup> There may  
88 also be differences in the planning processes of amateurs and professionals as their  
89 season durations and timings are often different. However, currently there is no  
90 empirical evidence that describes how, or even if, skilled golfers plan their physical  
91 training around their golf practices.

92 S&C training for golfers, particularly around competition is complex and evidence on  
93 periodisation for golf is lacking.<sup>14</sup> It is reasonable however to suggest that S&C  
94 training should aim to complement and enhance existing golf practice, rather than  
95 interfere with the ability to perform in competition. As golfers will invariably have a  
96 technical coach, the inclusion of S&C training in the overall practice schedule will  
97 require discussion between at least three key stakeholders. To date, only one study  
98 has published data on coaches' perceptions of physical fitness for golf suggesting  
99 that over 50% of responders thought S&C was not important for their golfers.<sup>15</sup>  
100 However, these data are over 10 years old, sampled coaches from a single country,  
101 and did not consider the golfer's perspective, potentially omitting crucial information.

102 There is recent evidence as to the perceptions and practices of golfers. While  
103 misconceptions around S&C were still evident, the vast majority (78.5%) of golfers  
104 surveyed believed physical training was beneficial for their golf and trained year-  
105 round.<sup>16</sup> However, this survey focused primarily on golfers' selected S&C modalities,  
106 the existence of training myths and musculature targeted during training with a  
107 paucity of evidence still regarding how S&C practice is planned and implemented  
108 throughout the golf year. While there are limited data from golf, other sports show  
109 that players are generally supportive of S&C provision. Evidence from a range of  
110 NCAA Division I athletes suggests that a player's perception of the relative  
111 importance of S&C training to successful performance in their sport may vary  
112 substantially depending on the sport, with more traditionally "strength focused" sports  
113 showing a higher perceived importance for S&C.<sup>17</sup> A study by Weldon et al.<sup>18</sup>  
114 revealed more than 95% of volleyball athletes surveyed believed S&C was either  
115 "important" or "very important" when aiming to improve their physical attributes while  
116 reducing injury risk. However, neither of these studies investigated how athletes try  
117 to incorporate S&C training around their technical practice or competitive play. This  
118 appears crucial as a key response from athletes (and coaches) surveyed suggest  
119 that periodising training (which requires a longitudinal plan) had the greatest

120 potential to improve their performances.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, the aim of this study was to  
121 investigate the perceptions and practices of skilled golfers with regards planning the  
122 season and how S&C is integrated into the golf year.

123

## 124 **Methods:**

### 125 *Experimental Approach:*

126 A mixed-methods survey, developed using Microsoft Forms was employed to obtain  
127 information about the processes and perceptions of skilled golfers when planning  
128 S&C as part of the golf year. Using convenience sampling, the survey was  
129 distributed via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn, Facebook), email, correspondence  
130 with a golf national body (England Golf), and word of mouth. Questions were either  
131 multiple choice or short answer and focused on golf practices or S&C training  
132 approaches. Multiple choice questions (MCQs) contained an “other” response option  
133 which allowed participants to write an alternative response, or elaborate where  
134 necessary. All responses were anonymised and participants gave their informed  
135 consent after reading a pre-survey information sheet. Ethical approval for the study  
136 was granted by the University’s Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance  
137 with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013).

### 138 *Participants:*

139 Sixty-seven survey responses were collected. To be eligible for the survey,  
140 participants must have been  $\geq 18$  years of age at the time of completion and a skilled  
141 golfer, defined as being a Category 1 amateur ( $\leq 5$  handicap) or professional. This  
142 left 65 complete responses. Golfer descriptive characteristics are contained in Table  
143 1.

144 \*\*\* Table 1 here\*\*\*

145

### 146 *Procedures:*

147 The survey was administered remotely, which can reduce experimenter bias and  
148 allows for anonymity to be preserved for the participant.<sup>19</sup> The survey questions were

149 separated into common themes for all participants. The full question list and possible  
150 responses are provided as supplementary information (Supplementary File 1).

### 151 *Statistical Analysis:*

152 All survey data were exported and a frequency analysis was conducted for all fixed  
153 response MCQs, with corresponding percentages of responses presented. For short-  
154 answer questions, a thematic analysis was conducted. The thematic analysis  
155 comprised 1) data appraisal and coding, 2) generation of themes from commonly  
156 observed answers, 3) review and agreement of themes between authors, 4) defining  
157 and naming themes, 5) producing the report. This is a frequently employed  
158 methodology in similar research of this nature.<sup>18,20, 21</sup> A minimum sample size was  
159 established as 50 participants *a priori* to allow for meaningful analysis and to be  
160 commensurate with the sample sizes of similar published works.<sup>22,23</sup>

### 161 **Results:**

#### 162 *Golf Practice Focused Responses:*

163 The highest proportion of responders play 16-20 tournaments per year (n= 23;  
164 35.4%), with 23.1% (n= 15) playing 11-15 and 13.8% (n= 9) playing 21-25  
165 tournaments, respectively. The majority (n= 36; 57.1%) of golfers reported that they  
166 would choose to play 2-4 tournament weeks consecutively as their maximum. At  
167 each end of the spectrum, 10 golfers (15.9%) reported playing fewer consecutive  
168 tournaments (1-2) with another 10 (15.9%) playing as many tournaments as  
169 possible.

170 When planning golf practice or competition play throughout the year, over three  
171 quarters (n= 50; 78.1%) of responders reported planning their S&C training around  
172 competition, mainly by limiting how much training they do, coupled with how hard  
173 they train (n= 21; 32.8%) or by exclusively reducing training volume (n= 20; 31.3%).  
174 The majority of golfers surveyed (n= 40; 62.5%) reported that they prioritise certain  
175 tournaments when planning, with high-profile events as the key focus (n= 36;  
176 90.0%). Almost half (n= 30; 46.9%) identified that their approach was to initially put  
177 key events in the diary and then plan around those, with a further 31.3% of  
178 responders (n= 20) reporting that they put all events in their diary before planning.  
179 Fourteen (21.9%) golfers stated that they do not create an annual plan. Lastly,

180 golfers reported either having a reactive approach to their golf practice (n= 22;  
181 34.9%) based on recent performances, or not having a plan at all, and just focussing  
182 on what 'feels appropriate' or what is 'enjoyable' (n= 15; 23.8%). Fewer golfers  
183 reported practicing all aspects of their golf equally throughout the year (n= 12;  
184 19.0%) or focusing on specific practice for upcoming events such as links golf and  
185 the typical shots required for the course type (n= 10; 15.9%). Thematic analysis of  
186 golfers' suggestions relating to how they could improve their own planning are  
187 provided in Table 3.

188 Golfers' responses to S&C-focused questions are detailed in Table 2. For two  
189 MCQs, golfers were allowed to select more than one answer. Responses to these  
190 questions are displayed in Figures 1 and 2.

191 \*\*\*Table 2 here \*\*\*

192 \*\*\*Figure 1 here\*\*\*

193 \*\*\*Figure 2 here\*\*\*

194 \*\*\*Table 3 here\*\*\*

195

## 196 **Discussion:**

197 The aim of this study was to provide inaugural, novel data on the processes and  
198 perceptions of highly-skilled golfers regarding their planning of the golf year, and how  
199 S&C training is integrated into this process. Many golfers have engaged with S&C  
200 programmes to improve performance and reduce the risk of injury against the  
201 demands of their sport.<sup>16</sup> However, there was no empirical evidence describing how  
202 golfers integrate interventions into their overall practice schedule or annual plan. A  
203 key finding from this research is that in contrast to their S&C training, golfers are  
204 often reactive or adopt an unstructured approach to their golf practice. Golfers also  
205 reported reducing their S&C training around competitions, but attempted to do this  
206 based on 'feel' to try and peak for tournaments. With regards S&C training  
207 specifically, a high percentage of golfers reported either not participating in S&C or  
208 not having an S&C coach, and those that did were often unsure of their S&C coach's  
209 qualification(s). During competition weeks, golfers reported reducing S&C training



210 volume, with the overwhelming majority training all components of fitness  
211 concurrently throughout the season. Lastly, a large proportion of the golfers in this  
212 study reported not reviewing their season or annual plan at the end of the golfing  
213 year, despite most taking the time to construct one, often with their golf coach and/or  
214 S&C coach. This overarching trend of golfers to provide, perhaps unknowingly,  
215 contradictory or dichotomous responses suggests there is a need for continued  
216 education and study in this area.

217 Constructing an annual training plan is common practice in most sports. Although  
218 recently contested as to its definition<sup>24</sup> and efficacy,<sup>25</sup> an annual plan in S&C is  
219 typically designed using a periodised approach. In golf specifically, Orr et al.<sup>13</sup>  
220 recently described that annual planning and goal-setting has multifaceted benefits  
221 that extend beyond physical development, including providing focus and motivation  
222 for athletes to improve, a realistic grounding for the time-course of developmental  
223 changes, and a proactive approach to addressing challenges. However, the findings  
224 from this current study demonstrate that more than one in five highly-skilled golfers  
225 (21.6%) either will only “sometimes” goal-set or not undertake any goal-setting  
226 process. Of the golfers who reported to setting goals, 37.7% said they set their own,  
227 with 32.1% stating they set goals in conjunction with their golf coach. In contrast,  
228 golfers reported having structure to their S&C training, with 81.5% saying they  
229 currently have a training programme. This discrepancy in planning approaches is  
230 likely explained by the relative experience levels of the golfers in each of these  
231 disciplines. Highly-skilled golfers will have many years’ experience of, and familiarity  
232 with, golf practice and, speculatively, may therefore feel they (and possibly their  
233 coach) can invest less time in creating a golf practice plan, opting instead to follow  
234 unstructured, reactive practice regimens as demonstrated previously in skilled  
235 golfers.<sup>13</sup> Where they may be less experienced in S&C (a low training age), they may  
236 feel it necessary to follow a set plan to ensure they are training correctly to optimise  
237 progressive overload and achieve the physical adaptations associated with their  
238 goals. However, having a reactive approach to golf practice may also impact planned  
239 S&C training, particularly if a player prioritises their golf practice instead of S&C  
240 training. No question in this survey addressed this but further exploration, in future  
241 research, would be worthwhile.

242

243 The evidence base for the efficacy and effectiveness of S&C training in golf has  
244 grown substantially in the past 20 years, in part as a result of evidence suggesting  
245 golfers who hit the ball further tend to have better scores<sup>9,10</sup> and stronger golfers are  
246 able to hit the ball further.<sup>26</sup> However, research in this area is still in its infancy in  
247 comparison to other sports and as S&C is still not common practice in golf, previous  
248 evidence has shown that there are a number of misconceptions that pervade in this  
249 area.<sup>16</sup> In this study, 81.5% of golfers reported having an S&C programme.  
250 Interestingly however, 32.3% of responders said they had “no training” history,  
251 suggesting that some golfers have an S&C programme, but do not train. 52.2% of  
252 golfers reported having trained for >1 year and 65.6% currently work with an S&C  
253 coach. However, it was concerning to note that 38.1% of responders were unsure of  
254 the qualification that their S&C coach held. This is almost double the level in the  
255 work of Wells & Langdown<sup>16</sup> who reported a 20.7% uncertainty in the S&C coach’s  
256 qualification. The discrepancy is likely explained by the make up of amateurs and  
257 professionals in the present study, versus a homogenous group of Assistant PGA  
258 Professionals in Wells & Langdown’s study.<sup>16</sup> It is reasonable to contend that  
259 Assistant PGA Professionals, who are provided with entry level education in sport  
260 science and S&C as part of their higher education, may have greater awareness of  
261 the importance of hiring a qualified S&C professional. It is recommended therefore  
262 that the importance of seeking support from qualified S&C coaches is relayed to  
263 amateur golfers, possibly through the county golf unions (in the UK) or directly  
264 through golf coaches themselves.

265 Perhaps the area of most contradiction in responses pertains to questions on  
266 peaking and tapering around competitions. Three-quarters (74.6%) of golfers  
267 reported planning their S&C training around competitions, but with a variety of  
268 approaches. A third (32.8%) of golfers reduced their training volume and intensity,  
269 21.3% reduced volume only, while 17.2% completely stop S&C training during  
270 competition weeks. Less than 10% of golfers continue their normal S&C training  
271 during competition weeks. Furthermore, only 27.9% of golfers indicated that they try  
272 to physically peak for key events in the calendar despite aiming to manipulate  
273 training in some form during competition weeks, and prioritising certain key events  
274 (62.5%), especially when they are high-profile tournaments (90%). The  
275 aforementioned research into drive distance as a key performance determinant<sup>7,8,9</sup>

276 suggests that a seemingly illogical disconnect exists between the desire to peak  
277 physically for tournaments, and the desire to prioritise them. This response is of  
278 great interest and requires further investigation. Speculatively, some of this  
279 disconnect in response may be explained by golf retaining a heavy 'skill component'  
280 even though physicality has been demonstrated to be a key performance  
281 determinant. At the elite level, despite drive distance increasing each year  
282 (previously demonstrated to lead to strokes gained over the course of a round),<sup>10</sup>  
283 greens in regulation remained the strongest performance determinant on the  
284 European Tour over three recent seasons.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, golfers may choose to  
285 prioritise technical and tactical preparation in-season as they believe it will have a  
286 greater bearing on performance outcome.

287 When choosing an S&C coach to work with, golfers understandably regarded  
288 "knowledge of S&C training techniques", as well as "knowledge and experience in  
289 golf" as their most important considerations. "Reputation" and "recommendation"  
290 were the next most frequent responses, with "academic and vocational qualification"  
291 also popular (see Figure 1). Reputation and recommendation have previously been  
292 reported as key drivers in the golfer's approach to choosing a technical coach<sup>27</sup> so it  
293 is logical that, by extension, they will take this approach to appointing an S&C coach  
294 too. Previous research into S&C coach and high-performance athlete interactions  
295 have demonstrated agreement with the findings here in that technical knowledge,  
296 and quality of instruction and feedback were important considerations for athletes as  
297 well as higher-level qualities such as: trust and honesty;<sup>28</sup> closeness; commitment;  
298 complementarity; and co-orientation.<sup>29</sup> Despite these similarities, only 31.8% of  
299 golfers selected "someone who will form a good relationship with you and the golf  
300 coach" as something they considered important when working with an S&C coach.  
301 Of great interest is that only one golfer considered having an S&C coach who is  
302 "easy to get along with" as an important characteristic. It appears, therefore, that the  
303 quality of the coach/athlete relationship is a secondary consideration to an S&C  
304 coach's knowledge, previous experience and recommendation. Future research  
305 should seek to explore these themes, possibly through detailed interviews of golfers  
306 and their S&C coaches.

307 Data detailed in Figure 2 demonstrate that 82.5% of golfers will train strength in the  
308 off-season. Speed, hypertrophy, and mobility were almost identical in number of

309 responses, separated by only 3% around 40%. 25.4% of golfers chose to train  
310 endurance, with 12.7% not doing any training and choosing to 'rest' in the off-  
311 season. When systematically reviewed, the rationale for improving strength to  
312 support increases in clubhead speed has been well demonstrated across a range of  
313 training intervention studies<sup>1,30</sup> as short as six weeks<sup>31</sup> and up to 18 weeks.<sup>32</sup>  
314 Specific speed training has been demonstrated to have acute benefits to clubhead  
315 speed in golfers<sup>3,4</sup> although the chronic benefits of this type of training is currently  
316 unknown in golfers. However, relationships between measures of power and  
317 clubhead speed have been well established.<sup>33</sup>

318 Although over 40% of golfers chose to specifically train mobility in the off-season,  
319 there is an equivocal empirical evidence base to support this choice. Having a  
320 greater range of motion may allow for a longer backswing and therefore increase  
321 impulse by providing the opportunity to produce force over a longer period of  
322 time.<sup>34,35</sup> However, specific stretching or similar interventions aimed at improving  
323 mobility have shown mixed results. Lee et al.<sup>36</sup> demonstrated an improvement in  
324 shot distance in 20 amateur golfers following a 12-week composite stretching  
325 programme. However, the participants in the study began with relatively short driving  
326 distances at baseline, and the playing level and training history of the golfers were  
327 not reported. This is important as lower-skilled golfers will exhibit considerable  
328 variability in their swing mechanics and therefore swing speeds<sup>37</sup> and previously  
329 untrained participants are likely to respond positively and more rapidly to any training  
330 intervention versus individuals with greater training ages.<sup>38</sup> Other studies have  
331 shown improvements in shot distance following an acute dynamic stretching  
332 programme,<sup>39</sup> but no change to performance following static stretching training  
333 interventions.<sup>39,40</sup> Notwithstanding, no data exist from longitudinal training  
334 interventions focusing on stretching or mobility exercise exclusively to support  
335 improvement in golf swing characteristics. Some studies have incorporated mobility  
336 exercise as part of a wider exercise programme<sup>41,42</sup> but this creates difficulty in  
337 establishing cause and effect. It is therefore recommended, that if golfers choose to  
338 focus on mobility in the off-season that it is not performed in isolation, but as part of a  
339 strength-programme, and that enough time is given to allow technical interventions  
340 to take place to facilitate transfer to performance.<sup>43</sup>

341 Similarly, despite many golfers in this survey choosing it as an exercise focus, there  
342 is currently no empirical evidence to support hypertrophy or endurance training as  
343 strategies for developing clubhead speed and more general performance in golfers.  
344 Some authors have contended that hypertrophic development may even be  
345 detrimental to golf performance as it may reduce range of motion or increase  
346 moment of inertia.<sup>34</sup> The rationale for targeting hypertrophy is grounded in Newtonian  
347 law, whereby if a golfer can increase their mass, and maintain acceleration during  
348 the swing, they will produce more force. If the golfer can maintain the same length  
349 swing (i.e. not lose range of motion), and apply these greater forces over the same  
350 (or longer) time period, then they will generate more impulse. Interestingly, Macadam  
351 et al.<sup>44</sup> showed that increasing mass of skilled female golfers through the wearing of  
352 weighted vests helped to acutely increase clubhead speed. This was however a  
353 small study of five participants and the external resistance was only applied to the  
354 trail side of the body making extrapolation to S&C training focusing on hypertrophy  
355 problematic. Despite this, hypertrophic training has potential to be a viable strategy  
356 for golf, as it is for other sports as part of a strength training programme.

357 While endurance training may have some benefit for health more generally, the  
358 markers of cardiovascular endurance do not correlate with clubhead speed.<sup>6</sup>  
359 Notwithstanding, playing golf and walking the course can provide sufficient stimulus  
360 to improve aerobic fitness, although other forms of higher intensity exercise provide  
361 greater opportunity for cardiovascular adaptations.<sup>45</sup>

362 Thematic analysis of how golfers may improve their overall planning revealed that  
363 51.4% felt that organisation/structure and time management were their biggest areas  
364 of weakness (Table 3). Similar to other results, exemplar responses demonstrate  
365 that golfers struggle to conceptualise how to effectively plan when there are  
366 competing demands on their time, such as when there are competitions and they  
367 wish to train using S&C techniques. In a recent study of perceptions of S&C in  
368 football, coaches reported that they felt the time required to invest in S&C may  
369 reduce the time afforded to football practice, while players were concerned that  
370 without a coach, poorly executed S&C practices might increase injury risk.<sup>20</sup> It is  
371 possible that both of these examples apply to golf too. Solutions to this issue may  
372 include integrating S&C into the routines of golfers so they become standard  
373 practice, increasing the confidence of golfers to engage with planning approaches

374 provided by either their golf coach or ideally their golf and S&C coach. A further  
375 approach in the elite game which has been adopted on the European Tour is to have  
376 highly-qualified and experienced S&C practitioners at all events to allow golfers to  
377 seek advice and instruction if required.

### 378 **Strengths and Limitations:**

379 The study asked a set series of questions via the survey outlined above. The  
380 information obtained was detailed and provided a rich source of evidence in a  
381 previously under explored area. This depth of information obtained was particularly  
382 evident where participants could give open responses, and opportunities now exist  
383 for researchers to follow up on these themes and the findings from this paper to  
384 provide further or additional detail or ask new questions. The main limitation of the  
385 research presented in this paper is the sample size relative to the overall population  
386 of golfers internationally. Distributing the survey via the internet and social media  
387 also means the return rate is unknown. The results of the study should be interpreted  
388 with this in mind but notwithstanding, the data provide unique insights into planning  
389 the golf year and will be useful for golfers and coaches who wish to integrate S&C  
390 into their overall plan.

### 391 **Conclusions:**

392 The benefits of S&C for golfers is now widely established and evidenced, and  
393 although some reservations and misconceptions remain, golfers are beginning to  
394 utilise S&C interventions to support their golf development. However, in order for  
395 these interventions to be successful in golf (and all sport), they need to be part of the  
396 overall training (technical, tactical, physical, mental) programme. The majority of  
397 golfers demonstrated within this study that they engage in S&C, but that there are  
398 areas where continued education is required. Particular areas include: how to  
399 support golfers' organisation of training and golf and to manage their time; how to  
400 train effectively around competition; how to peak and taper for competition; how to  
401 train effectively in the off-season and how to effectively utilise a review of the annual  
402 plan at the end of the golf year. Consideration should be given to the structuring of  
403 golf practice and the disconnect with the level of structure applied in S&C  
404 interventions. Future research should focus on the areas above, and aim to generate

405 further insight from interviews, or case-study examples of successful planning  
406 practices of golfers and their coaches.

407

408 **Acknowledgements:**

409 Thank you to Dr Zoë Bliss of Zoë Bliss Administration Services for her assistance  
410 with creating and administering the survey.

411 **Conflict of Interest:**

412 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare

413 **References:**

- 414 1. Ehlert A. The effects of strength and conditioning interventions on golf  
415 performance: A systematic review. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2020; 38(23):  
416 2720-2731.  
417
- 418 2. Langdown BL, Wells JET, Graham S, & Bridge MW. Acute effects of different  
419 warm-up protocols on highly skilled golfers' drive performance. *Journal of*  
420 *Sports Sciences*. 2019; 37(6): 656–664.  
421 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1522699>  
422
- 423 3. Bliss A, Livingstone H, & Tallent J. Field-based and over-speed potentiated  
424 warm-ups increase clubhead speed and drive carry distance in skilled  
425 collegiate golfers. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Science*, 2021; 5(2).  
426
- 427 4. Hébert-Losier, K, & Wardell GL. Acute and persistence of the effects of the  
428 SuperSpeed Golf™ weighted-club warm-up on golf driving performance and  
429 kinematics. *Sports Biomechanics*. 2021;  
430 <https://DOI:10.1080/14763141.2021.1887344>  
431
- 432 5. Bliss A, McCulloch H, & Maxwell N. The effects of an eight-week plyometric  
433 training program on golf swing performance characteristics in skilled  
434 adolescent golfers. *International Journal of Golf Science*. 2015; 4(2): 120-135.  
435
- 436 6. Ehlert A. The correlations between physical attributes and golf clubhead  
437 speed: A systematic review with quantitative analyses. *European Journal of*  
438 *Sport Science*. 2021; 21(10): 1351-1363.  
439
- 440 7. Fradkin A, Sherman C, & Finch C. How well does golf clubhead speed  
441 correlate with golf handicaps? *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*.  
442 2004; 7: 465–472  
443
- 444 8. Hellström J, Nilsson J, & Isberg L. Drive for dough. PGA Tour Golfers' tee shot  
445 functional accuracy, distance and hole score. *Journal of Sports Sciences*.  
446 2014; 32(5): 462–469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2013.832353>  
447
- 448 9. Bliss A. Modelling elite golf performance: Predictors of hole score on the  
449 European Tour from 2017-2019. *International Journal of Golf Science*. 2021;  
450 9: 1–9.  
451
- 452 10. Brodie, M. (2014). *Every Shot Counts*. Penguin, USA.  
453
- 454 11. Bompa T, & Buzzicheli C. *Periodization: Theory and Methodology of Training*  
455 6th ed. Human Kinetics, USA. 2019.  
456
- 457 12. Haff G. Chapter 20: The essentials of periodisation. In Jeffreys, I., & Moody, J.  
458 *Strength and Conditioning for Sports Performance 2nd Edition* (pp. 394-427).  
459 Routledge, UK. 2021



460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509

13. Orr S, Carson HJ, & Cruickshank A. How Do Coaches Operationalise Long-Term Technical Training in Elite Golf?, *International Sport Coaching Journal* (published online ahead of print 2022). Retrieved Jul 19, 2022, from <https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/iscj/aop/article-10.1123-iscj.2021-0059/article-10.1123-iscj.2021-0059.xml>
14. Bishop C, Brennan A, Ehlert A, Wells J, Brearley S, Coughlan D. S&C for golf athletes: biomechanics, common injuries, and physical requirements. *Professional Strength and Conditioning Journal*. 2022; 63: 7-18.
15. Evans K, & Thomas P. Perceptions and practices of Australian golf coaches towards physical fitness for golf. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2012; 15(S130): <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.11.313>
16. Wells J, & Langdown B. Sports science for golf: a survey of high-skilled golfers' "perceptions" and "practices". *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2020; 38(8): 918-927
17. Boyd JM, Andrews AM, Wojcik JR, & Bowers CJ. Perceptions of NCAA Division I Athletes on Strength Training. *The Sport Journal*. 2017: <http://thesportjournal.org/article/perceptions-of-ncaa-division-i-athletes-on-strength-training/>
18. Weldon A, Mak JT, Wong ST, Duncan MJ, Clarke ND, & Bishop C. Strength and conditioning practices and perspectives of volleyball coaches and players. *Sports (Basel)*. 2021; 9:28, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports9020028>
19. Jones I. *Research Methods for Sports Studies* (3rd ed.). Routledge. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315796222>
20. Weldon A, Duncan MJ, Turner A, Sampaio J, Noon M, Wong D, & Lai VW. Contemporary practices of strength and conditioning coaches in professional soccer. *Biology of Sport*. 2020; 38(3): 377-390 <https://doi.org/10.5114/biolSport.2021.99328>
21. Langdown B, & Ehlert, A. An investigation into the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic upon golfers' strength and conditioning and golf practice. 2022. Ahead of Print. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541221140016>
22. Nosek P, Brownlee TE, Drust B, & Andrew M. Feedback of GPS training data within professional English soccer: a comparison of decision marking and perceptions between coaches, players and performance staff. *Science and Medicine in Football*. 2021; 5(1): 35-47 <https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2020.1770320>
23. Sly N, Soomro M, Withall A, Cullen P, Turner R, & Flahive S. Players', parents' and staffs' perceptions of injury prevention exercise programmes in youth rugby union. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*. 2022 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001271>

510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557

24. Katoaka Y, Vasenina E, Loenneke J, & Buckner SL. Periodization: variation in the definition and discrepancies in study design. *Sports Medicine*. 2021; 51: 625-651. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01414-5>
25. Kiely J. Periodization theory: confronting an inconvenient truth. *Sports Medicine*. 2018; 48(4): 753-764. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0823-y>
26. Wells J, Charalambous LH, Mitchell A, Coughlan D, Brearley SL, Hawkes RA, Murray AD, Hillman RG, & Fletcher IM. Relationships between Challenge Tour golfers' clubhead velocity and force producing capabilities during a countermovement jump and isometric mid-thigh pull. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2019; 37(12): 1381–1386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1559972>
27. Toner J, Nelson L, Potrac P, Gilbourne D, & Marshall P. From 'blame' to 'shame' in a coach-athlete relationship in golf: a tale of shared critical reflection and the re-storying of narrative experiences. *Sports Coaching Review*. 2012; 1(1): 67-78 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21640629.2012.704193>
28. Szedlak C, Smith MJ, Day MC, & Greenlees IA. Effective behaviours of strength and conditioning coaches as perceived by athletes. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*. 2015; 10(5): 967-984
29. Foulds SJ, Hoffman SM, Hinck K, & Carson F. The coach-athlete relationship in strength and conditioning: high performance athletes' responses. *Sports (Basel)*. 2019; 7 244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7120244>
30. Uthoff A, Sommerfield LM, & Pichardo AW. Effects of resistance training methods on golf clubhead speed and hitting distance: a systematic review. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2021; 35(9): 2651-2660.
31. Lamberth J, Hale BD, Knight A, Boyd J, & Luczak T. Effectiveness of a six week strength and functional training program on golf performance. *International Journal of Golf Science*. 2013; 2: 33-42
32. Alvarez M, Sedano S, Cuadrado G, & Redondo JC. Effects of an 18-week strength training program for low-handicap golfers' performance. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2012; 26: 1110–1121
33. Read P, Lloyd R, De Ste Croix M, & Oliver J. Relationships between field-based measures of strength and power and golf club head speed. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2013; 27(10): 2708-2713
34. Keogh JWL, Marnewick MC, Maulder PS, et al. Are anthropometric, Flexibility, Muscular strength, and endurance variables related to clubhead velocity in

- 558 low- and high- handicap golfers? *Journal of Strength and Conditioning*  
559 *Research*. 2009; 23: 1841-1850  
560
- 561 35. Sheehan WB, Bower RG, & Watsford ML. Physical Determinants of Golf Swing  
562 Performance: A Review. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 2022;  
563 36(1), 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000003411>  
564
- 565 36. Lee JC, Lee SW, Yeo YG, & Park GD. Effects of special composite stretching  
566 on the swing of amateur golf players. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*.  
567 2015; 27(4): 1049-1051.  
568
- 569 37. Bradshaw EJ, Keogh JW, Hume PA, Maulder PS, Nortje J, & Marnewick M.  
570 The effect of biological movement variability on the performance of the golf  
571 swing in high- and low-handicapped players. *Research Quarterly for Exercise*  
572 *and Sport*. 2009; 80(2): 185–196.  
573 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2009.10599552>  
574
- 575 38. Wetmore AB, Moquin PA, Carroll KM, Fry AC, Hornsby WG, & Stone MH. The  
576 effect of training status on adaptations to 11 weeks of block periodization  
577 training. *Sports*. 2020 8: 145. <https://doi:10.3390/sports8110145>  
578
- 579 39. Moran KA, McGrath T, Marshall BM, & Wallace ES. Dynamic stretching and  
580 golf swing performance. *International journal of sports medicine*. 2009; 30(02):  
581 113-118.  
582
- 583 40. Gergley J. Acute effects of passive static stretching during warm-up on driver  
584 clubhead speed, distance, accuracy, and consistent ball contact in young  
585 male competitive golfers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*.  
586 2009; 23(3): 863-867.  
587
- 588 41. Westcott WL, Dolan F, & Cavicchi T. Golf and strength training are compatible  
589 activities. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*. 1996;18(4): 54-56.  
590
- 591 42. Thompson CJ, & Osness WH. Effects of an 8-week multimodal exercise  
592 program on strength, flexibility, and golf performance in 55-to 79-year-old  
593 men. *Journal of aging and physical activity*. 2004; 12(2): 144-156.  
594
- 595 43. Suchomel TJ, Nimphius S, Bellon CR, & Stone MH. The importance of  
596 muscular strength: Training considerations. *Sports Medicine*. 2018; 48: 765–  
597 785  
598
- 599 44. Macadam P, Chau A, & Cronin J. Wearable resistance acutely enhances club  
600 head speed in skilled female golfers. *International Journal of Sports Science &*  
601 *Coaching*. 2019; 14(5): 675-680.  
602
- 603 45. Murray AD, Daines L, Archibald D, Hawkes RA, Schiphorst C, Kelly P, Grant L,  
604 & Mutrie N. The relationships between golf and health: a scoping review. *British*  
605 *Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2017; 51: 12-19. [https://doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-](https://doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-09662)  
606 [09662](https://doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-09662)

607

608 Table 1: Descriptive Characteristics of golfers completing the survey (n= 65). Data are presented as number of responses (%)

<b>Participant Characteristics</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Respondents n= (%)</b>
<b>Sex</b>	Male	48 (73.8)
	Female	17 (26.1)
<b>Age (years)</b>	18-30	47 (72.3)
	31-45	13 (20.0)
	46-60	2 (3.1)
	60+	2 (3.1)
	Prefer not to say	1 (1.5)
<b>Location</b>	UK	53 (81.5)
	Europe (not UK)	6 (9.2)
	North America	4 (6.2)
	Australia/New Zealand	1 (1.5)
	Africa	1 (1.5)
<b>Status</b>	Professional	15 (23.1)
	Category 1 Amateur	50 (76.9)
<b>Experience (years)</b>	0-5	5 (7.7)
	6-10	16 (24.6)
	11-15	23 (35.4)
	12-20	11 (16.9)
	21+	10 (15.4)

609

610

611

612

613

614

616 Table 2: *Golfer responses to S&C-focused questions. Data are presented as number of responses for each question and percentages in*  
 617 *brackets.*

<b>Question</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Respondents n= (%)</b>
<b>How long have you been strength training?</b>	< 6 months	3 (4.6)
	6-12 months	7 (10.8)
	1-2 years	9 (13.8)
	2-5 years	14 (21.5)
	5+ years	11 (16.9)
	No training	21 (32.3)
<b>Do you work with an S&amp;C Coach?</b>	Yes	42 (65.6)
	No	20 (30.7)
	Use an app	1 (1.6)
	Train myself (qualified S&C)	1 (1.6)
<b>How long have you worked with an S&amp;C coach?</b>	< 6 month	11 (17.2)
	6-12 months	8 (12.5)
	1-2 years	13 (20.3)
	2-5 years	8 (12.5)
	Over 5 years	3 (4.7)
	No coach	22 (34.4)
<b>What qualification does your S&amp;C Coach hold?</b>	UKSCA/NSCA or similar	17 (40.5)
	Golf-industry qualification (TPI, PGA etc.)	7 (16.7)
	Personal trainer qualification	2 (4.8)
	Not sure or no response	16 (38.1)
<b>Do you have an S&amp;C programme?</b>	Yes	53 (81.5)
	No	12 (18.5)
<b>Who writes your programme?</b>	Me	13 (24.5)
	S&C Coach or personal trainer	39 (73.6)
	Online app	1 (1.9)

<b>Do you goal set annually?</b>	Yes	51 (78.5)
	No	12 (18.5)
	Sometimes	2 (3.1)
<b>Who sets your goals?</b>	Player only	20 (37.7)
	Player & golf coach	17 (32.1)
	Player & strength coach	1 (3.1)
	player & support staff	15 (28.3)
<b>Do you review your annual plan?</b>	Yes	40 (63.5)
	No	23 (36.5)
<b>Is your annual plan periodised?</b>	Yes	53 (81.5)
	No	12 (18.5)
<b>How frequently does your programme change?</b>	Weekly	7 (13.5)
	Monthly	18 (34.6)
	2-3 months	21 (40.4)
	Every 6 months	4 (7.7)
	Yearly	2 (3.8)
<b>Do you plan strength training around competition?</b>	Yes	47 (74.6)
	No	16 (25.4)
<b>Do you continue to train during competition weeks?</b>	Yes, as I would do outside of competition weeks	6 (9.4)
	Yes, but I limit how much I do (volume)	20 (21.3)
	Yes, but I limit how hard I train	6 (9.4)
	Yes, but I limit how hard and how much I train	21 (32.8)
	No	11 (17.2)
<b>Do you try to peak (physical) for certain events?</b>	Yes, for key events	17 (27.9)
	No	21 (34.4)
	Adjust training based on how I feel	23 (37.7)
<b>How would you describe your approach to S&amp;C?</b>	Work on all aspects of fitness equally throughout the year	21 (32.8)
	Work on all aspects, but spend periods developing particular qualities (size, speed etc.)	27 (42.4)

---

Work exclusively on particular aspects in blocks or chunks (i.e. 6 weeks on speed development)	4 (6.3)
Train based on feel, don't follow a programme	3 (4.7)
No training	9 (14.1)

---

**Note:** UKSCA= United Kingdom Strength and Conditioning Association. NSCA= National Strength and Conditioning Association. TPI= Titleist Performance Institute. PGA= Professional Golfers' Association

---

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634 Table 3: Golfers' open, short-answer responses to identify which factors could improve their planning

Theme	Exemplar Responses	Number of Responses (%) (n = 35)
1 Organisation/Structure of Training and Time Management	<p>“Have more structure for training and competitions to align them better together.”</p> <p>“Coming up with a programme or a process in which I can monitor my progression to see if I have achieved certain goals.”</p> <p>“Spend more time to plan out my season so I am more aware of upcoming competitions and train accordingly to that.”</p> <p>“Plan more effectively for the lead up to tournaments. Rest days and making sure I’m ready.”</p> <p>“Better use periodisation in the off-season when committing to golf practice”</p>	18 (51.4 %)
=2 Coach Engagement – Working with or more closely with coaching team	<p>“I feel my planning on the off season is very good, using all my coaches to specify key areas of improvement.”</p> <p>“Discussion with team coach, and team mates about what tournaments are the most important.”</p> <p>“Periodize more and have specific days of the month where my coach and I sit down and review each period whether that is monthly, yearly or quarterly. “</p>	7 (20.0 %)
=2 Miscellaneous	<p>“better nutrition information”</p> <p>“A specific golf app that recommends events which would be beneficial to that particular person”</p>	7 (20.0 %)



<b>4</b>	Goal Setting	“I would find out from other years what times I peaked in performance. Then look at the trends and potential reasons why and try to use this to helps me peak for my biggest competitions.”	6 (17.1%)
<b>5</b>	More regular gym work	“To get to the gym more often” “Actually do some training”	4 (11.4%)
<b>6</b>	Nothing	“planning is good as it is”	3 (8.6%)
<b>7</b>	Utilising technology	“A specific golf app that recommends events which would be beneficial to that particular person”	1 (2.9%)

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

## Survey Questions – Supplementary File

648

### Pre-survey questions:

649

How would you identify yourself?

650

1. Player - Professional (playing at national or international tour level)

651

2. Player - Professional (playing at regional or equivalent level):

652

3. Player - Regional level amateur player (county/state)

653

4. Player - National or international level amateur player

654

5. Other (please specify)

655

### Questions:

656

1. What is your sex?

657

a) Male

658

b) Female

659

c) Prefer not to say

660

2. What is your age?

661

a) Under 18 (then deselected from study)

662

b) 18-30

663

c) 31-45

664

d) 46-60

665

e) 60+

666

f) Prefer not to say

667

3. What is your current location?

668

a) UK

669

b) Europe (not UK)

670

c) North America

671

d) South America

672

e) Australia / New Zealand

673

f) Africa

674

g) Asia

675

h) Other

676

4. What is your playing status?

677

a) Professional

678

b) Category 1 Amateur

679

5. How many years have you been playing?

680

a) 0-5 years

681

b) 6-10 years

682

c) 11-15 years

683

d) 15-20 years

684

e) 20+ years

685

6. What is your current handicap?

686

a) 0 (or plus figures) – 5

687

b) Professional (no handicap)

688

7. How many competitive tournaments do you play per year?

689

a) 0-5

690

b) 6-10

691

c) 11-15

692

d) 16-20

693

e) 21-25

694

f) 26+

- 695 8. Do you goal set at the start of each season?  
696 a) Yes  
697 b) No  
698 c) Sometimes (open answer)
- 699 9. If yes, how do you do this?  
700 a) On my own  
701 b) With my golf coach  
702 c) With my strength coach  
703 d) With another support team member  
704 e) With a combination of support staff  
705 f) Other
- 706 10. Do you typically review your annual plan at the end of each year?  
707 a) Yes  
708 b) No  
709 c) Sometimes (open answer)
- 710 11. Do you work with a strength and conditioning coach?  
711 a) Yes  
712 b) No  
713 c) Sometimes (open answer)
- 714 12. When selecting a strength and conditioning coach to work with you, what qualities do  
715 you look for (select as many as appropriate)?  
716 a) Good reputation  
717 b) Prior working relationship  
718 c) Someone who will form a good relationship with you and the golf coach  
719 d) highly qualified (academic)  
720 e) highly qualified (vocational)  
721 f) experienced in golf  
722 g) experienced in other sports  
723 h) knowledge of golf  
724 i) knowledge of S&C  
725 j) Someone who is recommended to you by other players or coaches  
726 k) Physically strong or athletic themselves  
727 l) other
- 728 13. How long have you worked with your current strength and conditioning coach?  
729 a) Less than 6 months  
730 b) 6-12 months  
731 c) 1-2 years  
732 d) 2-5 years  
733 e) Over 5 years  
734 f) Do not have a strength coach
- 735 14. How long have you been strength training (averaging more than 1 session per week)  
736 a) Less than 6 months  
737 b) 6-12 months  
738 c) 1-2 years  
739 d) 2-5 years  
740 e) Over 5 years
- 741 15. Do you currently have a strength and conditioning programme?  
742 a) Yes  
743 b) no
- 744 16. If yes, is this written by yourself or someone else?  
745 a) Me

- 746                    b) The strength and conditioning coach  
747                    c) Someone else  
748                    17. If someone else, who writes your strength and conditioning programme?  
749                    a) Golf coach  
750                    b) Personal trainer  
751                    c) Other  
752                    d) N/A  
753                    18. If a strength and conditioning coach writes your programme, what level of  
754                    qualification do they hold  
755                    a) Strength and conditioning coach (UKSCA, NSCA accredited or similar)  
756                    b) Golf-industry qualification professional (PGA, TPI etc.)  
757                    c) Personal training qualification  
758                    d) None of the above  
759                    e) Not sure  
760                    f) Other  
761                    19. Is your annual plan periodised?  
762                    a) Yes  
763                    b) No  
764                    c) Not sure  
765                    d) N/A  
766                    20. On average, how frequently does your training programme change?  
767                    a) Weekly  
768                    b) Monthly  
769                    c) Every two-three months  
770                    d) Every 6 months  
771                    e) Yearly  
772                    f) Other  
773                    21. Do you plan your strength training around competition?  
774                    a) Yes  
775                    b) No  
776                    c) Sometimes (open answer)  
777                    22. Do you plan your golf training around competition?  
778                    a) Yes  
779                    b) No  
780                    c) Sometimes (open answer)  
781                    23. Do you continue to train during competition weeks?  
782                    a) Yes, the same as I would do outside of competition weeks  
783                    b) Yes, but I limit how much I do (volume)  
784                    c) Yes, but I limit how hard I train (intensity)  
785                    d) Yes, but I limit both how much and how hard I train  
786                    e) No  
787                    f) other  
788                    24. Do you try to peak (peak physical condition) for certain events?  
789                    a) Yes, I try to peak for certain key events in the calendar (majors, Rolex Series  
790                    or high money earning, Ryder/Solheim cup or similar, national or international  
791                    championships)  
792                    b) No, I do not taper my training around events and try to maintain the same  
793                    training year round  
794                    c) I adjust my training based on how I feel and how I am performing  
795                    d) Other  
796                    25. When planning the year, what is your approach?

- 797 a) Put key events in the diary first, and then plan around those  
798 b) Put all events in the diary and then plan around those  
799 c) Do not do a season plan  
800 d) other
- 801 26. Do you prioritise some tournaments and de-prioritise others based on your goals or  
802 plan?  
803 a) Yes  
804 b) No  
805 c) Sometimes (open answer)
- 806 27. If yes, which tournaments do you prioritise  
807 a) High-money events  
808 b) High-profile events  
809 c) Tournaments on courses I like or have played well round before  
810 d) Other
- 811 28. How many tournament weeks would be the maximum you would choose to play  
812 consecutively before taking a break?  
813 a) One-two  
814 b) Three-four  
815 c) Five-six  
816 d) More than six  
817 e) As many as possible  
818 f) other
- 819 29. How would you describe your approach to golf training?  
820 a) Work on all aspects of my game equally throughout the year  
821 b) Have a reactive approach (i.e. work on what I think is most important based  
822 on  
823 c) recent performances)  
824 d) Work on specific aspects of my game in a structured way (i.e. 4 weeks of  
825 chipping focus. 4 weeks of driving focus etc.)  
826 e) Work on what is required for a specific upcoming tournament (i.e. links golf  
827 tournament coming up, and therefore practice typical shots)  
828 f) Unplanned, work on whatever feels most appropriate or what you enjoy  
829 g) other
- 830 30. How would you describe your approach to your strength and conditioning?  
831 a) Work on all aspects of my fitness equally throughout the year  
832 b) Work on all aspects of my fitness, but spend periods of time dedicated to  
833 developing particular qualities (size, speed, maximal strength etc.)  
834 c) Work exclusively on particular aspects of my physical fitness in blocks or  
835 chunks (i.e. 6 weeks working on speed development)  
836 d) Train based on feel. Do not follow a particular programme  
837 e) No strength training  
838 f) other
- 839 31. What do you tend to work on in the off-season in your S&C?  
840 a) Mobility  
841 b) Strength  
842 c) Hypertrophy (getting bigger)  
843 d) Speed  
844 e) Endurance  
845 f) None (rest only)  
846 g) Other

847 32. If there was something you could do to improve your planning of the season what  
848 would it be:

849 a) Open answer

850 33. Any other comments about your planning of the year?

851 a) Open answer

852

853

854

855

856

