1 The effect of medical grade compression garments on the repeated-bout effect in non-2 resistance trained men Freddy Brown MSc <sup>1,2</sup>, Matt Hill, Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup>, Derek Renshaw Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>, Charles Pedlar <sup>3,4</sup>, Ph.D., 3 Jessica Hill., Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, Ken van Someren <sup>5</sup>, Glyn Howatson <sup>6,7</sup>, Jason Tallis, Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup> 4 5 6 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Coventry University, Coventry, UK 7 <sup>2</sup>Centre for Sport, Exercise and Life Sciences (CSELS), Coventry University, Coventry, UK 8 <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Sport, Health and Applied Science, St Mary's University, Twickenham, UK 9 <sup>4</sup> Institute of Sport, Exercise and Health, Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, 10 University College London, London, UK 11 <sup>5</sup> Sports Lab Northwest, Atlantic Technological University, Donegal, Ireland 12 <sup>6</sup> Faculty of Health and Life of Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK <sup>7</sup>Water Research Group, Northwest University, Potchefstroom, South Africa 13 14 15 Coventry University Ethics Committee Ref P93660 16 17 Corresponding author: 18 Freddy Brown, 19 Coventry University, 20 Room 1.31, Alison Gingell Building, 21 Whitefriars Street, Coventry, CV1 2DS 22 Email: ad1385@coventry.ac.uk 23 Key Words: Adaptation, Recovery, Compression, Performance, Strength, Muscle damage 24 Running title: Compression garments and the repeated bout effect 25 26 Word count: 4934 (6899 including references, figure titles and legends) 27 Abstract word count: 249 28 29

30	The effect of medical grade compression garments on the repeated-bout effect in non-
31	resistance trained men
32	
33	Key Words: Adaptation, Recovery, Compression, Performance, Strength, Muscle damage
34	
35	Running title: Compression garments and the repeated bout effect
36	
37	Word count: 4938 (6903, including references, figure titles and legends)
38	Abstract word count: 249
39	
40	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
40	
40	
47	
48	
49	
50	
Г1	
21	
52	
53	
54	

### 55 Abstract

56 Whilst compression garments (CG) may enhance recovery from exercise-induced muscle 57 damage (EIMD), many recovery strategies can attenuate adaptative responses. Therefore, the 58 effects of CG on recovery from EIMD, and the rapid protective adaptations known as the 59 repeated bout effect (RBE) were investigated. Thirty-four non-resistance trained males (18–45 60 y) randomly received class II medical-grade CG or placebo for 72 h following eccentrically-61 focused lower-body exercise, in a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Indices of EIMD 62 were assessed at baseline, 0, 24, 48 and 72 h post-exercise, before exercise and testing were 63 repeated after 14 d. Results were analysed using a three-way (time x condition x bout) linear 64 mixed-effects model. Exercise impaired isometric and isokinetic strength, with soreness and thigh circumference elevated for 72 h (p < 0.001). Compression did not enhance recovery (p >65 0.05), despite small to moderate effect sizes (ES, reported alongside 90% confidence intervals) 66 67 for isokinetic strength (ES from 0.2 [-0.41, 0.82] to 0.65 [0.03, 1.28]). All variables recovered faster after the repeated bout (p < 0.005). However, RBE for peak isokinetic force was impaired 68 in CG at 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup> (group x bout interaction:  $\chi^2$  = 4.24, p = 0.0395; ES = -0.56 [-1.18, 0.07]) and 69 70 completely absent at 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup> ( $\chi^2$  =16.2, p < 0.001, ES = -0.96 [-1.61, -0.32]) and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup> ( $\chi^2$  =10.4, p = 0.001, ES = -0.72 [-1.35, -0.09]). Compression blunted RBE at higher isokinetic velocities 71 72 without improving recovery in non-resistance trained males, potentially contraindicating their 73 use following unaccustomed exercise in this population.

74

#### 75 New findings:

The study sought to determine the effects of compression garments on recovery from unaccustomed damaging exercise, and subsequent protective adaptations. Compression did not influence recovery, but was associated with blunted protective adaptations for isokinetic performance, which were completely absent at high velocities. Based on these findings, the use of CG for recovery would not be recommended following unaccustomed exercise, particularly if the maintenance of high-velocity performance following EIMD is desirable.

82

83

### 85 Introduction

86 The concept of recovery describes the reestablishment of post-exercise performance, with 87 important implications for recreational exercisers and athletes alike (Peake, 2019; Skorski et al., 88 2019). Sufficient recovery allows athletes to achieve and maintain an optimal training stimulus 89 (Peake, 2019; Skorski et al., 2019), whilst perceptual symptoms of under-recovery may reduce 90 adherence in recreational exercisers (Flack, Johnson, & Roemmich, 2017). Importantly, 91 however, some anti-inflammatory and/or antioxidant recovery strategies have been shown to 92 ameliorate the physiological stressors required for muscular adaptation (Figueiredo et al., 2016; 93 Peake, 2019). The use of particular recovery strategies may therefore represent a compromise 94 between optimising short-term recovery and maximising the response to training.

95

96 The time-course of post-exercise recovery depends upon the specific physiological demands of 97 an exercise bout (Skorski et al., 2019), with exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD) 98 contributing to prolonged declines in muscular performance (Nosaka, Chapman, Newton, & 99 Sacco, 2006). Describing the disruption of muscle fibres and associated reductions in contractile 100 force, EIMD may be associated with strength deficits of up to 30% in untrained participants 101 (Clarkson & Hubal, 2002; Nosaka et al., 2006) and is most commonly caused by unaccustomed, 102 eccentric (muscle-lengthening) exercise, such as plyometrics, resistance exercise and running 103 (Brown et al., 2017; Goto & Morishima, 2014; Hill et al., 2017; Thomas, Ziogas, Smith, Zhang, & 104 Londeree, 1995). The progression of EIMD is mediated by post-exercise inflammation (Deyhle et 105 al., 2016; Hyldahl, Chen, & Nosaka, 2017), with symptoms such as soreness and impaired 106 mobility persisting for up to 10 days (Clarkson & Hubal, 2002). One seemingly effective recovery 107 strategy for EIMD is the use of compression garments (CG), which has been associated with 108 ameliorated strength losses, swelling and cellular disruption in both trained and untrained 109 participants (Brown et al., 2017; Margues-Jimenez, Calleja-Gonzalez, Arratibel, Delextrat, & 110 Terrados, 2016). However, the effects of CG on adaptation have received little attention (Baum, 111 Carter, Neufeld, & Dolezal, 2020; Edgar, Beaven, Gill, & Driller, 2022). Robust data on the effects 112 of compression on muscular adaptation are required to guide the use of CG for recovery.

113

114 Muscle-damaging exercise is unique in that as little as a single exposure may elicit adaptations 115 which confer protection from subsequent bouts (Hyldahl et al., 2017). This "repeated bout 116 effect" (RBE) may be observed after as little as three days and is most pronounced following 117 unaccustomed exercise (Chen & Nosaka, 2006; Hyldahl et al., 2017). Although the mechanisms 118 responsible for RBE are unclear, post-exercise inflammation is thought to at least partially 119 mediate the protective adaptations and modified inflammatory responses to EIMD (Deyhle et 120 al., 2016; Hyldahl et al., 2017). Importantly, researchers have suggested that the benefits of CG 121 may be related to an ameliorative effect on local inflammation (Hill et al., 2017; Peake, 2019), 122 with anti-inflammatory effects reported in both sporting (Valle et al., 2013) and clinical settings 123 (Beidler et al., 2009). As compression may influence mediators of the repeated bout effect, the 124 effects of CG on RBE require further investigation.

125

126 Whilst the use of CG for recovery from EIMD is supported by academic consensus, the literature 127 is still beset by the varied quality of supporting evidence (Brown et al., 2017; Marques-Jimenez 128 et al., 2016). For example, most studies have failed to implement a placebo or sham condition 129 (reviewed by Brown et al., 2017), or where used, to report on the effectiveness of blinding 130 (Brown et al., 2017; de Glanville & Hamlin, 2012; Hill et al., 2017). The few double-blind studies 131 that exist have compared CG to non-compressive garments - a "sham treatment" of 132 questionable efficacy (Baum et al., 2020; de Glanville & Hamlin, 2012). Although previous meta-133 analyses have reported large benefits to strength recovery when CG are worn following EIMD 134 (Brown et al., 2017; Margues-Jimenez et al., 2016), the placebo effect can explain benefits of 135 this magnitude (Clark, Hopkins, Hawley, & Burke, 2000). Such issues prevent researchers from 136 making informed, quantitative judgements on the benefits of CG, compared with the potential 137 risks to adaptation. Accordingly, the aims of this investigation were to examine the effects of CG 138 on recovery from EIMD using a double-blind approach, and to determine the effects of 139 compression on RBE in non-resistance trained participants. Given the ambiguity of existing 140 evidence and uncertainties over the mechanisms involved, results were compared to a two-141 tailed null hypothesis - that no differences in recovery or RBE would be observed.

142

144

143

### 146 Materials and methods

#### 147 Ethical approval

148 Institutional ethics approval was obtained from Coventry University Ethics Board (Ref P93660)

149 before written informed consent was provided by all participants. The study conformed to the

- standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki, except for registration in a database.
- 151

## 152 Design

153 The effects of CG on muscular recovery and RBE following EIMD were assessed using a double-154 blind, 3-way (condition x time x bout) design. Analysis was performed unblinded to allow 155 assessment of participant adherence. Performance measures and indices of EIMD were taken at 156 baseline, then at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h (± 2 h) after an initial bout of exercise (B1) to assess recovery 157 in participants wearing CG or taking placebo (PLA). A repeated bout (B2) was completed after 158 14 d without any recovery intervention, and recovery monitored at the same time-points. To 159 account for the highly individual (Clarkson & Hubal, 2002; Hyldahl et al., 2017), and potentially 160 sex-specific (Fernandez-Gonzalo, Lundberg, Alvarez-Alvarez, & de Paz, 2014), nature of EIMD 161 responses, only male participants were recruited, and pair-matched following familiarization 162 according to body mass and the number of weekly exercise bouts of various types that were 163 undertaken (self-report). Exercise was categorised into running, non-load bearing exercise 164 (swimming and cycling) and multi-directional exercise (e.g. team sports) to account for the 165 protective effects of prior damage, which is greater following load-bearing activity (Thomas et 166 al., 1995). Participants were also matched by body mass to control for the effects of total work 167 and eccentric forces on EIMD responses to the load-bearing exercise challenge employed 168 (depth-jumps) (Paschalis, Koutedakis, Jamurtas, Mougios, & Baltzopoulos, 2005). Participants 169 were then randomly assigned to either group, using open-access statistical software ('optmatch' 170 package, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

171

### 172 Participants

Selecting an alpha value of 0.05 with 80% statistical power, a sample size calculation was carried
out with the G\*Power software package (version 3.1.9.7, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
Germany), based upon an expected Cohen's d effect size of 1 from previous studies assessing

176 the effects of CG on recovery from EIMD on knee-extension performance (Goto & Morishima, 177 2014; Hill et al., 2017). While a matched-pair design required a minimum sample of n = 10 (2 x 178 n = 5), a conservative estimate of 34 (2 x n = 17) was chosen, based on an independent group 179 design. Accordingly, 34 males (18–45 y) who met national physical activity guidelines (Care, 180 2019) were recruited. Those who had completed lower-body resistance exercise within 6 181 months were excluded to maximise the likelihood of observing RBE (Deyhle et al., 2016; Hyldahl 182 et al., 2017), as were participants whose habitual exercise had been disrupted by injury for at 183 least one week of the preceding 28 days. Participants were asked to refrain from taking NSAIDs 184 or supplements (including antioxidants and whey protein) throughout testing, from 48 h before 185 the start of the study.

186

### 187 Procedures

188 Familiarization was conducted over two sessions, 1-2 weeks before the trial. Body mass was 189 measured (seca 875 Class III scales, seca Medical Measuring Systems, Birmingham UK), before 190 anthropometry was assessed in accordance with the recommendations of the International 191 Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry by a level 1 practitioner. Skinfolds ( $\Sigma$ 8), mid-192 thigh girth (MTG) and calf circumference were measured in a standing position, with the latter 193 used to guide the selection of appropriately sized British class II (moderate) compression 194 stockings, according to manufacturer guidelines (DUOMED soft thigh length compression 195 stockings, Medi UK Ltd., Hereford, UK). Subsequently, CG were measured for applied pressures 196 in all participants (Picopress, Microlab, Padua, Italy) at the mid-thigh, medial calf, ankle (Bjork & 197 Ehmann, 2019), and "manufacturer's B1 point" (MB1) (Uhl, Benigni, & Cornu-Thenard, 2013). 198 To ensure physiologically relevant pressures, smaller CG were provided if stockings failed to 199 apply 14 mmHg at the thigh; a proposed threshold in the literature (Hill, Howatson, van 200 Someren, Davidson, & Pedlar, 2015). The entire battery of performance tests was then 201 completed in the same order as subsequent experimental trials (Brown et al., 2022).

202

After a standardised warm-up (3 min cycling at 100 W; 10 repetitions of body-weight squats,
 lunges on either leg and countermovement jumps), peak force was assessed from three (5 s)
 maximal isometric voluntary contractions (MIVC) of the knee extensors at 85° flexion (KinCom,
 Chattanooga, TN, USA – 100 Hz), followed by three consecutive isokinetic contractions at each

of 60 °·s<sup>-1</sup> 120 °·s<sup>-1</sup> and 180 °·s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 1). Participants sat reclined at 15°, were secured with 207 208 straps, and were prevented from gripping the chair during contractions. Finally, peak power 209 output in the 6 s cycle sprint test (PPT) was assessed in a standing position from a stationary 210 start (Brown et al., 2022). Participants were positioned so that the knee was just flexed at the 211 bottom of the stroke when seated (handlebars adjusted for comfort), with settings recorded and 212 repeated for each visit. Resistance was determined from manufacturer settings (Wattbike Pro, 213 Wattbike Ltd., Nottingham, UK). Verbal encouragement was given for all tests. A minimum of 214 three repetitions per test was completed during each familiarization session, or until 215 performance plateaued as defined by the final two efforts resulting in coefficients of variation 216 (CV)  $\leq$  5%. Between day reliability values (CV) were 6% for MVIC and 9.4%, 3.5% and 7.5% for peak isokinetic force at 60°.s.<sup>-1</sup>, 120°.s.<sup>-1</sup> and 180°.s.<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Within session CVs were 6%, 217 8.9%, 5.5%, 5.4%. Peak cycle sprint power was described by CVs of 4.8% and 3.9% for between-218 219 day and within-session values, respectively.

220

221 At baseline, soreness, MTG and capillary blood samples from the finger were taken as previously 222 described (Brown, Hill, van Someren, Howatson, & Pedlar, 2021), with changes in MTG taken as 223 a measure of post-exercise swelling (Brown et al., 2017; Goto & Morishima, 2014; Marques-224 Jimenez et al., 2016). Performance was assessed as above, taking peak values from the best of 225 three attempts separated by 90 s recovery. Serum was assessed for creatine kinase activity ([CK]) 226 using spectrophotometric assay with a between-run precision of < 1%, as determined in our 227 laboratory (CK-NAC, RX Daytona, Randox, County Antrim, Northern Ireland). A repeated sprint 228 protocol (Duffield, Cannon, & King, 2010) (20 x 20 m sprints with a 5 m deceleration) followed 229 by 10 sets of 10 depth-jumps (DJs) was then completed (Hill et al., 2017) to induce EIMD (Figure 230 1). Participants completed sprints every 60 s, with sprint times (TC PhotoGate, Brower timing 231 Systems, Utah, USA) relayed to participants to encourage maximal effort. Sets of 10 DJs were 232 completed every 2.5 min from a 0.6 m box onto two force plates sampling at 1000 Hz (AMTI 233 BP900900, Watertown, MA, USA) to measure vertical ground reaction forces. Jump height was 234 determined from take-off velocity for each jump using forward integration (McMahon, Lake, 235 Stratford, & Comfort, 2021), first calculating impact velocity from the 0.6 m descent. Peak and 236 average forces during breaking and propulsion, as well as the work performed for each jump 237 (equivalent to the sum of average breaking and propulsive forces multiplied by 238 countermovement depth), were calculated to characterise the exercise challenge (Table 2),

alongside starting and peak heart rates for each sprint and set of DJs (S810iTM, Polar, Kempele,
Finland). Participants told they would have to repeat any sprint where they continued beyond
the marked 5 m deceleration zone, and any DJ for which the knee angle at the bottom of the
jump failed to reach 90° flexion.

243

Following B1, participants received either CG or placebo tablets providing < 0.1 g carbohydrate 244 245 (6 mm hard lactose/sucrose tablets, HSC, Holt, UK) and instructed to either consume a tablet or 246 don CG in private before leaving the building. Garments were worn for 72 h post-exercise, with 247 participants instructed to remove CG only to wash, and before arriving for subsequent testing. 248 Participants assigned to PLA were given 3 tablets to consume (daily) immediately after testing, 249 having been told by a researcher that they contained magnesium to aid recovery. Participants 250 were randomised into groups A and B by the lead researcher, and informed of their specific 251 interventions by a third party. To aid placebo blinding, participants were told there was an 252 additional control group (group C) for comparison. This group did not exist, and participants 253 were informed of this deception at the conclusion of data collection. Participants were 254 requested to record their dietary intake from the day before the trial until 72 h post-exercise, 255 and to replicate this for the repeated bout. The effectiveness of blinding was assessed at the 256 conclusion of the trial by asking participants to rate their intervention for perceived efficacy from 257 0 to 10, accepting half-marks (Karanicolas, Farrokhyar, & Bhandari, 2010). Finally, baseline 258 performance tests, eccentrically-focused exercise and assessments of recovery were repeated 259 after 14 d to investigate RBE.

- 260
- 261
- 262
- 263
- 264
- 265
- 266



281 Figure 1. Study design and procedures

### 282 Statistical analysis

283 An open-access statistical software package was used for all statistical analyses (R Foundation 284 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), which were carried out on raw data (although 285 changes in MTG were graphically presented as normalised values for clarity). Despite initial 286 efforts at pair-matching, follow-up questioning on adherence revealed that one participant 287 mistakenly took the wrong allocation, resulting in n = 18 and n = 16 for CG and PLA, respectively. 288 Accordingly, a between-group design was employed, using a linear mixed effects model with 289 random intercepts for each participant to account for individual variation in EIMD (Gandotra et 290 al., 2021). The consideration of random effects in a statistical model also allows for participants 291 to be included up until the point of withdrawal to enhance statistical power and reduce sample 292 bias (Nich & Carroll, 2002). Visually comparing fitted and observed values, and calculating root 293 mean squared error (RMSE) from residuals, revealed this model better matched observed data 294 for every variable compared with analysis of variance (ANOVA). The assumption of normality 295 was verified by running QQ plots on residual values, with the mixed effects model independent 296 of the assumption of sphericity. Post hoc testing was carried out with the 'emmeans' package, 297 adjusting for multiple comparisons (Gandotra et al., 2021). Ordinal soreness data were assessed 298 with a non-parametric alternative to the split-plot ANOVA (Feys, 2016). All values were reported 299 as means ± SD. Additionally, effect sizes (ES - Cohen's d) were calculated as between-group 300 differences in changes from baseline, and reported alongside 90% confidence intervals as (ES 301 [LCL, UCL]), where LCL and UCL represent lower and upper 90% confidence limits. Effect sizes 302 were defined as trivial/small, moderate or large using thresholds of ≥0.2, ≥0.5 and ≥0.8, 303 respectively (Batterham & Hopkins, 2006). Post hoc power assessments were carried out for all 304 performance tests using G\*Power.

305

### 306 Results

Due to COVID-19 pandemic restrictions (n = 1) and injuries during the second exercise bout (n = 2), data on the repeated bout were missing for three participants (CG, n = 1; PLA, n = 2). Baseline participant characteristics and garment pressures are detailed below, alongside exercise responses (Tables 1-2), which did not differ between bouts or groups at either time-point (p >0.05). Perceived efficacy did not differ (p = 0.558) between CG (5 ± 2) and PLA (4.5 ± 2), suggesting blinding was effective. Stockings were worn for 20.9 ± 3.7 h.d<sup>-1</sup>, while participants in PLA each consumed all three tablets. 314

Muscle damage and RBE were apparent from post-exercise declines in all isometric and isokinetic strength measurements, which were attenuated between bouts, and recovered more rapidly in B2 (Figure 2). For MVIC, significant effects were observed for time ( $\chi^2 = 268$ , p < 0.001), bout ( $\chi^2 = 84.3$ , p < 0.001) and their interaction ( $\chi^2 = 15.6$ , p = 0.004), without differences between groups (p > 0.05 – Figure 2). Performance was impaired for 72 h following B1 (post – 48 h: p < 0.001; 72h, p = 0.006) but had recovered by 48 h after B2 (p = 1 at each time-point – Supplementary Table), with peak force higher at all post-exercise timepoints (p < 0.001 to 0.005).

322

Peak isokinetic forces at 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup> and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2) were subject to significant 323 effects (p < 0.001) of time ( $\chi^2 = 182$ , 184 and 92.7 respectively) and bout ( $\chi^2 = 22.6$ , 15.0, 14.0), 324 325 as well as time x bout interactions ( $\chi^2$  = 35.1, 36.7, 25.0). Demonstrating RBE, performance following B1 was impaired for 72 h at each velocity (p < 0.001), whereas strength recovered by 326 327 24 h following B2 (p = 0.1165, p = 0.3568, p = 1). Accordingly, peak force was greater in B2 at 328 each velocity from 24 - 72 h post-exercise (p < 0.001 to 0.0186). Of note, baseline performance 329 was also lower (p = 0.0071, p = 0.0026 and p = 0.0343 for 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup> and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Neither group, nor time x group effects were apparent, with the moderate 330 improvements observed in CG following B1 at 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup> and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup> failing to reach significance 331 (p > 0.05, ES from 0.51 [-0.11, 1.13] to 0.65 [0.03, 1.28] - Table 3). Observed RBE for isokinetic 332 333 strength was lower in CG than PLA for each velocity, as shown by significant bout x group interactions (p < 0.001 to 0.0395; Tables 3 and 4). At 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, although performance deterioration 334 335 was attenuated following B2 in both CG (p = 0.0336) and PLA (p < 0.001), RBE was significantly 336 smaller in CG ( $\chi^2$  = 4.24, p = 0.0395; ES = -0.56 [-1.18, 0.07]; Tables 3 and 4). Conversely, the bout x group interactions observed at 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup> ( $\chi^2$  =16.2, p < 0.001) and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup> ( $\chi^2$  =10.4, p = 0.001) 337 revealed that RBE was absent in CG. Whilst post hoc testing demonstrated greater peak forces 338 339 in PLA following B2 at both 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup> and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup> (p < 0.001 for both), no increase was observed 340 in CG (*p* = 0.875, *p* = 0.5507; Tables 3 and 4).

341

A decline in PPT was observed over time ( $\chi^2 = 153$ , p < 0.001), with peak power recovering by 48 h (p = 1). Cycle power improved over B2 compared to B1 (p < 0.001). *Post hoc* power analyses at individual time-points following B1 yielded  $\beta$  values of 0.14–0.58 for force dynamometry, and 0.11–0.32 for peak cycling power. Power from observed differences for RBE between groups
ranged from 0.29 to 0.86 for force dynamometry and was 0.13 for PPT.

347

Changes in MTG were observed over time ( $\chi^2$  = 14.2, p < 0.001) and between bouts ( $\chi^2$  = 8.25, p 348 = 0.004), with mean values greater than baseline from 24 h (p = 0.049, p = 0.0114, and p = 0.0382 349 350 for 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, respectively – Figure 3, Supplementary Table). Neither group x time ( $\chi^2$ = 1.15, p < 0.886), three-way ( $\chi^2$  = 1.26, p = 0.868), nor bout x time interactions were significant 351 ( $\chi^2$  = 0.585, p = 0.630). However, a bout x group effect was observed ( $\chi^2$  = 6.66, p = 0.01), with 352 353 post hoc testing demonstrating that swelling in CG was greater following B2, when compression 354 was not worn (p < 0.001). Conversely, swelling did not differ between bouts in PLA (p = 0.984). Soreness (Figure 3) changed over time ( $\chi^2$  = 2.87, p < 0.001), and between bouts ( $\chi^2$  = 62.8, p <355 0.001), and demonstrated a significant time x bout interaction ( $\chi^2 = 6.3$ , p < 0.001). No significant 356 differences or interactions between groups were apparent (p > 0.05). Post hoc testing revealed 357 358 that while soreness remained elevated from baseline at all times during B1 (p < 0.001), values returned to baseline by 72 h in B2 (p = 0.151). 359

360

361 In addition to participant attrition, CK analysis was limited further by insufficient blood samples 362 from one participant, with a further two participants giving anomalous pre-exercise readings. 363 Technical error was ruled out by analysing dilutions of the original sample, which all indicated 364 similar CK activity (within 1–15%), while elevated baseline readings did not reflect impaired 365 muscular performance compared to the previous/subsequent bout. These anomalous readings 366 resulted in between-bout differences at least 3-times greater than the group SD of the 367 differences (exceeding the 99% confidence interval), so were removed from the analysis. Creatine kinase activity in the resulting 28 participants changed over time  $\chi^2$  = 16.7, p = 0.002), 368 and between bouts ( $\chi^2$  = 12.1, p < 0.001), with no other differences or interactions observed (p369 370 > 0.05). Hypothesis testing was not affected by the inclusion or exclusion of the anomalous results (main effects for set and bout;  $\chi^2 = 11.9$ , p = 0.0179 and  $\chi^2 = 13.3$ , p < 0.001, respectively). 371 372 Post hoc testing revealed that average values at 24 h were greater than those recorded at both 373 pre-exercise (p = 0.0124) and post-exercise (p = 0.0444) time points.

374

	CG	PLA	277
Age (y)	27.3 ± 6.7	25.1 ± 8.5	577
Body mass (kg)	77 ± 11.3	78.1 ± 10.4d	378
Stature (m)	$1.78 \pm 0.1$	$1.77 \pm 0.1$	
Σ8 skinfolds (mm)	89 ± 44.8	112 ± 55.5	379
MVIC (N)	639 ± 122	635 ± 141	
MTG (cm)	55.3 ± 5.9	54.5 ± 4.5	380
Calf (cm)	37.5 ± 2	39.7 ± 8.5	
CG Pressure (mmHg)			381
Thigh	16 ± 3		
Calf	23 ± 6		382
MB1	21 ± 5		
Ankle	16 ± 2		383

## **Table 1. Participant characteristics**

384 CG = Compression garments; PLA = Placebo; MVIC = Maximal isometric voluntary contraction, 385 MTG = Mid-thigh girth; Calf = Medial calf (circumference or site for interface pressure 386 measurement); Thigh = Mid-thigh skinfold site; Calf = point of widest circumference at the 387 medial calf; MB1 = Manufacturer's B1 point; Ankle = Interface pressure taken at point of 388 narrowest circumference of the ankle

# 400 Table 2. Exercise responses from a combined repeated-sprint (20 x 20 m) and depth-jump (10

# 401 x 10) muscle-damage protocol

	CG		PLA	
	B1	B2	B1	B2
	Repea	ted sprints		
20 m sprint time (s)	3.66 ± 0.47	3.56 ± 0.27	3.53 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.24
HR <sub>med</sub> (beats.min <sup>-1</sup> )	146 ± 15	144 ± 20	144 ± 21	140 ± 19
HR <sub>pk</sub> (beats.min <sup>-1</sup> )	155 ± 15	148 ± 15	154 ± 18	151 ± 17
	Dep	th-jumps		
Breaking F <sub>pk</sub> (N)	4463 ± 1005	4263 ± 710	4743 ± 895	4606 ± 857
Breaking F <sub>ave</sub> (N)	1424 ± 123	1421 ± 75	1436 ± 67	1447 ± 77
Propulsive F <sub>pk</sub> (N)	1648 ± 198	1682 ± 211	1600 ± 207	1631 ± 207
Propulsive F <sub>ave</sub> (N)	1085 ± 99	1086 ± 58	1075 ± 72	1046 ± 62
Jump Height TOV (cm)	13 ± 2	13 ± 1	12 ± 1	13 ± 1
Total work (J)	176904 ± 284	184384 ± 173	163703 ± 167	176416 ± 18
Total work (J.kg <sup>-1</sup> per jump)	23 ± 4	24 ± 2	22 ± 2	22 ± 2
HR <sub>med</sub> (beats.min <sup>-1</sup> )	156 ± 14	150 ± 16	153 ± 18	152 ± 18
HR <sub>nk</sub> (beats.min <sup>-1</sup> )	170 ± 12	164 ± 16	166 ± 16	166 ± 18

404	from take-off velocity
-----	------------------------



Figure 2. Peak maximal voluntary contraction force for isometric (MVIC) and isokinetic
 contractions at 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, over an initial (left) and repeated bout (right) of

- 431 eccentrically-focused exercise
- 432 Black line and crosses = Compression garments; Grey line and diamonds = Placebo; \* =
- 433 Significant effect of time (p < 0.001 for each variable);  $\beta$  = Significant difference between bouts
- 434 (p < 0.001 for each variable); \* $\beta$  = Significant time x bout interaction (p < 0.001 for all isokinetic
- 435 speeds, p = 0.004 for MVIC)  $\delta$  = Significant bout x group interaction (p = 0.0395, p < 0.001, p =
- 436 0.001 for 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup> and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. MVIC: p = 0.128)





438 Figure 3. Mid-thigh girth and soreness over an initial (left) and repeated bout (right) of

439	eccentrically-focused exercise	Black line and	l crosses = Compression	garments; Grey	line and
-----	--------------------------------	----------------	-------------------------	----------------	----------

440	diamonds	= Placebo; *	= Significant	effect of time	e ( <i>p</i> < 0.0	01); β :	= Significant	difference
-----	----------	--------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------	----------	---------------	------------

441 k	between l	bouts (I	mid-tl	high រួ	girth:	p =	0.004;	soreness	р·	< 0.001	); 8	5 = Sigr	nificant	bout	x gr	oup
-------	-----------	----------	--------	---------	--------	-----	--------	----------	----	---------	------	----------	----------	------	------	-----

442	interaction (p	) = 0.01); *(	$\beta$ = Significant	time x bout	interaction	(p•	< 0.001	1)
-----	----------------	---------------	-----------------------	-------------	-------------	-----	---------	----

Time	Post	24 h	48 h	72 h	RBE	Time	Post	24 h	48 h	72 h	RBE
MVIC	0.09	0.34	0.27	0.33	-0.38	IKD	0.4	0.65	0.46	0.65	-0.56
	[-0.53, 0.7]	[-0.28, 0.96]	[-0.35, 0.88]	[-0.28, 0.95]	[-1, 0.23]	60 <sup>0</sup> .S <sup>-1</sup>	[-0.22, 1.01]	[0.02, 1.27]	[-0.16, 1.08]	[0.03, 1.28]	[-1.18, 0.07]
IKD	0.24	0.48	0.25	0.2	-0.96	IKD	0.32	0.38	0.3	0.51	-0.72
<b>120</b> <sup>0</sup> .S <sup>-1</sup>	[-0.38, 0.86]	[-0.14, 1.11]	[-0.36, 0.87]	[-0.41, 0.82]	[-1.61, -0.32]	<b>180</b> <sup>0</sup> .S <sup>-1</sup>	[-0.29, 0.94]	[-0.24, 1]	[-0.32, 0.92]	[-0.11, 1.13]	] [-1.35, -0.09]
РРТ	0.32	0.25	0.41	0.15	0.18	MTG	0.4	0.65	0.46	0.65	0.16
	[-0.3, 0.94]	[-0.36, 0.87]	[-0.21, 1.03]	[-0.47, 0.76]	[-0.43, 0.8]		[-0.22, 1.01]	[0.02, 1.27]	[-0.16, 1.08]	[0.03, 1.28]	[-0.45, 0.78]
Soreness	s -0.08	-0.39	-0.02	0.09	0.09	[СК]	-0.47	0.09	-0.22	-0.35	0.33
	[-0.69, 0.54]	[-1.01, 0.23]	[-0.63, 0.59]	[-0.53, 0.7]	[-0.53, 0.7]		[-1.09, 0.15]	[-0.52, 0.7]	[-0.84, 0.39]	[-0.97, 0.27]	] [-0.29, 0.95]

## 456 Table 3. Effect sizes and 90% confidence limits for between group differences

457

458 Effect sizes (Cohen's d) reported as ES [LCL, UCL]), where LCL and UCL represent the lower and upper 90% confidence limits. Effect size thresholds

459 were as follows: ≤ 0.2 (trivial), 0.2 - 0.49 (small), 0.5–0.79 (moderate), > 0.8 (large). Post = Post-exercise; RBE = Repeated bout effect (defined as the

460 difference between the means of the repeated and the initial bout); MVIC = Maximal voluntary isometric contraction; IKD = Maximal voluntary

461 isokinetic contraction; PPT = peak power output in the 6 s cycle sprint test; MTG = Mid-thigh girth; [CK] = Creatine kinase activity

### 462 Discussion

The present study investigated the effects of CG on recovery and adaptation following EIMD. Whilst the effects of CG on recovery were not significant, RBE for isokinetic performance was impaired each velocity. Although these findings were specific to isokinetic strength, these data provide novel and robust evidence that CG may undermine aspects of RBE in non-resistance trained males.

468

469 The current findings do not support previous studies which suggest that CG enhance recovery 470 from EIMD (Brown et al., 2017; Hill et al., 2017; Marques-Jimenez et al., 2016; Peake, 2019). 471 These observations may be related to several discrepancies between the current study and 472 previous trials - including differences in interface pressures, populations, and exercise 473 protocols (Brown et al., 2017; Marques-Jimenez et al., 2016). However, as the placebo effect 474 can enhance performance by up to 6% (ES = 1.2) (Clark et al., 2000), it is also possible that the 475 effective blinding strategy employed was at least partly responsible (Tables 3 and 4). Effective 476 blinding has also been postulated to explain non-significant findings on cold water immersion 477 (CWI) which contradict those from earlier studies (Wilson et al., 2018). Furthermore, the 478 current study was conducted double-blind to eliminate the possibility of providing 479 subconscious cues to participants, which can further inflate treatment effects (Karanicolas et 480 al., 2010). These data highlight the need to implement and evaluate a double-blind approach 481 to elucidate the true effects of CG on recovery.

482

483 The non-significant effects of CG for recovery in the current study are somewhat surprising, as 484 observed interface pressures were similar to previously proposed pressure optima. For example, 485 benefits have been commonly observed from garments applying around 14-20 mmHg at the 486 thigh, above or below which pressures CG may be ineffective (Hill et al., 2017; Miyamoto & 487 Kawakami, 2014). A 15% improvement in recovery of countermovement jump performance was 488 previously reported in recreationally active participants (Hill et al., 2017) when class II CG, 489 providing almost identical interface pressures to those we report, were worn for 72 h following 490 100 DJs (14.8  $\pm$  2.2 vs 16  $\pm$  3 mmHg at the thigh and 24.3  $\pm$  3.7 vs 23  $\pm$  6 at the calf). However, 491 pressure optima yet to be conclusively established (Brown et al., 2017; Hill et al., 2017; Hill et 492 al., 2015). Furthermore, even directly measured interface pressures may vary with small 493 changes in sensor placement and non-uniformities in limb profile (Bjork & Ehmann, 2019), 494 complicating comparisons between trials. Error also arises from the different sensors used, with 495 the Kikuhime device used by Hill et al. (Hill et al., 2017) known to overestimate applied pressures 496 by 10–15% compared to the Picropress (Partsch & Mosti, 2010). Discrepancies may also be 497 related to differences in the recovery of jumping versus isometric performance (Byrne & Eston, 498 2002), or the mixed sex population studied by Hill et al., considering potential sex-specific 499 responses to EIMD (Clarkson & Hubal, 2002; Fernandez-Gonzalo et al., 2014). Further research 500 is required to establish pressure optima for recovery, with a pressing need for researchers in the 501 field to report directly measured pressures using standardised procedures.

502

503 The findings we present are the first to report deleterious effects from CG on muscular 504 adaptation, contradicting previous findings which suggest variable, but likely positive effects 505 on strength, power and endurance outcomes (Baum et al., 2020; Edgar et al., 2022). However, 506 the adaptations underpinning RBE are likely distinct to those elicited by either hypertrophy or 507 endurance training (Hyldahl et al., 2017). Additionally, the compression stimulus provided in 508 the current trial likely differed from previous studies. The military recruits in Edgar's study 509 wore compression leggings for 4-6 h.d<sup>-1</sup> only, with reported interface pressures (15.0 ± 4.3 and 510 11.8 ± 3.1 mmHg at the thigh, before and after the training intervention, respectively) 511 measured with the Kikuhime. No placebo was given to the control group. Conversely, Baum et 512 al. (2020) studied the effects of sports compression leggings worn during training (pressures 513 not reported), presumably to investigate the cumulative effects of enhanced training 514 performance. The data we present suggest the negative effects of medical-grade CG on RBE 515 are greater than any potential benefits for recovery following unaccustomed exercise in non-516 resistance trained males.

517

The current findings are the first to demonstrate negative effects from compression on adaptation – specifically on RBE for isokinetic strength. Furthermore, these effects appear to be velocity-specific, with RBE reduced at 60 °.s<sup>-1</sup>, but completely absent in CG at 120 °.s<sup>-1</sup> and 180 °.s<sup>-1</sup>. That these observations were made following the use of an effective placebo intervention, double-blind design, and reported alongside a reduction in swelling following B1 strengthens the case for a physiologically-mediated effect of CG, with the combined alpha value from all three isokinetic interactions equating to  $p < 3.95 \times 10^{-8}$ . These findings are also

525 concordant with previous observations that protective adaptations to EIMD are associated 526 with a greater relative recruitment of oxidative motor-units and subsequent preservation of 527 high velocity performance (Hinks et al., 2021; Hortobagyi et al., 1996; Hyldahl et al., 2017). In 528 the current study, however, these adaptations were absent in CG. Although it is important to 529 note that no other indices of EIMD were blunted (e.g. isometric strength or CK), these findings 530 have important implications for the use of compression throughout unaccustomed training. 531 The utility of strength training for improving high-velocity performance is well established 532 (Cronin, Ogden, Lawton, & Brughelli, 2007; García-Valverde, Manresa-Rocamora, Hernández-533 Davó, & Sabido, 2022), with greater isokinetic strength commonly associated with improved 534 power performance in active and athletic populations (Janicijevic, Knezevic, Garcia-Ramos, 535 Cvetic, & Mirkov, 2020; Moreira et al., 2021).

536

537 Whilst the mechanisms involved were not explicitly investigated, nor inflammation directly 538 measured, several physiological observations in the current study are concordant with previous 539 findings suggesting that CG reduce tissue damage by moderating inflammation (Beidler et al., 540 2009; Valle et al., 2013). Interestingly, impaired RBE in CG was observed following an attenuated 541 swelling response after B1 (Figures 2-3). As swelling is known to propagate the inflammatory 542 response by facilitating leukocyte adhesion (Lawrence & Springer, 1991), and RBE is thought to 543 be mediated by inflammation (Deyhle et al., 2016; Figueiredo et al., 2016), it is possible these 544 two observations are related. Although CG have not been shown to reliably reduce circulating 545 inflammatory markers when worn for recovery (Duffield et al., 2010; Hill et al., 2017; Peake, 546 2019), previous findings suggest CG can moderate leukocyte infiltration; which was reduced in 547 professional footballers 48 h after CG were worn *during* downhill-running (Valle et al., 2013). 548 Research is required to establish the biochemical effects of compression, the relevance to 549 recovery and specific adaptations.

550

#### 551 Limitations

552 The current study is subject to a number of important limitations; most notably the small

sample size. The double-blind (initially pair-matched) design made it inappropriate to replace

participants who withdrew, while the initial sample size was calculated from single blind

- studies, which may overestimate treatment effects (Karanicolas et al., 2010). Interestingly,
- isokinetic performance at baseline was lower in B2 at all three velocities, despite the fact that

557 RBE manifested as greater mean values over B2 due to more rapid recovery. This may suggest 558 that either recovery following B1 was incomplete, or that having undergone a previous bout of 559 damaging exercise, participants were dissuaded from providing maximal efforts. Indeed, an 560 apparent trend for lower baseline performance in a repeated bout has been reported 561 previously (Falvo, Schilling, & Smith, 2010). However, it is important to note that this lower 562 baseline for B2 did not differ between groups (no three-way interaction), and was not 563 observed for MIVC (a criterion measure for assessing EIMD). As such, this observation does not 564 affect our conclusion - that RBE was attenuated in CG.

565

566 Another limitation of the current study is the loss of CK data, which may also have masked 567 meaningful differences. The non-significant 31-62% reductions in CG are perhaps noteworthy 568 considering the variable nature of this measure (Clarkson & Hubal, 2002; Hill et al., 2017; 569 Paschalis et al., 2005). Individual EIMD responses were also highly variable, with pre-post 570 declines in isometric strength varying from 5.3% to 59.6% in this sample. Similarly, the lack of 571 effects from CG on soreness are also likely due in part to the highly subjective nature of this 572 measure (Fitzgerald, Rothstein, Mayhew, & Lamb, 1991). Finally, as RBE is population and 573 exercise specific (Hyldahl et al., 2017) it would be unwise to extrapolate these findings to other 574 scenarios. Research on females and studies investigating the effects of compression pressure 575 on strength and endurance adaptations are required.

576

### 577 Conclusion

578 Compression garments did not enhance muscular recovery in non-resistance trained males,

although RBE for isokinetic strength was impaired. These findings provide the only evidence to

580 date that the use of CG attenuates muscular adaptation. Based on these findings, the use of

581 CG for recovery would not be recommended following unaccustomed exercise, particularly if

- the maintenance of high-velocity performance, and resilience to EIMD are desirable.
- 583
- 584
- 585
- 586
- 587
- 588

# 589 References

590	Batterham, A. M., & Hopkins, W. G. (2006). Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes.
591	International journal of sports physiology and performance, 2(1), 50-57.
592	Baum, J. T., Carter, R. P., Neufeld, E. V., & Dolezal, B. A. (2020). Donning a novel lower-limb
593	restrictive compression garment during training augments muscle power and strength.
594	International Journal of Exercise Science, 13(3), 890.
595	Beidler, S. K., Douillet, C. D., Berndt, D. F., Keagy, B. A., Rich, P. B., & Marston, W. A. (2009).
596	Inflammatory cytokine levels in chronic venous insufficiency ulcer tissue before and
597	after compression therapy. Journal of Vascular Surgery, 49(4), 1013-1020.
598	Bjork, R., & Ehmann, S. (2019). STRIDE Professional guide to compression garment selection for
599	the lower extremity. Journal of Wound Care, 28(Sup6a), 1-44.
600	Brown, F., Gissane, C., Howatson, G., van Someren, K., Pedlar, C., & Hill, J. (2017). Compression
601	garments and recovery from exercise: a meta-analysis. Sports Medicine, 47(11), 2245-
602	2267.
603	Brown, F., Hill, M., Renshaw, D., Pedlar, C., Hill, J., & Tallis, J. (2022). Test-retest reliability of
604	muscular performance tests and compression garment interface pressure
605	measurements: a comparison between consecutive and multiple day recovery. Sports
606	Engineering, 26(1).
607	Brown, F. C., Hill, J. A., van Someren, K., Howatson, G., & Pedlar, C. R. (2021). The effect of
608	custom-fitted compression garments worn overnight for recovery from judo training in
609	elite athletes. European Journal of Sport Science, 1-9.
610	Byrne, C., & Eston, R. (2002). The effect of exercise-induced muscle damage on isometric and
611	dynamic knee extensor strength and vertical jump performance. Journal of Sports
612	Sciences, 20(5), 417-425.
613	Care, D. o. H. a. S. (2019). Physical activity guidelines: UK chief medical officers' report. In:
614	Department of Health and Social Care London, UK.
615	Chen, T. C., & Nosaka, K. (2006). Effects of number of eccentric muscle actions on first and
616	second bouts of eccentric exercise of the elbow flexors. Journal of Science and
617	<i>Medicine in Sport, 9</i> (1-2), 57-66.
618	Clark, V. R., Hopkins, W. G., Hawley, J. A., & Burke, L. M. (2000). Placebo effect of carbohydrate
619	feedings during a 40-km cycling time trial. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise,
620	32(9), 1642-1647.
621	Clarkson, P. M., & Hubal, M. J. (2002). Exercise-induced muscle damage in humans. American
622	Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, 81(11 Suppl), S52-69.
623	Cronin, J., Ogden, T., Lawton, T., & Brughelli, M. (2007). Does increasing maximal strength
624	improve sprint running performance? Strength and Conditioning Journal, 29(3), 86-95.
625	de Glanville, K. M., & Hamlin, M. J. (2012). Positive effect of lower body compression garments
626	on subsequent 40-kM cycling time trial performance. The Journal of Strength &
627	Conditioning Research, 26(2), 480-486.
628	Deyhle, M. R., Gier, A. M., Evans, K. C., Eggett, D. L., Nelson, W. B., Parcell, A. C., & Hyldahl, R.
629	D. (2016). Skeletal muscle inflammation following repeated bouts of lengthening
630	contractions in humans. Frontiers in Physiology, 6, 424-424.
631	Duffield, R., Cannon, J., & King, M. (2010). The effects of compression garments on recovery of
632	muscle performance following high-intensity sprint and plyometric exercise. Journal of
633	Science and Medicine in Sport, 13(1), 136-140.
634	Edgar, D. T., Beaven, C. M., Gill, N. D., & Driller, M. W. (2022). Under Pressure: the chronic
635	effects of lower-body compression garment use during a 6-week military training
636	course. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(7),
637	3912.

638 Falvo, M., Schilling, B., & Smith, A. (2010). Repeated bout effect is absent in resistance trained 639 men. An electromyographic analysis. Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology, 640 19(6), e529-e535. 641 Fernandez-Gonzalo, R., Lundberg, T. R., Alvarez-Alvarez, L., & de Paz, J. A. (2014). Muscle 642 damage responses and adaptations to eccentric-overload resistance exercise in men 643 and women. European journal of applied physiology, 114(5), 1075-1084. 644 Feys, J. (2016). Nonparametric Tests for the Interaction in Two-way Factorial Designs Using R. R 645 Journal, 8(1), 367-378. 646 Figueiredo, V. C., Roberts, L. A., Markworth, J. F., Barnett, M. P., Coombes, J. S., Raastad, T., 647 Peake, J. M., & Cameron-Smith, D. (2016). Impact of resistance exercise on ribosome 648 biogenesis is acutely regulated by post-exercise recovery strategies. Physiological 649 reports, 4(2), e12670. 650 Fitzgerald, G. K., Rothstein, J. M., Mayhew, T. P., & Lamb, R. L. (1991). Exercise-induced muscle 651 soreness after concentric and eccentric isokinetic contractions. Physical Therapy, 652 71(7), 505-513. Flack, K. D., Johnson, L., & Roemmich, J. N. (2017). Aerobic and resistance exercise 653 654 reinforcement and discomfort tolerance predict meeting activity guidelines. Physiology 655 & Behavior, 170, 32-36. 656 Gandotra, K., Jaskiw, G. E., Williams, S. G., Fuller, M. A., Chen, P., Wilson, B., ElGhoul, R., 657 Konicki, E., & Strohl, K. P. (2021). Development of insomnia associated with different 658 formulations of bupropion. The Primary Care Companion for CNS Disorders, 23(1), 659 25958. 660 García-Valverde, A., Manresa-Rocamora, A., Hernández-Davó, J. L., & Sabido, R. (2022). Effect 661 of weightlifting training on jumping ability, sprinting performance and squat strength: 662 A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Sports Science & 663 *Coaching*, *17*(4), 917-939. 664 Goto, K., & Morishima, T. (2014). Compression garment promotes muscular strength recovery 665 after resistance exercise. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 46(12), 2265-2270. 666 Hill, J., Howatson, G., van Someren, K., Gaze, D., Legg, H., Lineham, J., & Pedlar, C. (2017). 667 Effects of compression garment pressure on recovery from strenuous exercise. 668 International journal of sports physiology and performance, 1-22. 669 Hill, J. A., Howatson, G., van Someren, K. A., Davidson, S., & Pedlar, C. R. (2015). The variation 670 in pressures exerted by commercially available compression garments. Sports 671 Engineering, 18(2), 115-121. 672 Hinks, A., Hess, A., Debenham, M. I. B., Chen, J., Mazara, N., Inkol, K. A., Cervone, D. T., Spriet, 673 L. L., Dalton, B. H., & Power, G. A. (2021). Power loss is attenuated following a second 674 bout of high-intensity eccentric contractions due to the repeated bout effect's 675 protection of rate of torque and velocity development. Applied Physiology, Nutrition, 676 and Metabolism, 46(5), 461-472. 677 Hortobagyi, T., Hill, J. P., Houmard, J. A., Fraser, D. D., Lambert, N. J., & Israel, R. G. (1996). Adaptive responses to muscle lengthening and shortening in humans. Journal of 678 679 Applied Physiology, 80(3), 765-772. 680 Hyldahl, R. D., Chen, T. C., & Nosaka, K. (2017). Mechanisms and mediators of the skeletal 681 muscle repeated bout effect. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews, 45(1), 24-33. 682 Janicijevic, D., Knezevic, O. M., Garcia-Ramos, A., Cvetic, D., & Mirkov, D. M. (2020). Isokinetic 683 Testing: Sensitivity of the Force-Velocity Relationship Assessed through the Two-Point 684 Method to Discriminate between Muscle Groups and Participants' Physical Activity 685 Levels. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 17(22). 686 Karanicolas, P. J., Farrokhyar, F., & Bhandari, M. (2010). Blinding: who, what, when, why, how? 687 Canadian Journal of Surgery, 53(5), 345.

688	Lawrence, M. B., & Springer, T. A. (1991). Leukocytes roll on a selectin at physiologic flow
689	rates: distinction from and prerequisite for adhesion through integrins. <i>Cell, 65</i> (5),
690	859-873.
691	Margues-Jimenez, D., Calleja-Gonzalez, J., Arratibel, I., Delextrat, A., & Terrados, N. (2016). Are
692	compression garments effective for the recovery of exercise-induced muscle damage?
693	A systematic review with meta-analysis. <i>Physiology &amp; Behavior, 153</i> , 133-148.
694	McMahon, J. J., Lake, J. P., Stratford, C., & Comfort, P. J. B. (2021). A proposed method for
695	evaluating drop jump performance with one force platform. <i>Biomechanics</i> , 1(2), 178-
696	189.
697	Mivamoto, N., & Kawakami, Y. (2014). Effect of pressure intensity of compression short-tight
698	on fatigue of thigh muscles. <i>Medicine &amp; Science in Sports &amp; Exercise</i> , 46(11), 2168-
699	2174.
700	Moreira, P. V. S., Falco, C., Menegaldo, L. L., Goethel, M. E., De Paula, L. V., & Goncalves, M.
701	(2021). Are isokinetic leg torques and kick velocity reliable predictors of competitive
702	level in taekwondo athletes? <i>PLoS One</i> 16(6), e0235582
703	Nich, C., & Carroll, K. (2002), 'Intention-to-treat' meets 'missing data': implications of alternate
704	strategies for analyzing clinical trials data. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 68(2), 121-
705	
706	Nosaka, K., Chapman, D., Newton, M., & Sacco, P. (2006). Is isometric strength loss
707	immediately after eccentric exercise related to changes in indirect markers of muscle
708	damage? Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism, 31(3), 313-319
709	Partsch, H., & Mosti, G. (2010). Comparison of three portable instruments to measure
710	compression pressure. International angiology: a journal of the International Union of
711	Angiology, 29(5), 426-430
712	Paschalis V Koutedakis Y Jamurtas A 7 Mougios V & Baltzonoulos V (2005) Equal
713	volumes of high and low intensity of eccentric exercise in relation to muscle damage
714	and performance. The Journal of Strenath & Conditioning Research, 19(1), 184-188.
715	Peake, J. M. (2019). Recovery after exercise: what is the current state of play? <i>Current Opinion</i>
716	in Physiology, 10, 17-26.
717	Skorski, S., Mujika, L., Bosquet, L., Meeusen, R., Coutts, A. J., & Mever, T. (2019). The temporal
718	relationship between exercise, recovery processes, and changes in performance.
719	International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 14(8), 1015-1021.
720	Thomas, T. R., Ziogas, G., Smith, T., Zhang, O., & Londeree, B. R. (1995), Physiological and
721	perceived exertion responses to six modes of submaximal exercise. <i>Research Quarterly</i>
722	for Exercise and Sport. 66(3). 239-246.
723	Uhl, JF., Benigni, JP., & Cornu-Thenard, A. (2013). Where should stiffness be measured in
724	vivo? Veins and Lymphatics. e5-e5.
725	Valle, X., Til, L., Drobnic, F., Turmo, A., Montoro, J. B., Valero, O., & Artells, R. (2013).
726	Compression garments to prevent delayed onset muscle soreness in soccer players.
727	Muscles Liaaments Tendons Journal. 3(4), 295-302.
728	Wilson, L. L. Cockburn, E., Paice, K., Sinclair, S., Faki, T., Hills, F. A., Gondek, M. B., Wood, A., &
729	Dimitriou, L. (2018). Recovery following a marathon: a comparison of cold water
730	immersion, whole body cryotherapy and a placebo control. <i>Furopean journal of</i>
731	applied physiology, 118(1), 153-163.
	TT T T T T ST T T T T T T T T T T T T T

## Acknowledgments

Sincere thanks must go to those who patiently provided technical support and expertise at Coventry University; namely Rick Varnham and Dr. Ben Lee. Thanks as well to Dr. Peter Mundy and Jack Lineham for their guidance on biomechanics in the early stages of the project.

# Author contributions

FB, HJ, CP, GH and KvS conceived and designed the research. FB conducted experiments, acquiring and analysing all data, with JT contributing analytical tools, before FB, JT, MH, DR, GH and KvS all contributed to interpreting the results. FB analysed data and wrote the manuscript, with support in drafting, and critically revising the article provided by all authors.

All authors approved the final version of the manuscript, agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work, and qualify for authorship due to their contributions listed above. All those who qualify for authorship are listed.

# **Conflict of interests**

The authors did not receive support or funding from any organization for the submitted work, and have no competing interests to declare.

Supplementary Table. Raw and relative values for indices of muscle damage over tin	Supplementar	v Table. Raw and	relative values	for indices of	f muscle damage	over time
--	--------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------

		Bout 1					Bout 2					т	В	ТхВ	GхВ
Measure	Tipgeco	ep <b>fe</b> d for pul	blic <b>ans</b> on in Ex	xpe <b>łfh</b> łental Ph	vs <b>f8/b</b> av on th	ne <b>73</b> t <b>h</b> Septem	be <b>r<sup>r</sup>9</b> 023	Post	24 h	48 h	72 h	р	р	р	р
MVIC (N)	CG	639 ± 122	465 ± 115	, 513 ± 126	563 ± 140	606 ± 139	646 ± 128	514 ± 137	604 ± 146	637 ± 139	644 ± 161				
	PLA	635 ± 141	453 ± 130	480 ± 135	531 ± 138	563 ± 129	661 ± 135	502 ± 137	577 ± 141	622 ± 142	638 ± 138	***	***	**	
IKD	CG	560 ± 115	440 ± 100	466 ± 102	479 ± 107	510 ± 106	528 ± 89	459 ± 108	494 ± 121	511 ± 119	516 ± 125				
60°.s <sup>-1</sup> (N)	PLA	611 ± 123	450 ± 102	450 ± 132	485 ± 137	498 ± 111	559 ± 108	455 ± 109	528 ± 111	546 ± 109	560 ± 104	***	***	***	*
IKD	CG	518 ± 98	413 ± 92	433 ± 104	442 ± 112	472 ± 121	468 ± 104	416 ± 109	454 ± 119	451 ± 107	466 ± 113				
120°.s <sup>-1</sup> (N)	PLA	542 ± 112	419 ± 100	419 ± 105	442 ± 122	473 ± 105	516 ± 97	439 ± 90	486 ± 82	488 ± 106	514 ± 111	***	***	***	***
IKD	CG	480 ± 81	403 ± 76	413 ± 80	419 ± 98	451 ± 94	450 ± 72	395 ± 97	438 ± 99	429 ± 98	448 ± 91				
180°.s-1 (N)	PLA	504 ± 92	411 ± 90	409 ± 104	419 ± 96	436 ± 102	470 ± 88	419 ± 77	462 ± 58	465 ± 78	477 ± 83	***	***	***	***
PPT (W)	CG	1016 ± 205	918 ± 211	964 ± 221	1010 ± 223	1027 ± 217	1058 ± 232	990 ± 220	1061 ± 240	1079 ± 223	1101 ± 226				
	PLA	1017 ± 146	884 ± 184	941 ± 163	975 ± 141	1014 ± 144	1038 ± 154	947 ± 155	1021 ± 171	1046 ± 154	1069 ± 149	***	***		
MTG (cm)	CG	54.5 ± 4.5	54.8 ± 4.5	54.8 ± 4.5	54.9 ± 4.5	54.9 ± 4.7	54.7 ± 4.8	55 ± 4.9	54.9 ± 4.8	55 ± 4.8	54.9 ± 4.9				
	PLA	55.5 ± 4.4	55.8 ± 4.4	56.1 ± 4.6	56.2 ± 4.6	56.1 ± 4.7	55.9 ± 4	56.1 ± 3.9	56.1 ± 4	56.1 ± 4	56.1 ± 4	***	**		**
SOR (AU)	CG	1.2 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 2.4	5.1 ± 2	5 ± 2.1	3.1 ± 1.8	0.5 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 2.4	3.3 ± 1.8	2.6 ± 1.8	$1.4 \pm 1.3$				
	PLA	$1 \pm 1.1$	5 ± 2.3	5.9 ± 2.1	5 ± 2.3	2.9 ± 1.5	0.6 ± 0.7	3.4 ± 2.2	3.4 ± 1.9	$2.4 \pm 1.6$	$1.4 \pm 1.4$	***	***	***	
[CK] (IU)	CG	264 ± 189	347 ± 226	1351 ± 1372	833 ± 839	672 ± 356	260 ± 160	305 ± 149	505 ± 229	380 ± 212	374 ± 447				
	PLA	360 ± 239	468 ± 228	1249 ± 1159	1205 ± 1427	1755 ± 4229	321 ± 159	398 ± 168	657 ± 283	451 ± 195	355 ± 124	**	***		
					Values as p	ercentage of bas	eline								
		Bout 1					Bout 2								
Measure	Time	Pre	Post	24 h	48 h	72 h	Pre	Post	24 h	48 h	72 h	_			

Measure	Time	Pre	Post	24 h	48 h	72 h	Pre	Post	24 h	48 h	72 h
MVIC	CG	100 ± 0	73 ± 12	80 ± 13	88 ± 13	94 ± 12	102 ± 9	82 ± 19	95 ± 13	100 ± 12	101 ± 11
	PLA	100 ± 0	71 ± 15	76 ± 14	84 ± 15	90 ± 15	109 ± 13	83 ± 19	95 ± 20	103 ± 19	105 ± 17
IKD	CG	100 ± 0	79 ± 14	84 ± 13	86 ± 12	92 ± 10	97 ± 14	84 ± 14	89 ± 13	92 ± 11	93 ± 11
60°.s-1	PLA	100 ± 0	74 ± 11	74 ± 17	79 ± 16	83 ± 16	95 ± 15	78 ± 17	90 ± 19	92 ± 13	95 ± 13
IKD	CG	100 ± 0	80 ± 11	83 ± 12	85 ± 11	91 ± 13	91 ± 9	81 ± 13	88 ± 11	87 ± 10	90 ± 10
120°.s-1	PLA	100 ± 0	77 ± 11	78 ± 12	81 ± 15	88 ± 13	98 ± 11	84 ± 14	93 ± 10	93 ± 12	97 ± 10
IKD	CG	100 ± 0	84 ± 7	86 ± 10	87 ± 12	94 ± 13	95 ± 8	83 ± 13	91 ± 12	89 ± 11	94 ± 9
180°.s-1	PLA	100 ± 0	81 ± 9	81 ± 15	83 ± 12	87 ± 15	96 ± 13	86 ± 13	95 ± 8	95 ± 13	98 ± 13
РРТ	CG	100 ± 0	90 ± 9	95 ± 10	99 ± 9	101 ± 8	104 ± 6	98 ± 11	105 ± 10	107 ± 11	109 ± 10
	PLA	100 ± 0	87 ± 13	92 ± 8	96 ± 6	100 ± 7	104 ± 9	95 ± 12	103 ± 12	105 ± 11	108 ± 10
MTG	CG	100 ± 0	100.5 ± 0.5	100.5 ± 0.6	100.6 ± 0.9	100.7 ± 0.8	100.8 ± 1.9	101.3 ± 2	101.3 ± 1.7	101.4 ± 1.8	101.2 ± 1.7
	PLA	100 ± 0	100.5 ± 0.5	101.1 ± 0.9	101.3 ± 1	$101.1 \pm 0.9$	100.7 ± 2.9	101 ± 3	101 ± 2.8	101 ± 2.8	101 ± 2.8
[СК]	CG	100 ± 0	139 ± 25	627 ± 741	402 ± 484	323 ± 228	122 ± 69	153 ± 114	255 ± 197	179 ± 105	195 ± 282
	PLA	100 ± 0	146 ± 40	495 ± 682	482 ± 646	700 ± 1692	113 ± 71	145 ± 84	244 ± 175	159 ± 97	129 ± 75

Results shown as mean  $\pm$  SD; \* = p  $\leq$  0.05; \*\* = p  $\leq$  0.01; \*\*\* = p  $\leq$  0.001; T = effect of time; B = effect of bout; T x B = Time x bout interaction; G x B = Group

x bout interaction; CG = Compression garments; PLA = Placebo; Pre = Pre-exercise; Post = Post-exercise; MVIC = Maximal voluntary isometric contraction;

IKD = Maximal voluntary isokinetic contraction; PPT = peak power output in the 6 s cycle sprint test; MTG = Mid-thigh girth; AU = Arbitrary units; [CK] =

Creatine kinase activity; IU = International units

## Abbreviations

- ANOVA: Analysis of variance
- B1: Initial exercise bout
- B2: Repeated exercise bout
- CG: Compression garments
- CI: Confidence intervals
- CK: Creatine kinase
- CV: Coefficient of variation
- DJ: Depth-jumps
- EIMD: Exercise-induced muscle damage
- ES: Effect size (Cohen's d)
- Fave: Average force
- F<sub>pk</sub>: Peak force
- HR<sub>med</sub>: Median heart rate
- HR<sub>pk</sub>: Peak heart rate
- IKD: Maximal voluntary isokinetic contraction
- LCL: Lower confidence limit
- MB1: Manufacturer's B1 point, defined as equidistant between the point of narrowest
- circumference at the ankle, and the point of maximal calf circumference
- MIVC: Maximal isometric voluntary contractions
- MTG: Mid-thigh girth
- PLA: Placebo
- PPT: Peak power output in the 6 s cycle sprint test
- RBE: Repeated bout effect
- RMSE: Root mean squared error of residuals
- TOV: Take-off velocity
- UCL: Upper confidence limit